

CONVEX SOLUTIONS OF AN ITERATIVE FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

LI LIN HUANG, HOU YU ZHAO*

Abstract. In this paper, by Schauder’s fixed point theorem and the Banach contraction principle, we consider the existence, uniqueness, and stability of convex solutions of a nonhomogeneous iterative functional differential equation. Finally, some examples were considered by our results.

1. Introduction

Iterative functional differential equations as a special kind of functional differential equations, have been discussed in many monographs and research articles ([1], [7]–[11], [15]). Recently, several papers have appeared that are concerned with the form of

$$x'(t) = H(t, x(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[n]}(t)),$$

where $x^{[k]}(t) = x(x^{[k-1]}(t))$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. More specifically, in [4], Cooke showed that it is highly desirable to consider the properties of periodic solutions of the equation

$$x'(t) + ax(t - h(t, x(t))) = F(t).$$

Eder ([5]) studied the iterative functional differential equation

$$x'(t) = x(x(t))$$

Received: 07.06.2024. Accepted: 15.01.2025. Published online: 05.03.2025.

(2020) Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A12, 34C99, 34K99.

Key words and phrases: iterative functional differential equation, convex function, fixed point.

*Corresponding author.

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12271070), Research Project of Chongqing Education Commission (Grant No. CXQT21014).

and proved that every solution either vanishes identically or is strictly monotonic. In [6], Fečkan considered the equation of the form

$$x'(t) = f(x(x(t))),$$

he got the solutions which satisfy $x(0) = 0$ by an existence theorem. Later, through a reversible transformation, Si, Wang and Cheng ([13]) studied the equation

$$x'(t) = x^{[m]}(t)$$

and gave the sufficient conditions for the existence of the analytic solutions. In [12], using fixed point theorem, Si and Cheng continued to discuss the existence of smooth solutions for the equation

$$(1.1) \quad x'(t) = \lambda_1 x(t) + \lambda_2 x^{[2]}(t) + \cdots + \lambda_n x^{[n]}(t) + F(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $x^{[k]}(t) = x(x^{[k-1]}(t))$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ are unknown functions, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ are real constants, $F(x)$ is a given function. In 2017, Zhao and Liu ([21]) proved the existence and stability for periodic solution of (1.1) by fixed point theorem. For some various properties of solutions for iterative functional differential equations, we refer the interested reader to [2, 3], [14], [16, 17, 18].

Convexity ([9, 11]) is one of the most important concepts in optimization. Let I be an interval of the real line \mathbb{R} . A function $x: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex if

$$x(\alpha t + (1 - \alpha)s) \leq \alpha x(t) + (1 - \alpha)x(s)$$

holds for all $t, s \in I$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Convex functions play an important role in many areas of mathematics. They are especially important in the study of optimization problems where they are distinguished by a number of convenient properties. In [20, 19], the authors consider the convex solutions of an iterative functional equation, they found the sets of convex solutions depending on the convexity of a given function. In this paper, we study the existence, uniqueness and stability of convex solutions for (1.1), and we see that the convex solutions are not affected by the convexity of a known function F .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider some class of functions of convexity. Then we give the existence of monotonic solutions of Eq. (1.1) under some certain assumption in Section 3. Section 4 deals with the existence of convex solutions of (1.1), also we give the approximate convex solutions. In Section 5, we consider the uniqueness and stability of those solutions. The final section presents some examples.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some notations and several preparatory lemmas.

Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\delta > 0$. Let $I = [\xi - \delta, \xi + \delta]$, $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is the set of all continuous derivative functions defined on I , $C^1(I, I)$ is the set in which $x \in C^1$ maps the closed interval I into I . Consider the norm

$$\|x\|_1 = \|x\| + \|x'\|, \quad \text{where } \|x\| = \max\{|x(t)| : t \in I\},$$

then $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ with $\|x\|_1$ is a Banach space, and $C^1(I, I)$ is a subset of $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $M \geq 1 \geq m \geq 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(I; m, M) = \left\{ x \in C^1(I, I) : x(\xi) = \xi, |x'(t)| \leq M, \right. \\ \left. m(t_1 - s_1) \leq x(t_1) - x(s_1), \forall t, t_1 \geq s_1 \text{ in } I \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and denote by $\Phi_{cv}(I; m, M)$ and $\Phi_{cc}(I; m, M)$ the families consisting of all convex functions and concave ones in $\Phi(I; m, M)$.

