

CLASSIFICATION OF LIPSCHITZ DERIVATIVES IN TERMS OF SEMICONTINUITY AND THE BAIRE LIMIT FUNCTIONS

OLEKSANDR V. MASLYUCHENKO* , ZIEMOWIT M. WÓJCICKI 

Abstract. We introduce the generalized notion of semicontinuity of a function defined on a topological space and derive the useful classification of the so-called Lipschitz derivatives of functions defined on a metric space. Secondly, we investigate some connections of the Lipschitz derivatives defined on normed spaces to the Fréchet derivative and relations between little, big and local Lipschitz derivatives (denoted by $\text{lip } f$, $\text{Lip } f$ and $\mathbb{L}\text{ip } f$ respectively) in terms of Baire limit functions. In particular, we prove that $\text{lip } f$ is $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -lower, $\text{Lip } f$ is $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -upper, $\mathbb{L}\text{ip } f$ is upper semicontinuous. Moreover, for a function f defined on an open or convex subset of a normed space, the upper Baire limit function of functions $\text{lip } f$ and $\text{Lip } f$ are equal to $\mathbb{L}\text{ip } f$.

1. Introduction

The Lipschitz derivatives are useful tools for investigation of different notions of differentiability. For example, the big Lipschitz derivative $\text{Lip } f$ of a given function f often occurs in theorems of Rademacher–Stepanov type (see for example [11, 14, 9, 8, 5]). Statements of this type usually involves the set $L(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \text{Lip } f(x) < \infty\}$. The local Lipschitz derivative $\mathbb{L}\text{ip } f$ together with $\text{Lip } f$ characterize the local and pointwise Lipschitzness of functions defined on a metric space [7]. The little Lipschitz derivative was introduced by Cheeger in [4] and together with $\text{Lip } f$ play important role in the research of the first order differential calculus in metric spaces. The crucial

Received: 25.09.2025. Accepted: 04.02.2026. Published online: 24.02.2026.

(2020) Mathematics Subject Classification: 46G05, 46T20, 26A16, 26A21.

Key words and phrases: big Lipschitz derivative, little Lipschitz derivative, local Lipschitz derivative, semicontinuous function, $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -semicontinuous function.

The research was supported by the University of Silesia in Katowice, Mathematics Department (Iterative Functional Equations and Real Analysis program).

*Corresponding author.

© 2026 The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

fact is the purely metric character of the definitions of Lipschitz derivatives. The above considerations lead to the natural question of characterizing the sets $\ell(f)$, $L(f)$ and $\mathbb{L}(f)$ for functions defined on metric spaces. In recent years, this problem has been investigated in many articles, such as [12, 3, 6]. In particular, in [3] it was shown that $\ell(f)$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set and $L(f)$ is an F_σ -set for a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In our approach, we introduce generalized notions of semicontinuity of a function and classify the Lipschitz derivatives with help of these properties. This allows us to easily derive the Borel type of sets $\ell(f)$, $L(f)$, $\mathbb{L}(f)$ of a function f acting between arbitrary metric spaces.

Another interesting question to consider is whether for a given triplet of functions (u, v, w) , there exists a continuous function f such that $\text{lip } f = u$, $\text{Lip } f = v$ and $\mathbb{L}f = w$. Some advances in this direction were made in [1] and [2]. Our characterization of Lipschitz derivatives in terms of generalized semicontinuity constrains the possible choice of functions (u, v, w) . Moreover, we obtain another necessary criterion for a triple (u, v, w) defined on locally convex subset of a normed space: the upper Baire limits functions of u and v are equal to w .

2. Lipschitz derivatives

Let X be a metric space, $a \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We always denote the metric on X by $|\cdot - \cdot|_X$ and

$$B(a, \varepsilon) = B_X(a, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X: |x - a|_X < \varepsilon\},$$

$$B[a, \varepsilon] = B_X[a, \varepsilon] = \{x \in X: |x - a|_X \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

DEFINITION 1. Let X and Y be metric spaces, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, $x \in X$. Denote

- $\|f\|_{\text{lip}} = \sup_{u \neq v \in X} \frac{1}{|u-v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y,$
- $\mathbb{L}f(x) = \limsup_{\substack{(u,v) \rightarrow (x,x) \\ u \neq v}} \frac{1}{|u-v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y,$
- $\text{Lip } f(x) = \limsup_{u \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{|u-x|_X} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y,$
- $\text{lip } f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{u \in B(x,r)} \frac{1}{r} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y;$

The number $\|f\|_{\text{lip}}$ is the *Lipschitz constant of f* . The functions $\mathbb{L}f$, $\text{Lip } f$ and $\text{lip } f$ are called the *local*, *big* and *little Lipschitz derivative* respectively.

We denote by X^d the set of all non-isolated points of X . Throughout the paper, we assume that $\sup \emptyset = 0$. As a consequence of this assumption we have $\mathbb{L}f(x) = \text{Lip } f(x) = \text{lip } f(x) = 0$ for any $x \in X \setminus X^d$.