LEMMA 2.1. $\Phi(I; m, M)$, $\Phi_{cv}(I; m, M)$, and $\Phi_{cc}(I; m, M)$ are compact convex subsets of $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

PROOF. We first show that $\Phi(I; m, M)$ is a convex set. For any $x_1, x_2 \in \Phi(I; m, M)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, put

$$X(t) = \alpha x_1(t) + (1 - \alpha)x_2(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

It is easy to check that $X \in C^1(I, I)$, $X(\xi) = \xi$ and

$$(2.1) \quad |X'(t)| = |\alpha x_1'(t) + (1 - \alpha)x_2'(t)| \leq \alpha M + (1 - \alpha)M = M, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} X(t) - X(s) &= \alpha(x_1(t) - x_2(s)) + (1 - \alpha)(x_2(t) - x_2(s)) \\ &\geq \alpha m(t - s) + (1 - \alpha)m(t - s) = m(t - s) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq s$. Thus $X \in \Phi(I; m, M)$, that is $\Phi(I; m, M)$ is a convex set.

Furthermore, for a convergent sequence $x_n \rightarrow x_*$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\Phi(I; m, M)$, it is easy to check that $x_*(t) \in C^1(I, I)$, $x_*(\xi) = \xi$ and $|x_*'(t)| \leq M$, $m(t_2 - t_1) \leq x_*(t_2) - x_*(t_1)$, $\forall t, t_2 \geq t_1$ in I , thus $x_* \in \Phi(I; m, M)$, and $\Phi(I; m, M)$ is a closed set. Since $\Phi(I; m, M)$ is a bounded set, we know it is a closed bounded subset of $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$. From the definition of $\Phi(I; m, M)$, for any $t_1, t_2 \in I$, we have $|x(t_2) - x(t_1)| \leq M|t_2 - t_1|$, which means $\Phi(I; m, M)$ is equicontinuous. By Ascoli-Arzelà lemma, $\Phi(I; m, M)$ is a compact convex subset of $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Now we prove that $\Phi_{cv}(I; m, M)$ is compact convex subset of $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $x_1, x_2 \in \Phi_{cv}(I; m, M)$ are convex functions, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and let

$$X_1(t) = \alpha x_1(t) + (1 - \alpha)x_2(t), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Then (2.1), (2.2) still hold as $X = X_1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(\beta t + (1 - \beta)s) &\leq \alpha(\beta x_1(t) + (1 - \beta)x_1(s)) + (1 - \alpha)(\beta x_2(t) + (1 - \beta)x_2(s)) \\ &= \beta(\alpha x_1(t) + (1 - \alpha)x_2(t)) + (1 - \beta)(\alpha x_1(s) + (1 - \alpha)x_2(s)) \\ &= \beta X_1(t) + (1 - \beta)X_1(s), \quad \forall t, s \in I, \beta \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain X_1 is a convex function, and $\Phi_{cv}(I; m, M)$ is a convex set. As in the case of $\Phi(I; m, M)$, we can prove that $\Phi_{cv}(I; m, M)$ is a compact convex subset of $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Similarly, we can prove that the set $\Phi_{cc}(I; m, M)$ is a compact convex subset of $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$. \square

The following lemma can be found in [12].

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose that $x, y \in \Phi(I; m, M)$, then the following inequalities hold:*

- (i) $|x^{[i]}(t_2) - x^{[i]}(t_1)| \leq M^i |t_2 - t_1|, \forall t_1, t_2 \in I, i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$
- (ii) $\|x^{[i]} - y^{[i]}\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^i M^{k-1} \|x - y\|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$
- (iii) $\|x - y\| \leq \delta \|x' - y'\|.$

Now, we further consider the set

$$\Omega(I; m, M, k, K) = \left\{ x \in \Phi(I; m, M) : k \leq \frac{x'(t_2) - x'(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} \leq K, \quad \forall t_1 < t_2 \text{ in } I \right\},$$

where m, M, k, K are real constants, $0 \leq m \leq 1 \leq M$ and $K \geq k$.

LEMMA 2.3. $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$ is a compact and convex subset of $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K) \subset \Phi_{cv}(I; m, M)$ if $k > 0$; $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K) \subset \Phi_{cc}(I; m, M)$ if $K < 0$.

PROOF. First, we shall prove that $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$ is a convex set. In fact, for any $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$, we only consider $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, for all $t_1 < t_2 \in I$. Letting

$$X(t) = \alpha x_1(t) + (1 - \alpha)x_2(t),$$

it is easy to see that $X \in \Phi(I; m, M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X'(t_2) - X'(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} &= \frac{\alpha x'_1(t_2) + (1 - \alpha)x'_2(t_2)}{t_2 - t_1} - \frac{\alpha x'_1(t_1) + (1 - \alpha)x'_2(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} \\ &= \frac{\alpha(x'_1(t_2) - x'_1(t_1))}{t_2 - t_1} + \frac{(1 - \alpha)(x'_2(t_2) - x'_2(t_1))}{t_2 - t_1} \\ &\geq \alpha k(t_2 - t_1) + (1 - \alpha)k(t_2 - t_1) \\ &= k(t_2 - t_1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{X'(t_2) - X'(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} \leq \alpha K(t_2 - t_1) + (1 - \alpha)K(t_2 - t_1) = K(t_2 - t_1).$$

Therefore, $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$ is a convex subset of $\Phi(I; m, M) \subset C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$. Clearly, we can check that the functions in $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$ are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous in I . By Ascoli–Arzela’s lemma, $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$ is a compact and convex subset of $C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Taking $x \in \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$, for $k > 0$ and $t_1 < t_2 \in I$, we have

$$\frac{x'(t_2) - x'(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} \geq k > 0,$$

or $x'(t_2) > x'(t_1)$. Therefore derivative function $x'(t), t \in I$ is increasing, which means $x(t)$ is a convex function on I . So we get $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K) \subset \Phi_{cv}(I; m, M)$ for $k > 0$.

Similarly, for $K < 0$, taking $x \in \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$, for any $t_1 < t_2 \in I$, we have

$$\frac{x'(t_2) - x'(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} \leq K < 0, \quad \text{or} \quad x'(t_2) < x'(t_1).$$

So x is a concave function and $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K) \subset \Phi_{cc}(I; m, M)$ for $K < 0$. This completes the proof. \square

3. Existence of monotonic solutions

In this section, we will prove the existence of continuous monotonic solution of Eq. (1.1). Suppose Eq. (1.1) satisfies the following conditions:

(H1) $\lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

(H2) $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i > -1$ with $\lambda_i < 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose that (H1) holds and $0 \leq m \leq 1 \leq M$. Let $I = [\xi - \delta, \xi + \delta]$, where ξ and δ satisfy*

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{m}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} < |\xi| < \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i},$$

$$(3.2) \quad 0 < \delta < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} - |\xi|, |\xi| - \frac{m}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} \right\},$$

and $F \in \Phi(I; \tilde{m}, \tilde{M})$ with $0 \leq \tilde{m} \leq 1 \leq \tilde{M}$. Then Eq. (1.1) has a continuous solution $x \in \Phi(I; m, M)$.

PROOF. We will use Schauder's fixed point theorem to finish the proof. Define a mapping $T: \Phi(I; m, M) \rightarrow C(I, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(3.3) \quad (Tx)(t) = \xi + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \int_{\xi}^t x^{[i]}(s) ds + \int_{\xi}^t F(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in I.$$