Obviously, if Y is a normed space then $\|\cdot\|_{\text{lip}}$ is an extended seminorm on Y^X in the sense [13]. Moreover, $\|\cdot\|_{\text{lip}}$ is a norm on the space $\text{Lip}_a(X, Y)$ of all Lipschitz functions $f: X \rightarrow Y$ vanishing at some fixed point $a \in X$.

We introduce some auxiliary notations:

- $\mathbb{Lip}^r f(x) = \|f|_{B(x,r)}\|_{\text{lip}} = \sup_{u \neq v \in B(x,r)} \frac{1}{|u-v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y$;
- $\text{Lip}^r f(x) = \sup_{u \in B(x,r)} \frac{1}{r} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y$, $\text{Lip}_+^r f(x) = \sup_{u \in B[x,r]} \frac{1}{r} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y$;
- $\text{Lip}_r f(x) = \sup_{0 < \varrho < r} \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x)$, $\text{lip}_r f(x) = \inf_{0 < \varrho < r} \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x)$.

Therefore, the definitions of the Lipschitz derivatives might be rewritten as follows

$$\mathbb{Lip} f(x) = \inf_{r > 0} \mathbb{Lip}^r f(x), \quad \text{lip} f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}^r f(x).$$

Some authors (see, for example, [6, 2, 3]) define $\text{Lip} f$ and $\text{lip} f$ using the function $\text{Lip}_+^r f$ instead of $\text{Lip}^r f$. In the case where X is a normed space, we have $B[x, r] = \overline{B(x, r)}$. Therefore, $\text{Lip}^r f(x) = \text{Lip}_+^r f(x)$ for any continuous function f . But the previous equality does not hold for the discrete metric on X , nonconstant f and $r = 1$. However, we have the following

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let X and Y be metric spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then, for any non-isolated point $x \in X$, the following equalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip} f(x) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}^r f(x) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}_+^r f(x), \\ \text{lip} f(x) &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}_+^r f(x). \end{aligned}$$

We start with the following

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\varphi, \psi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be functions such that*

$$\varphi(\varrho) \leq \psi(\varrho) \leq \frac{r}{\varrho} \varphi(r)$$

for any $0 < \varrho < r$. Then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(r) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(r), \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(r) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(r).$$

PROOF. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(r), & B &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(r), \\ a &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(r), & b &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(r). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $A \leq B$ and $a \leq b$. Let us show, that $A \geq B$. Choose $\varrho_n \rightarrow 0^+$ such that $\psi(\varrho_n) \rightarrow B$. Put $r_n = \varrho_n + \varrho_n^2$. Since $r_n > \varrho_n$, $\psi(\varrho_n) \leq \frac{r_n}{\varrho_n} \varphi(r_n)$. Therefore,

$$A \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r_n) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varrho_n}{r_n} \psi(\varrho_n) = B.$$

Now, we will show that $a \geq b$. Choose $r_n \rightarrow 0^+$ such that $0 < r_n < 1$ and $\varphi(r_n) \rightarrow a$. Let $\varrho_n = r_n - r_n^2$. Since $0 < \varrho_n < r_n$, $\psi(\varrho_n) \leq \frac{r_n}{\varrho_n} \varphi(r_n)$, we have

$$b \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\varrho_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n}{\varrho_n} \varphi(r_n) = a. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1. Fix a non-isolated point $x \in X$. Denote $\varphi(r) = \text{Lip}^r f(x)$, $\psi(r) = \text{Lip}_+^r f(x)$. Therefore, $r\varphi(r) = \sup_{u \in B(x, r)} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y$ and $r\psi(r) = \sup_{u \in B[x, r]} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y$. Let $0 < \varrho < r$. Then $B(x, \varrho) \subseteq B[x, \varrho] \subseteq B(x, r)$, so

$$\varrho\psi(\varrho) \leq \varrho\psi(\varrho) \leq r\varphi(r).$$

Hence, φ and ψ satisfy the condition from Lemma 2.2. Thus, by Lemma 2.2 we conclude that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(r) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(r) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(r).$$

By the definition, we have $\text{lip } f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(r)$.

It remains to show that $\text{Lip } f(x) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(r)$. Denote for any $r > 0$

$$\alpha(r) = \sup_{0 < \varrho < r} \psi(\varrho) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(r) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(u) - f(x)|_Y}{|u - x|_X} : 0 < |u - x|_X < r \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(r) &= \sup_{0 < \rho < r} \sup_{0 < |u - x|_X \leq \rho} \frac{1}{\rho} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < \rho < r} \sup_{0 < |u - x|_X \leq \rho} \frac{1}{|u - x|_X} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y = \beta(r). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(r) &= \sup_{0 < \rho < r} \sup_{|u - x|_X = \rho} \frac{1}{\rho} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < \rho < r} \sup_{0 < |u - x|_X \leq \rho} \frac{1}{\rho} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y \\ &= \sup_{0 < \rho < r} \text{Lip}_+^\rho f(x) = \alpha(r). \end{aligned}$$

We have shown, that $\alpha(r) = \beta(r)$ for $r > 0$. Hence,

$$\text{Lip } f(x) = \inf_{r>0} \beta(r) = \inf_{r>0} \alpha(r) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(r). \quad \square$$

Note, that

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Lip}_r f(x) \leq \text{Lip}_{r'} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{lip}_r f(x) \geq \text{lip}_{r'} f(x) \quad \text{if } 0 < r < r'.$$

So, the definitions and the previous proposition yield

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Lip } f(x) = \inf_{r>0} \text{Lip}_r f(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}_r f(x),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \text{lip } f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \text{lip}_r f(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{lip}_r f(x).$$

Therefore, it is easy to see that the following inequalities hold.