First, we will prove that for any $x \in \Phi(I; m, M)$, $Tx \in \Phi(I; m, M)$. It is easy to see that $(Tx)(\xi) = \xi$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tx)(t) - \xi| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left| \int_{\xi}^t x^{[i]}(s) ds \right| + \left| \int_{\xi}^t F(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (|\xi| + \delta) |t - \xi| + (|\xi| + \delta) |t - \xi| \\ &= (1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i) (|\xi| + \delta) |t - \xi| \leq \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is from (3.2), $x \in \Phi(I; m, M)$ and $F \in \Phi(I; \tilde{m}, \tilde{M})$. Thus $Tx \in C^1(I, I)$. Using (3.2), we get

$$|(Tx)'(t)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (|\xi| + \delta) + (|\xi| + \delta) \leq 1 \leq M$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Tx)(t_2) - (Tx)(t_1) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} x^{[i]}(s) ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} F(s) ds \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (|\xi| - \delta) (t_2 - t_1) + (|\xi| - \delta) (t_2 - t_1) \\ &\geq m(t_2 - t_1), \quad \forall t_2 > t_1 \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, T is a self-mapping on $\Phi(I; m, M)$.

Next, we will prove that T is continuous. For any $x, y \in \Phi(I; m, M)$, using (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Ty - Tx\|_1 &= \|Ty - Tx\| + \|(Ty)' - (Tx)'\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \max_{t \in I} \left| \int_{\xi}^t (y^{[i]}(s) - x^{[i]}(s)) ds \right| + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \max_{t \in I} |(y^{[i]}(t) - x^{[i]}(t))| \\
 &\leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \|y - x\| + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \|y - x\| \\
 &\leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \|y - x\| + \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \|y' - x'\| \\
 (3.4) \quad &\leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \|y - x\|_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that T is continuous.

From Lemma 2.3 and Schauder’s fixed point theorem, we conclude that

$$x(t) = \xi + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\xi}^t \lambda_i x^{[i]}(s) ds + \int_{\xi}^t F(s) ds,$$

for some $x(t)$ in $\Phi(I; m, M)$. By differentiating both sides of the above equality, we see that x is the desired solution of (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

Similarly as Theorem 3.1, we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that (H2) holds and $0 \leq m \leq 1 \leq M$. Let $I = [\xi - \delta, \xi + \delta]$, where ξ and δ satisfy*

$$(3.5) \quad 0 < \frac{m}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} < |\xi| < \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i},$$

$$(3.6) \quad 0 < \delta < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} - |\xi|, \frac{2|\xi| - m}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} - |\xi| \right\},$$

and $F \in \Phi(I; \tilde{m}, \tilde{M})$ with $0 \leq \tilde{m} \leq 1 \leq \tilde{M}$. Then Eq. (1.1) has a continuous solution $x \in \Phi(I; m, M)$.

Next, we consider approximate solutions for the monotonic solutions of (1.1).

THEOREM 3.3. *In addition to the assumption of Theorem 3.1, suppose that*

$$(3.7) \quad \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \leq 1.$$

Then for any $x_0 \in \Phi(I; m, M)$, there exists a sequence $(x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \subset \Phi(I; m, M)$ which is defined by $x_k = Tx_{k-1}, k = 1, 2, \dots$ convergent to x^* which is a solution of Eq. (1.1).

PROOF. Consider the mapping T on $\Phi(I; m, M)$ as in (3.3). Put

$$x_k = Tx_{k-1}, \quad x_0 \in \Phi(I; m, M), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since T is a self-mapping on $\Phi(I; m, M)$, we know that $(x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a subset of $\Phi(I; m, M)$. By (3.4), we have

$$\|Tx_{k+1} - Tx_k\|_1 \leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|_1 = \Gamma \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|_1,$$

where $\Gamma = \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1}$. Then, we obtain

$$\|Tx_{k+1} - Tx_k\|_1 \leq \Gamma^k \|x_1 - x_0\|_1.$$

Let

$$x_r(t) = x_0(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (x_{k+1}(t) - x_k(t)).$$

We now show that $\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (x_{k+1}(t) - x_k(t))$ converges on the interval $[\xi - \delta, \xi + \delta]$. This would imply that $x_r(t)$ has a limit on this interval as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly, from (3.7), the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Gamma^k \|x_1 - x_0\|_1 = \frac{1}{1 - \Gamma} \|x_1 - x_0\|_1,$$

converges.

Therefore, $(x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence under the supreme norm and uniformly converges to a continuous function x^* on I . Noting that $\Phi(I; m, M) \subset C(I, \mathbb{R})$ is compact, $(x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ converges to x^* in $\Phi(I; m, M)$. From (3.6), we can see that T is continuous, thus $x^* \leftarrow x_{k+1} = Tx_k \rightarrow \Psi x^*$, and consequently $Tx^* = x^*$. Therefore, the sequence of functions given by $S = (x_0(t), x_1(t), \dots, x_k(t), \dots)$ can be regarded as approximate solutions of Eq. (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

Similarly, corresponding to Theorem 3.2, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *In addition to the assumption of Theorem 3.2, suppose that*

$$(3.8) \quad -\delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \leq 1.$$

Then for any $x_0 \in \Phi(I; m, M)$, there exists a sequence $(x_k)_{k=0}^\infty \subset \Phi(I; m, M)$ which is defined by $x_k = Tx_{k-1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ convergent to x^* which is a solution of Eq. (1.1).

4. Existence of convex solutions

In this section, we will show that under certain conditions, Eq. (1.1) has convex solutions.

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose that Eq. (1.1) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1, and $F \in \Phi(I; \tilde{m}, \tilde{M})$, where $\tilde{M} \geq 1 \geq \tilde{m} \geq 0$. If $M \geq 1 \geq m \geq 0$ and*

$$(4.1) \quad 0 < k \leq \tilde{m} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i m^i \leq \tilde{M} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i M^i \leq K,$$

then Eq. (1.1) has a convex solution $x \in \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$.

PROOF. Define the mapping $T: \Omega(I; m, M, k, K) \rightarrow C(I)$ as in (3.3). From Theorem 3.1, we see that $Tx \in \Phi(I; m, M)$. Note that

$$(Tx)'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x^{[i]}(t) + F(t), \quad t \in I.$$

for all $t_1 < t_2 \in I$. Using (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(Tx)'(t_2) - (Tx)'(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x^{[i]}(t_2) - x^{[i]}(t_1)) + (F(t_2) - F(t_1))}{t_2 - t_1} \\ &\geq \tilde{m} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i m^i \geq k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(Tx)'(t_2) - (Tx)'(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (x^{[i]}(t_2) - x^{[i]}(t_1)) + (F(t_2) - F(t_1))}{t_2 - t_1} \\ &\leq \tilde{M} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i M^i \leq K. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$, we know that T is a self-mapping on $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$. Furthermore, similarly as in (3.4), we have

$$\|Tx_1 - Tx_2\|_1 \leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \|x - y\|_1,$$

which means T is continuous. By Lemma 2.3 and Schauder's fixed point theorem, T has a fixed point $x \in \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$, i.e.,

$$Tx(t) = \xi + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\xi}^t \lambda_i x^{[i]}(s) ds + \int_{\xi}^t F(s) ds, \quad t \in I.$$

Differentiating both sides of the above equality, we know that x is the convex solution of (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 4.1. From Theorem 4.1, we see that $F \in \Phi(I; \tilde{m}, \tilde{M})$, it means the convexity of solutions x of (1.1) is not affected by the convexity of F .

In the same way, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose that Eq. (1.1) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.2, and $F \in \Phi(I; \tilde{m}, \tilde{M})$, where $\tilde{M} \geq 1 \geq \tilde{m} \geq 0$. If $M \geq 1 \geq m \geq 0$ and*

$$(4.2) \quad 0 < k \leq \tilde{m} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i M^i \leq \tilde{M} + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i m^i \leq K,$$

then Eq. (1.1) has a convex solution $x \in \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$.

Next, similarly as in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the convexity approximate solutions of (1.1). The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 3.3, therefore we omit it.

THEOREM 4.3. *In addition to the assumption of Theorem 4.1 (Theorem 4.2), suppose that (3.7) ((3.8)) holds, then for any $x_0 \in \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$, there exists a sequence $(x_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$ which is defined by $x_k = Tx_{k-1}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ convergent to x^* which is a convex solution of Eq. (1.1).*

5. Uniqueness and stability

In this section, the uniqueness and stability (the continuous dependence for the given function F) of the solutions of (1.1) will be proved. In order to prove these results, we need the Banach contraction principle to study the uniqueness of the solution. Furthermore, using the uniqueness, we obtain that the unique solution depends continuously on a known function F .