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{lip}_r f(x) &\leq \text{Lip}_r f(x) \leq \mathbb{L}\text{ip}^r f(x) \quad \text{for any } r > 0, \\ \text{lip } f(x) &\leq \text{Lip } f(x) \leq \mathbb{L}\text{ip } f(x). \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2. Let X and Y be metric spaces and $\gamma \geq 0$. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called

- γ -Lipschitz if $\|f\|_{\text{lip}} \leq \gamma$;
- Lipschitz if $\|f\|_{\text{lip}} < \infty$;
- locally Lipschitz if $\mathbb{L}\text{ip } f < \infty$;
- pointwise Lipschitz if $\text{Lip } f < \infty$;
- weakly pointwise Lipschitz if $\text{lip } f < \infty$.

Denote

- $\mathbb{L}(f) = \{x \in X : \mathbb{L}\text{ip } f(x) < \infty\}$;
- $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f) = \{x \in X : \mathbb{L}\text{ip } f(x) = \infty\} = X \setminus \mathbb{L}(f)$;
- $L(f) = \{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) < \infty\}$;
- $L^\infty(f) = \{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) = \infty\} = X \setminus L(f)$;
- $\ell(f) = \{x \in X : \text{lip } f(x) < \infty\}$;
- $\ell^\infty(f) = \{x \in X : \text{lip } f(x) = \infty\} = X \setminus \ell(f)$.

Inequalities (2.4) yield the next assertion.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let X and Y be metric spaces, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then $\mathbb{L}(f) \subseteq L(f) \subseteq \ell(f)$ and $\ell^\infty(f) \subseteq L^\infty(f) \subseteq \mathbb{L}^\infty(f)$.

3. Connections of Lipschitz derivatives to classical notion of a derivative

One might ask under what conditions the Lipschitz derivative (of any given type) coincides with one of the “traditional” notions of the derivative of a given

function, provided that an appropriate derivative exists. It is obvious that for a real differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have $\text{lip } f(x) = \text{Lip } f(x) = |f'(x)|$ at any $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

In [7], the following theorem was proved.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let X and Y be normed spaces, G be an open subset of X , and $f: G \rightarrow Y$ have a locally bounded Gateaux derivative f' . Then, $\mathbb{Lip } f(x) = \limsup_{u \rightarrow x} \|f'(u)\|$ for $x \in X$ and so, f is locally Lipschitz. Moreover, if f is C^1 function, then $\mathbb{Lip } f(x) = \|f'(x)\|$, $x \in X$.*

The following result was also stated in [7], but the proof contains a small blunder. Here, we provide the correct proof.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where X and Y are normed spaces and assume that there exists the Fréchet derivative $\text{df } (x_0)$ of f at a point $x_0 \in X$. Then $\text{lip } f(x_0) = \text{Lip } f(x_0) = \|\text{df } (x_0)\|$.*

PROOF. It is enough to consider the case $X \neq \{0\}$. Denote by $A = \text{df } (x_0)$ the Fréchet derivative of f at the point x_0 . We have

$$(3.1) \quad f(x) - f(x_0) = A(x - x_0) + \alpha(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X,$$

where α is a function, such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{\alpha(x)}{\|x - x_0\|} = 0$. By (3.1) we have

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| \leq \|A\| \|x - x_0\| + \|\alpha(x)\|,$$

hence

$$\frac{\|f(x) - f(x_0)\|}{\|x - x_0\|} \leq \|A\| + \left\| \frac{\alpha(x)}{\|x - x_0\|} \right\|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lip } f(x_0) &\leq \text{Lip } f(x_0) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{\|f(x) - f(x_0)\|}{\|x - x_0\|} \\ &\leq \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \left(\|A\| + \left\| \frac{\alpha(x)}{\|x - x_0\|} \right\| \right) = \|A\|. \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove that $\text{lip } f(x_0) \geq \|A\|$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there exists $e \in X$ such that $\|e\| = 1$ and

$$\|Ae\| \geq \|A\| - \varepsilon.$$

For any $r > 0$, denote $x_r = x_0 + re$. Note that $r = \|x_r - x_0\|$, and $x_r \rightarrow x_0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. So, $\frac{\alpha(x_r)}{r} \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, by (3.1)

$$f(x_r) - f(x_0) = A(x_r - x_0) + \alpha(x_r) = rAe + \alpha(x_r),$$

so for any $r > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}_+^r f(x_0) &= \frac{1}{r} \sup_{\|u-x\| \leq r} \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| \geq \frac{1}{r} \|f(x_r) - f(x_0)\| \\ &= \left\| Ae + \frac{\alpha(x_r)}{r} \right\| \geq \|Ae\| - \left\| \frac{\alpha(x_r)}{r} \right\| \geq \|A\| - \varepsilon - \left\| \frac{\alpha(x_r)}{r} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Proposition 2.1 we conclude that

$$\text{lip } f(x_0) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \text{Lip}_+^r f(x_0) \geq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\|A\| - \varepsilon - \left\| \frac{\alpha(x_r)}{r} \right\| \right) = \|A\| - \varepsilon.$$

Since the ε was chosen arbitrarily, the proof is finished. $\square$

4. Semicontinuity with respect to a family of sets

In this section we introduce some modification of semicontinuity, which will help us to classify the Lipschitz derivatives.

Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{A}$ be a family of subsets of X . We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_c &= \left\{ X \setminus A : A \in \mathcal{A} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_\sigma &= \left\{ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n : A_n \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_\delta &= \left\{ \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n : A_n \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will also combine these symbols. It is easy to check, for example, that $\mathcal{A}_{c\delta c} = \mathcal{A}_\sigma$, $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma c} = \mathcal{A}_{c\delta}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\delta c} = \mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}$ and so on. If $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the topology of X , then applying above notation to the family $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}$, the $\mathcal{T}_\delta$ is the familiar Borel class $\mathcal{G}_\delta$ of G_δ -subsets of X . Complementary, the family $\mathcal{T}_{c\sigma}$ is the Borel class $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ of F_σ -subsets of X .

We say that $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$ -upper ($\mathcal{A}$ -lower) *semicontinuous function* if $f^{-1}([-\infty, \gamma)) \in \mathcal{A}$ (resp. $f^{-1}((\gamma, +\infty]) \in \mathcal{A}$) for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{T}$ is the topology of X , then we omit the symbol $\mathcal{A}$ in the previous definitions. For our purposes, the $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -upper and lower semicontinuous functions are particularly important.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let X be a topological space, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^X$ and $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ -upper semicontinuous function. Then*

- (i) $f^{-1}[[\gamma, +\infty]] \in \mathcal{A}_c$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $f^{-1}[[-\infty, +\infty]] \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$ and, so, $f^{-1}[\{+\infty\}] \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma c}$;

- (iii) $f^{-1}[\{-\infty\}] \in \mathcal{A}_\delta$ and, so, $f^{-1}((-\infty, +\infty)) \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta c}$;
 (iv) f is $\mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}$ -lower semicontinuous.

PROOF. (i) For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ we have that $f^{-1}([-\infty, \gamma)) \in \mathcal{A}$ and then

$$f^{-1}([\gamma, +\infty)) = X \setminus f^{-1}([-\infty, \gamma)) \in \mathcal{A}_c.$$

(ii) Since $f^{-1}([-\infty, n]) \in \mathcal{A}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that

$$f^{-1}([-\infty, +\infty)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}([-\infty, n]) \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma,$$

and so, $f^{-1}[\{+\infty\}] = X \setminus f^{-1}([-\infty, +\infty)) \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma c}$.

(iii) Since $f^{-1}([-\infty, -n]) \in \mathcal{A}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$f^{-1}[\{-\infty\}] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}([-\infty, -n]) \in \mathcal{A}_\delta,$$

and so, $f^{-1}((-\infty, +\infty)) = X \setminus f^{-1}[\{-\infty\}] \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta c}$.

(iv) Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma_n \downarrow \gamma$. Since $f^{-1}([\gamma_n, +\infty)) \in \mathcal{A}_c$ by (i), we conclude that

$$f^{-1}([\gamma, +\infty)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}([\gamma_n, +\infty)) \in \mathcal{A}_{c\sigma},$$

i.e., f is $\mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}$ -lower semicontinuous. □

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let X be a topological space, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^X$, $f_n: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ -upper semicontinuous function for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function such that $f(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Then f is an $\mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}$ -lower semicontinuous function.*

PROOF. Consider $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. By Proposition 4.1(iv) the functions f_n are $\mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}$ -lower semicontinuous. So, $f_n^{-1}((\gamma, +\infty)) \in \mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently,

$$f^{-1}((\gamma, +\infty)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}((\gamma, +\infty)) \in \mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}.$$

Thus, f is an $\mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}$ -lower semicontinuous function. □

Observe that f is an $\mathcal{A}$ -upper semicontinuous function if and only if $-f$ is $\mathcal{A}$ -lower semicontinuous. Therefore, using Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 with $g = -f$ we obtain the following two propositions.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let X be a topological space, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^X$ and $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ -lower semicontinuous function. Then*

- (i) $f^{-1}[[-\infty, \gamma]] \in \mathcal{A}_c$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $f^{-1}[(-\infty, +\infty]] \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma$ and, so, $f^{-1}[\{-\infty\}] \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma c}$;
- (iii) $f^{-1}[\{+\infty\}] \in \mathcal{A}_\delta$ and, so, $f^{-1}[[-\infty, +\infty]] \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta c}$;
- (iv) f is $\mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}$ -upper semicontinuous.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let X be a topological space, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^X$, $f_n: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ -lower semicontinuous function for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function such that $f(x) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Then f is an $\mathcal{A}_{c\sigma}$ -upper semicontinuous function.*