THEOREM 5.1. *In addition to the assumption of Theorem 3.1, suppose that (3.7) holds. Then Eq. (1.1) has a unique solution in $\Phi(I; m, M)$, and the unique solution depends continuously on the given functions F . Furthermore, the unique solution can be obtained by the sequence $(x_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \Phi(I; m, M)$, where $x_0 \in \Phi(I; m, M)$, $x_{k+1} = Tx_k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ and T is defined as in (3.3).*

PROOF. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know the map T is a self-mapping on $\Phi(I; m, M)$. By (3.7), we have

$$\|Ty - Tx\|_1 \leq \delta \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_i M^{k-1} \|y - x\|_1, \quad \forall x, y \in \Phi(I; m, M).$$

By (3.7) and Banach fixed point theorem, we know the the solution of Eq. (1.1) must be unique.

Given F and $G \in \Phi(I; \tilde{m}, \tilde{M})$, consider the corresponding operators T, \tilde{T} defined by (3.3). Assuming the corresponding conditions (3.1), (3.2) and (3.7), there are two unique corresponding functions x and y in $\Phi(I; m, M)$ such that

$$Tx = x, \quad \tilde{T}y = y.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - x\|_1 &= \|\tilde{T}y - Tx\|_1 = \|\tilde{T}y - \tilde{T}x\|_1 + \|\tilde{T}x - Tx\|_1 \\ &\leq \Gamma \|y - x\|_1 + \delta \|F - G\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

with Γ defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. From (3.7), we have

$$\|y - x\|_1 = \frac{\delta}{1 - \Gamma} \|F - G\|_1.$$

This proves the continuous dependence of solution x upon F and G , otherwise referred to as stability. From Theorem 3.3, we can finish the proof. \square

Similarly, we have the following theorems corresponding to Theorems 3.2, 4.1, 4.2. We omit their proofs.

THEOREM 5.2. *In addition to the assumption of Theorem 3.2, suppose that (3.8) holds. Then Eq. (1.1) has a unique solution in $\Phi(I; m, M)$, and the unique solution depends continuously on the given functions F . Furthermore, the unique solution can be obtained by the sequence $(x_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \Phi(I; m, M)$, where $x_0 \in \Phi(I; m, M)$, $x_{k+1} = Tx_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ and T is defined as in (3.3).*

THEOREM 5.3. *In addition to the assumption of Theorem 4.1 (Theorem 4.2), suppose that (3.7) ((3.8)) holds. Then Eq. (1.1) has a unique solution in $\Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$, and the unique solution depends continuously on the given functions F . Furthermore, the unique solution can be obtained by the sequence $(x_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$, where $x_0 \in \Omega(I; m, M, k, K)$, $x_{k+1} = Tx_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ and T is defined as in (3.3).*

6. Examples

In this section, some examples are provided to illustrate that the assumptions of our theorems do not self-contradict.

EXAMPLE 6.1. Consider the following equation:

$$(6.1) \quad x'(t) = \frac{1}{2}x(t) + \frac{1}{2}x(x(t)) + t^2, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right],$$

where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $F(t) = t^2$ and $\xi = \frac{1}{4}$, $\delta = \frac{1}{8}$, then $F \in \Phi(\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right]; \frac{1}{4}, 1)$. Taking $m = \frac{1}{5}$, $M = 1$, a simple calculation yields

$$\frac{m}{1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2} = \frac{1}{10} < |\xi| = \frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$

and

$$0 < \delta = \frac{1}{8} < \frac{3}{20} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{20} \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i} - |\xi|, |\xi| - \frac{m}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i} \right\}.$$

Thus (H1), (3.1) and (3.2) are satisfied. From Theorem 3.1, we know that there exists a monotonically increasing solution x in $\Phi(\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right]; \frac{1}{5}, 1)$.