5. Classification of the Lipschitz derivatives

Now we pass to the investigation of the type of semicontinuity of Lipschitz derivatives of continuous functions. In [3] semicontinuity of Lipschitz derivatives of a continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ was obtained from the continuity of $\text{Lip}^r f$. But in the general situation, this function need not be continuous. Therefore, we prove semicontinuity of Lipschitz derivatives directly from the definitions.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let X and Y be metric spaces, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function and $r > 0$. Then $\text{lip}_r f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is an upper semicontinuous function.*

PROOF. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\gamma > \text{lip}_r f(x_0)$. Then

$$\inf_{\varrho < r} \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) = \text{lip}_r f(x_0) < \gamma.$$

So, there is positive $\varrho < r$ such that $\text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) < \gamma$. Pick γ_1 such that $\text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) < \gamma_1 < \gamma$. Then we choose ϱ_1 such that $\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma}\varrho < \varrho_1 < \varrho$. So, $\gamma\varrho_1 > \gamma_1\varrho$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{u \in B(x_0, \varrho)} |f(u) - f(x_0)|_Y = \varrho \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) < \gamma_1\varrho.$$

Then

$$|f(u) - f(x_0)|_Y < \gamma_1\varrho \quad \text{for any } u \in B(x_0, \varrho).$$

By the continuity of f at x_0 there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\varrho_1 + \delta < \varrho$ and

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)|_Y < \gamma\varrho_1 - \gamma_1\varrho \quad \text{for any } x \in U = B(x_0, \delta).$$

Consider $x \in U$ and $u \in B(x, \varrho_1)$. Then

$$|u - x_0|_X \leq |u - x|_X + |x - x_0|_X < \varrho_1 + \delta < \varrho,$$

and so, $u \in B(x_0, \varrho)$. Therefore,

$$|f(u) - f(x)|_Y \leq |f(u) - f(x_0)|_Y + |f(x_0) - f(x)|_Y < \gamma_1 \varrho + (\gamma \varrho_1 - \gamma_1 \varrho) = \gamma \varrho_1.$$

Thus, $\frac{1}{\varrho_1} |f(u) - f(x)|_Y \leq \gamma$ for any $u \in B(x, \varrho_1)$. Hence, $\text{Lip}^{\varrho_1} f(x) \leq \gamma$. But $0 < \varrho_1 < r$. Therefore, $\text{lip}_r f(x) \leq \gamma$ for any $x \in U$. Thus, $\text{lip}_r f$ is upper semicontinuous at x_0 . $\square$

THEOREM 5.2. *Let X and Y be metric spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function. Then $\text{lip} f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -lower semicontinuous function.*

PROOF. By (2.1) and (2.3) we conclude that $\text{lip} f(x) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{lip}_{\frac{1}{n}} f(x)$ for any $x \in X$. By Lemma 5.1, the functions $\text{lip}_{\frac{1}{n}} f$ are $\mathcal{T}$ -upper semicontinuous, where $\mathcal{T}$ is the topology of X . Therefore, by Proposition 4.2 $\text{lip} f$ is $\mathcal{T}_{c\sigma}$ -lower semicontinuous. This means that $\text{lip} f$ is $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -lower semicontinuous. $\square$

LEMMA 5.3. *Let X and Y be metric spaces, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function and $r > 0$. Then $\text{Lip}_r f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a lower semicontinuous function.*

PROOF. Fix $r > 0$. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\gamma < \text{Lip}_r f(x_0)$. Then

$$\sup_{\varrho < r} \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) = \text{Lip}_r f(x_0) > \gamma.$$

So, there is $\varrho \in (0, r)$ such that $\text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) > \gamma$. Pick γ_1 such that

$$\gamma < \gamma_1 < \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{u \in B(x_0, \varrho)} |f(u) - f(x_0)|_Y = \varrho \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x_0) > \gamma_1 \varrho.$$

Thus, there is $u \in B(x_0, \varrho)$ with

$$|f(u) - f(x_0)|_Y > \gamma_1 \varrho.$$

Then we choose ϱ_1 such that $\varrho < \varrho_1 < \min \{r, \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma} \varrho\}$. Consequently, $\gamma \varrho_1 < \gamma_1 \varrho$. By the continuity of f at x_0 there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\varrho + \delta < \varrho_1$ and

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)|_Y < \gamma_1 \varrho - \gamma \varrho_1 \quad \text{for any } x \in U := B(x_0, \delta).$$

Consider $x \in U$. Then

$$|u - x|_X \leq |u - x_0|_X + |x_0 - x|_X < \varrho + \delta < \varrho_1,$$

and, so, $u \in B(x, \varrho_1)$. Consequently,

$$|f(u) - f(x)|_Y \geq |f(u) - f(x_0)|_Y - |f(x) - f(x_0)|_Y > \gamma_1 \varrho - (\gamma_1 \varrho - \gamma \varrho_1) = \gamma \varrho_1.$$

Hence, $\text{Lip}^{\varrho_1} f(x) > \gamma$. But $0 < \varrho_1 < r$. Therefore, $\text{Lip}_r f(x) > \gamma$ for any $x \in U$. Thus, $\text{Lip}_r f$ is lower semicontinuous at x_0 . $\square$

THEOREM 5.4. *Let X and Y be metric spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function. Then $\text{Lip } f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -upper semicontinuous function.*

PROOF. By (2.1) and (2.2) we conclude that $\text{Lip } f(x) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Lip}_{\frac{1}{n}} f(x)$ for any $x \in X$. By Lemma 5.3, the functions $\text{Lip}_{\frac{1}{n}} f$ are $\mathcal{T}$ -lower semicontinuous where $\mathcal{T}$ is the topology of X . Therefore, by Proposition 4.4 $\text{Lip } f$ is $\mathcal{T}_{c\sigma}$ -upper semicontinuous. This means that $\text{Lip } f$ is $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -upper semicontinuous. $\square$

THEOREM 5.5. *Let X and Y be metric spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then $\mathbb{Lip } f: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is an upper semicontinuous function.*

PROOF. Fix $x_0 \in X$ and $\gamma > \mathbb{Lip } f(x_0)$. Since $\text{Lip } f(x_0) = \inf_{r > 0} \text{Lip}^r f(x_0)$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $\text{Lip}^r f(x_0) < \gamma$. Set $\varrho = \frac{r}{2}$ and consider $x \in B(x_0, \varrho)$. Then $B(x, \varrho) \subseteq B(x_0, r)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip } f(x) &\leq \text{Lip}^\varrho f(x) = \sup_{u \neq v \in B(x, \varrho)} \frac{1}{|u - v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y \\ &\leq \sup_{u \neq v \in B(x_0, r)} \frac{1}{|u - v|_X} |f(u) - f(v)|_Y = \text{Lip}^r f(x_0) < \gamma \end{aligned}$$

and, hence, $\mathbb{Lip } f$ is upper semicontinuous. $\square$

Theorems 5.2, 5.4, 5.5, and Propositions 4.1, 4.3 yield the following assertions.

COROLLARY 5.6. *Let X and Y be metric spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function. Then*

- (i) $\ell(f)$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -set;
- (ii) $\ell^\infty(f)$ is an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set;
- (iii) $L(f)$ is an F_σ -set;
- (iv) $L^\infty(f)$ is a G_δ -set.

PROOF. (i) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(f) &= \{x \in X : \text{lip } f(x) < \infty\} = (\text{lip } f)^{-1}[-\infty, +\infty) \\ &= X \setminus (\text{lip } f)^{-1}\{+\infty\}\end{aligned}$$

and, since $\text{lip } f$ is $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -lower semicontinuous, by Proposition 4.3(iii)

$$(\text{lip } f)^{-1}\{+\infty\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta},$$

so $\ell(f) = X \setminus (\text{lip } f)^{-1}\{+\infty\} \in \mathcal{G}_{\delta\sigma}$.

(ii) It follows immediately from (i).

(iii) It is easy to see, that $L(f) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (\text{Lip } f)^{-1}[[0, k]]$. Since $\text{Lip } f$ is an $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ -upper semicontinuous function, each set $(\text{Lip } f)^{-1}[[0, k]]$ is of F_σ type, hence $L(f)$ is an F_σ -set as a countable sum of F_σ -sets.

(iv) It follows from (iii). $\square$

COROLLARY 5.7. *Let X and Y be metric spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an arbitrary function. Then*

- (i) $\mathbb{L}(f)$ is an open set;
- (ii) $\mathbb{L}^\infty(f)$ is a closed set.

6. Characterization of Lipschitz functions on a convex subset of a normed space

The following lemma was applied by Buczolic, Hanson, Maga and Vértesy in certain investigations of Lipschitz derivatives of the real functions of real variable.

LEMMA 6.1 ([2, Lemma 2.2]). *If $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{lip } f \leq \chi_E$ then $|f(a) - f(b)| \leq \mu([a, b] \cap E)$ for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ (where $a < b$) so, f is Lipschitz and hence absolutely continuous.*

In the above, μ denotes the Lebesgue measure. We will state the following

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let $\gamma > 0$ and $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $\text{lip } f(x) \leq \gamma$ for any $x \in [0, 1]$. Then f is γ -Lipschitz.*

PROOF. Extend f to $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\tilde{f}(x) = f(0)$ if $x < 0$ and $\tilde{f}(x) = f(1)$ if $x > 1$. Let $g = \frac{1}{\gamma}\tilde{f}$ and $E = [0, 1]$. Then by Lemma 6.1 we conclude that $\frac{1}{\gamma}|f(x) - f(y)| = |g(x) - g(y)| \leq \mu([x, y] \cap E) = |x - y|$ for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$. $\square$

The next result will allow us to apply Lemma 6.1 and Corollary 6.2 for functions defined on normed spaces.