Furthermore, taking $k = \frac{3}{10}$, $K = 3$, then

$$0 < k = \frac{3}{10} < \tilde{m} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i m^i = \frac{37}{100} < \tilde{M} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i M^i = 2 < 3 = K,$$

which means (4.1) is satisfied. Noting

$$\delta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2(1 + M)) = \frac{3}{16} < 1,$$

so (3.7) is satisfied. By Theorem 5.3, we know that the monotonically increasing convex solution is the unique one in $\Omega(\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right]; \frac{1}{5}, 1, \frac{3}{10}, 3)$. For any $x_0 \in \Omega(\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right]; \frac{1}{5}, 1, \frac{3}{10}, 3)$, the unique solution of (6.1) can be approximated by the sequence $x_{k+1} = Tx_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with T defined as in (3.3).

Next consider an example under condition (H2).

EXAMPLE 6.2. Consider the following equation:

$$(6.2) \quad x'(t) = -\frac{1}{15}x(t) - \frac{2}{15}x(x(t)) + t^2, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right],$$

where $\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{15}$, $\lambda_2 = -\frac{2}{15}$, $F(t) = t^2$ and $\xi = \frac{1}{4}$, $\delta = \frac{1}{8}$, then $F \in \Phi([\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]; \frac{1}{4}, 1)$. Taking $m = \frac{1}{25}$, $M = 1$, as in Example 6.1, we have

$$-1 < \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{5} < 0,$$

$$0 < \frac{m}{1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2} = \frac{1}{20} < |\xi| = \frac{1}{4} < \frac{5}{6} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$$

and

$$0 < \delta = \frac{1}{8} < \frac{2}{15} = \min \left\{ \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{15} \right\} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2} - |\xi|, \frac{2|\xi| - m}{1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2} - |\xi| \right\}.$$

Thus (H2), (3.5) and (3.6) are satisfied. From Theorem 3.2, we know there exists a monotonically increasing solution x in $\Phi([\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]; \frac{1}{25}, 1)$.

Furthermore, taking $k = \frac{1}{25}$, $K = 1$, then

$$0 < k = \frac{1}{25} < \tilde{m} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i M^i = \frac{1}{20} < \tilde{M} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i m^i = \frac{9348}{9375} < 1 = K,$$

which means (4.2) is satisfied. Noting

$$-\delta(\lambda_1 + (1 + M)\lambda_2) = \frac{1}{24} < 1,$$

so (3.8) holds. By Theorem 5.3, we know that the monotonically increasing convex solution is the unique one in $\Omega([\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]; \frac{1}{25}, 1, \frac{1}{25}, 1)$. For any $x_0 \in \Omega([\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]; \frac{1}{25}, 1, \frac{1}{25}, 1)$, the unique solution of (6.2) can be approximated by the sequence $x_{k+1} = Tx_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with T defined as in (3.3).

EXAMPLE 6.3. Consider the following equation:

$$(6.3) \quad x'(t) = \lambda x(t) + \frac{1}{2}x(x(t)) + t^2, \quad t \in \left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8} \right],$$

where $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ is a parameter, $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $F(t) = t^2$ and $\xi = \frac{1}{4}$, $\delta = \frac{1}{8}$. Similarly as in Example 6.1, $F \in \Phi([\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]; \frac{1}{4}, 1)$. Seeing $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} > 0$, which means we need to use condition (H1), thus $\lambda_1 = \lambda > 0$. In order to apply (3.1) and (3.2), we need

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{m}{1 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2} = \frac{m}{\frac{3}{2} + \lambda} \leq |\xi| = \frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} + \lambda}$$

and

$$(6.5) \quad 0 < \delta = \frac{1}{8} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\frac{3}{2} + \lambda} - \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{m}{\frac{3}{2} + \lambda} \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i} - |\xi|, |\xi| - \frac{m}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i} \right\}.$$

From (6.4) and (6.5), we have

$$4m \leq \lambda + \frac{3}{2}, \quad \lambda \leq \frac{5}{2}$$

and

$$8m \leq \lambda + \frac{3}{2}, \quad \lambda \leq \frac{7}{6}.$$

Thus

$$0 < 8m \leq \lambda + \frac{3}{2}, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \frac{7}{6},$$

i.e.,

$$(6.6) \quad 0 < m \leq \frac{1}{3}, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \frac{7}{6}.$$

For the uniqueness, we need

$$\frac{1}{8}(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(1 + M)) < 1,$$

which corresponds to (3.7). Thus we obtain

$$(6.7) \quad 1 \leq M < 15 - 2\lambda \leq \frac{38}{3}.$$

Until now, we see that there exists unique monotonically increasing solution x in $\Phi([\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]; m, M)$ with $0 < m \leq \frac{1}{3}, 1 \leq M < \frac{38}{3}$.