LEMMA 6.3. *Let A be a convex subset of the normed space X , $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and $a, b \in A$. Moreover, let $T: [0, 1] \rightarrow A$ be an affine function given by $T(u) = a + u(b - a)$ for $0 \leq u \leq 1$ and $g = f \circ T: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then,*

$$(6.1) \quad \text{lip } g \leq \|b - a\| ((\text{lip } f) \circ T).$$

PROOF. It is enough to consider the case where $a \neq b$. Fix $u_0 \in [0, 1]$ and observe, that

$$(6.2) \quad \|T(u) - T(u_0)\| = \|(u - u_0)(b - a)\| = |u - u_0| \|b - a\|, \quad 0 \leq u \leq 1.$$

We have

$$(6.3) \quad \text{lip } g(u_0) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{0 < |u - u_0| < r} \frac{|f(T(u)) - f(T(u_0))|}{r}.$$

Put $x_0 = T(u_0)$. Substituting $\varrho = r \|b - a\|$ and $x = T(u)$ in (6.3) and taking into account (6.2) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lip } g(u_0) &= \liminf_{\varrho \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{0 < |u - u_0| < \frac{\varrho}{\|b - a\|}} \frac{|f(T(u)) - f(T(u_0))|}{\varrho / \|b - a\|} \\ &= \|b - a\| \liminf_{\varrho \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{\substack{0 < \|x - x_0\| < \varrho \\ x \in T([0, 1])}} \frac{|f(x) - f(x_0)|}{\varrho} \\ &\leq \|b - a\| \liminf_{\varrho \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{0 < \|x - x_0\| < \varrho} \frac{|f(x) - f(x_0)|}{\varrho} \\ &= \|b - a\| \text{lip } f(x_0). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

As $((\text{lip } f) \circ T) \|b - a\| = ((\text{lip } f) \circ T) \cdot \left\| \frac{dT}{du} \right\|$, the right side of inequality (6.1) is reminiscent of the “chain rule” for the usual derivative. Nevertheless, the inequality can be strict. To see that, take a function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $f(x, y) = y$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and consider the usual distance on $\mathbb{R}^2$. By Theorem 3.2 we have, $\text{lip } f(x, y) = \|df(x, y)\| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y)\right)^2} = 1$. Let $a = (0, 0)$, $b = (1, 0)$, $T(u) = a + (b - a)u = (u, 0)$, $u \in [0, 1]$ and $g = f \circ T$. Therefore, $g(u) = f(u, 0) = 0$ and so, $\text{lip } g(u) = 0 < 1 = \|b - a\| \text{lip } f(T(u))$ for any u .

THEOREM 6.4. *Let D be a convex subset of a normed space X , $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and $\gamma \geq 0$. Then f is γ -Lipschitz if and only if $\text{lip } f(x) \leq \gamma$ for any $x \in D$.*

PROOF. Fix $a, b \in D$. Define $T: [0, 1] \rightarrow D$ as

$$T(u) = a + u(b - a) \quad \text{for } u \in [0, 1]$$

and put $g = f \circ T: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Applying Lemma 6.3 we get

$$\text{lip } g(u) \leq \|b - a\| \text{lip } f(T(u)) \leq \|b - a\| \gamma,$$

for any $u \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, Corollary 6.2 implies that g is Lipschitz with the constant $\gamma_1 = \|b - a\| \gamma$. Thus,

$$|f(a) - f(b)| = |g(0) - g(1)| \leq \gamma_1 |0 - 1| = \gamma \|a - b\|.$$

So, f is γ -Lipschitz on D . □

By $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ we denote standard norm on space of bounded real functions $B(D)$ defined on a set D , i.e., $\|h\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in D} |h(x)|$ for any $h: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

COROLLARY 6.5. *Let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, where D is a convex subset of some normed space X . Then, $\|f\|_{\text{lip}} = \|\text{lip } f\|_\infty$.*

PROOF. We simply check, that if $\|f\|_{\text{lip}} < \infty$ or $\|\text{lip } f\|_\infty < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\text{lip}} &= \inf \{ \gamma > 0 : f \text{ is } \gamma\text{-Lipschitz} \} \\ &= \inf \{ \gamma > 0 : \text{lip } f(x) \leq \gamma \text{ for any } x \in D \} \\ &= \sup_{x \in D} \text{lip } f(x) = \|\text{lip } f\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from Theorem 6.4. □

Therefore, lip is an isometric injection of the normed space $\text{Lip}_a(D, \mathbb{R})$ with some $a \in X$, into the space $B(D)$.

7. Baire limit functions of Lipschitz derivatives

For a given function $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, defined on a metric space X , its *upper Baire function* $f^\vee$ is defined by

$$f^\vee(x) = \inf_{U \in \mathcal{U}(x)} \sup_{u \in U} f(u), \quad x \in X,$$

and its *lower Baire function* $f^\wedge$ is defined by

$$f^\wedge(x) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}(x)} \inf_{u \in U} f(u), \quad x \in X,$$

where $\mathcal{U}(x)$ is the family of all the neighborhoods of x in X . (See, for example, [10].) The upper Baire function $f^\vee$ is upper semicontinuous and the lower Baire function $f^\wedge$ is lower semicontinuous.