Furthermore, in order to find the convex solution, we need

$$(6.8) \quad 0 < k \leq \frac{1}{4} + \lambda m + \frac{1}{2}m^2 \leq 1 + \lambda M + \frac{1}{2}M^2 \leq K,$$

with $0 < m \leq \frac{1}{3}, 1 \leq M < \frac{38}{3}$ and $0 < \lambda \leq \frac{7}{6}$. By Theorem 5.3, we know that the monotonically increasing convex solution is the unique one in $\Omega([\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]; m, M, k, K)$, where m, M, k, K satisfy (6.6)–(6.8). For any $x_0 \in \Omega([\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}]; m, M, k, K)$, the unique solution of (6.3) can be approximated by the sequence $x_{k+1} = Tx_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with T defined as in (3.3). This improves the estimates of Example 6.1.

Conflict of interest statement. The authors declared that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] R. Bellman and K.L. Cooke, *Differential-Difference Equations*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1963.
- [2] T.A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006.
- [3] S.N. Chow, *Existence of periodic solutions of autonomous functional differential equations*, J. Differential Equations **15** (1974), 350–378.
- [4] K.L. Cooke, *Functional differential equations: some models and perturbation problems*, in: J.K. Hale and J.P. LaSalle (eds.), *Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems (Proc. Internat. Sympos., Mayaguez, P.R., 1965)*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1967, pp. 167–183.
- [5] E. Eder, *The functional differential equation $x'(t) = x(x(t))$* , J. Differential Equations **54** (1984), 390–400.
- [6] M. Fečkan, *On a certain type of functional-differential equations*, Math. Slovaca **43** (1993), 39–43.
- [7] J. Hale, *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [8] J. Liu and J.G. Si, *Analytic solutions for a class of differential equation with delays depending on state*, Appl. Math. Comput. **186** (2007), 261–270.
- [9] B.T. Polyak, *Existence theorems and convergence of minimizing sequences in extremum problems with restrictions*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **7** (1966), 72–75.
- [10] Y.N. Raffoul, *Positive periodic solutions in neutral nonlinear differential equations*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ. **2007**, No. 16, 10 pp.
- [11] A.W. Roberts and D.E. Varberg, *Convex Functions*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [12] J.G. Si and S.S. Cheng, *Smooth solutions of a nonhomogeneous iterative functional-differential equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **128** (1998), 821–831.
- [13] J.G. Si, W.R. Li, and S.S. Cheng, *Analytic solutions of an iterative functional-differential equation*, Comput. Math. Appl. **33** (1997), 47–51.
- [14] J.G. Si and X.P. Wang, *Smooth solutions of a nonhomogeneous iterative functional-differential equation with variable coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **226** (1998), 377–392.
- [15] D.R. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [16] S. Staněk, *On global properties of solutions of functional-differential equation $x'(t) = x(x(t)) + x(t)$* , Dynam. Systems Appl. **4** (1995), 263–278.
- [17] K. Wang, *On the equation $x'(t) = f(x(x(t)))$* , Funkcial. Ekvac. **33** (1990), 405–425.
- [18] X.P. Wang and J.G. Si, *Analytic solutions of an iterative functional differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **262** (2001), 490–498.
- [19] B. Xu and W.N. Zhang, *Decreasing solutions and convex solutions of the polynomial-like iterative equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **329** (2007) 483–497.
- [20] W.N. Zhang, K. Nikodem, and B. Xu, *Convex solutions of polynomial-like iterative equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **315** (2006) 29–40.
- [21] H.Y. Zhao and J. Liu, *Periodic solutions of an iterative functional differential equation with variable coefficients*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **40** (2017), 286–292.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
CHONGQING NORMAL UNIVERSITY
CHONGQING 401331
P.R.CHINA
e-mail: houyu19@gmail.com