A subset D of a normed space X is called *locally convex* if for any point $x \in D$ and any neighborhood U of x in D there is a convex neighborhood V of x in D such that $V \subseteq U$. For example, every convex set and every open set in X is locally convex.

THEOREM 7.1. *Let D be a locally convex subset of a normed space X and let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then*

$$(\text{lip } f)^\vee = (\text{Lip } f)^\vee = \mathbb{Lip } f.$$

PROOF. Since $\text{lip } f \leq \text{Lip } f \leq \mathbb{Lip } f$ and $\mathbb{Lip } f$ is upper semicontinuous by Theorem 5.5, we have

$$(\text{lip } f)^\vee \leq (\text{Lip } f)^\vee \leq (\mathbb{Lip } f)^\vee = \mathbb{Lip } f.$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove that $\mathbb{Lip } f \leq (\text{lip } f)^\vee$. Fix $x_0 \in D$. The case where $(\text{lip } f)^\vee(x_0) = \infty$ is obvious. So, we suppose that $(\text{lip } f)^\vee(x_0) < \infty$. Let $\gamma > (\text{lip } f)^\vee(x_0)$. Then, there exists a convex neighborhood U of x_0 , such that

$$\text{lip } f(x) < \gamma \quad \text{for any } x \in U.$$

By Theorem 6.4, the function f is γ -Lipschitz on U . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Lip } f(x_0) &= \inf_{r>0} \|f|_{B(x_0,r)}\|_{\text{lip}} \\ &\leq \|f|_U\|_{\text{lip}} \leq \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where $B(x_0, r)$ means the ball in the metric subspace D . Passing to the limit with $\gamma \rightarrow (\text{lip } f)^\vee(x_0)$ we obtain the desired inequality. $\square$

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to appreciate the referee for his/her many helpful comments and suggestions throughout this paper.

References

- [1] Z. Buczolic, B. Hanson, B. Maga, and G. Vértessy, *Characterization of lip sets*, J.Math. Anal. Appl. **489** (2020), no. 2, 124175, 11 pp. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124175.
- [2] Z. Buczolic, B. Hanson, B. Maga, and G. Vértessy, *Big and little Lipschitz one sets*, Eur. J. Math. **7** (2021), no. 2, 464–488. DOI: 10.1007/s40879-021-00458-9.
- [3] Z. Buczolic, B. Hanson, M. Rmoutil, and T. Zürcher, *On sets where lip f is finite*, Studia Math. **249** (2019), no. 1, 33–58. DOI: 10.4064/sm170820-26-5.
- [4] J. Cheeger, *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 3, 428–517. DOI: 10.1007/s000390050094.
- [5] L.C. Evans and R.F. Gariepy, *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, Stud. Adv. Math., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.
- [6] B. Hanson, *Sets where Lip f is infinite and lip f is finite*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **499** (2021), no. 2, 125071, 11 pp. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2021.125071.

- [7] V.H. Herasymchuk and O.V. Maslyuchenko, *The oscillation of separately locally Lipschitz functions*, Carpathian Math. Publ. **3** (2011), no. 1, 22–33. Available at <https://journals.pnu.edu.ua/index.php/cmp/article/view/3082>.
- [8] J. Malý, *A simple proof of the Stepanov theorem on differentiability almost everywhere*, Exposition. Math. **17** (1999), no. 1, 59–61.
- [9] J. Malý and L. Zajíček, *On Stepanov type differentiability theorems*, Acta Math. Hungar. **145** (2015), no. 1, 174–190. DOI: 10.1007/s10474-014-0465-6.
- [10] O.V. Maslyuchenko and V.V. Nesterenko, *On extensions of quasi-continuous functions*, in: J. Hejduk, S. Kowalczyk, R.J. Pawlak, M. Turowska (Eds.), *Modern Real Analysis*, Łódź University Press, Łódź, 2015, pp. 161–184.
- [11] H. Rademacher, *Über partielle und totale differenzierbarkeit von Funktionen mehrerer Variablen und über die Transformation der Doppelintegrale*, Math. Ann. **79** (1919), no. 4, 340–359. DOI: 10.1007/BF01498415.
- [12] M. Rmoutil and T. Zürcher, *On sets where lip f is infinite for monotone continuous functions*, arXiv preprint, 2024. Available at arXiv: 2401.15388v1.
- [13] D. Salas and S. Tapia-García, *Extended seminorms and extended topological vector spaces*, Topology Appl. **210** (2016), 317–354. DOI: 10.1016/j.topol.2016.08.001.
- [14] W. Stepanoff, *Über totale Differenzierbarkeit*, Math. Ann. **90** (1923), no. 3–4, 318–320. Available at <http://eudml.org/doc/159034>.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF SILESIA IN KATOWICE
BANKOWA 14
40-007 KATOWICE
POLAND
e-mail: ovmasl@gmail.com
e-mail: ziemol@onet.eu