# **ON S-LENGTH OF GROUPS**

#### Olga Macedońska, Aleksandra Potyka

**Abstract.** Let G be a group and S be a subsemigroup in G, generating G as a group. Every element in G is a product of elements from  $S \cup S^{-1}$ . An equality  $G = S^{-1}S \cdots S^{-1}S$  allows to define an S-length l(G) of the group G. The note concerns the problem posed by J. Krempa on possible values of l(G). We show that for collapsing groups, supramenable groups and groups of a subexponential growth  $l(G) \leq 2$ . The S-length of a relatively free group can be equal to 1 or 2 or infinity, but it can not be equal to 3. The problem concerning other values is open.

## 1. Introduction

In the process of algebraic classifications in group theory there are used different parameters of groups defined by so called length or width functions. The notion of S-length of a group was suggested by J. Krempa in 1998 and some conjectures were made on its value.

Let S be a subsemigroup in a group G, generating G as a group. If G is a finite group, it is clear that  $G = S = S^{-1}$ . If G is an abelian group then  $G = S^{-1}S = SS^{-1}$ , that is G is a group of fractions of S (see e.g. [1]). A natural question arises whether for each k > 2 there is a group such that  $G = S^{-1}S \cdots S^{-1}S$  with no less than k factors. The aim of this note is to describe the groups of S-length equal to 1 and 2. We also give an example of a group with S-length greater or equal to 3 and show that the S-length of a relatively free group can not be equal to 3.

Received: 14.10.2008. Revised: 3.12.2008.

<sup>(2000)</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20E10, 20E34, 20M07.

Key words and phrases: relatively free group, cancellative semigroup, S-length.

If G is a group and A is a subset in G then sgp(A) will denote the subsemigroup of G generated by A. By |A| we denote the cardinality of A.

# 2. S-length of a group

Let G be a finitely generated group and n be the smallest number of generators in G. A subsemigroup  $S \subseteq G$  generated by any n-element set of generators in G will be called a base semigroup of G.

The length of G with respect to a base semigroup S, denoted by l(S,G) is the smallest natural k (if exists) such that

(1) 
$$G = \underbrace{S^{-1}SS^{-1}S\cdots S}_{k} \stackrel{(-1)^{k}}{=} \underbrace{SS^{-1}SS^{-1}\cdots S}_{k} \stackrel{(-1)^{k-1}}{=}$$

If such a k does not exist we assume  $l(S,G) = \infty$ .

DEFINITION. Let X(G) denote the set of all base semigroups in G. Then the S-length of G is defined as

$$l(G) = \sup\{l(S,G) : S \in X(G)\}.$$

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $G = \langle a \rangle_2 * \langle b \rangle_3$  be the free product of finite cyclic groups of orders 2 and 3. Let  $S_1 = sgp(a, b)$  and  $S_2 = sgp(ab, ab^2)$ . It is not difficult to see that  $l(S_1, G) = 1$  (see also Example 4), while  $l(S_2, G) \neq 1$ , because each element in  $S_2$  begins with a, so  $S_2 \neq G$ . We can see also that  $a \notin S_2S_2^{-1}$ and  $a = (ab)(ab^2)^{-1}(ab) = (ab)^{-1}(ab^2)(ab)^{-1} \in S_2 S_2^{-1}S_2 \cap S_2^{-1}S_2 S_2^{-1}$ , hence we can conclude that  $l(G) \geq 3$ .

EXAMPLE 2. If G is a periodic group (e.g. a finite group) then l(G) = 1.

EXAMPLE 3. If F is a free noncyclic group and S is generated by a set  $\{a, b, ...\}$  of free generators of F then for every k, l(S, F) > k, because the word  $(ab^{-1})^k$  is not equal to any other word and needs 2k factors in (1). So we conclude that  $l(F) = \infty$ .

A word  $w(x_1, ..., x_n)$  is called *positive* if it is written without inverses of  $x_i$ 's. We give now necessary and sufficient conditions for a group G and a base semigroup  $S \subseteq G$  to have l(S, G) = 1.

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $S = sgp(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  be a base semigroup in a group G. l(S, G) = 1 if and only if G has a defining relation  $r(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) = 1$ , where r is a positive word, containing each generator.

PROOF. Let F be a free group and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a free subsemigroup in F, both generated by the set  $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ . By assumption G has a presentation  $F/N = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \mid N \rangle$  for some  $N \triangleleft F$ , and the natural homomorphism  $F \rightarrow G \cong F/N$  maps  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow S$ .

If l(S,G) = 1, then  $G = S = S^{-1}$ , and  $F = \mathcal{F}N = \mathcal{F}^{-1}N$ . Hence  $(x_1x_2\cdots x_n)^{-1} \in F = \mathcal{F}N$ . So the word  $(x_1x_2\cdots x_n)^{-1}$  is in a coset sN for some positive word  $s = s(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus the required defining relator is  $r := x_1x_2\cdots x_n \cdot s$  and the relation in G is  $a_1a_2\cdots a_n \cdot s(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$ .

Conversely, let  $G \cong F/N$ . If  $r \in N$  is a positive word containing each generator then for each fixed  $x_i$  we can write r as  $ux_iv$  for some  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$ . Conjugation by u gives the defining relator  $x_ivu \in N$ , which implies that  $x_i^{-1} \in vuN \subseteq \mathcal{F}N$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}N$ ,  $F = \mathcal{F}N$  and G = S. Since  $G = G^{-1}$ , we have  $G = S = S^{-1}$  and l(S, G) = 1.

EXAMPLE 4. In Example 1, the group  $G = \langle a \rangle_2 * \langle b \rangle_3$ , with a base semigroup  $S_1 = sgp(a, b)$  has the defining relation  $a^2b^3 = 1$ . So  $l(S_1, G) = 1$ , however  $l(G) \neq 1$ .

We recall that a semigroup S satisfies Ore conditions if for every  $g, h \in S$ there exist  $g', h' \in S$  such that gg' = hh' (right Ore condition) and there exist  $g'', h'' \in S$  such that g''g = h''h (left Ore condition) (for definition in different terminology see [1, §1.10]). We give now necessary and sufficient conditions for a group G and a base semigroup  $S \subseteq G$  to have  $l(S,G) \leq 2$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Let G be a group with a base semigroup S.  $l(S,G) \leq 2$  if and only if S satisfies Ore conditions.

PROOF. If l(S,G) = 2, then  $G = SS^{-1} = S^{-1}S$  and for every  $g, h \in S$ ,  $h^{-1}g \in G = SS^{-1}$ , which implies that there exist  $g', h' \in S$  such that  $h^{-1}g = h'g'^{-1}$  and the right Ore condition gg' = hh' follows. The left Ore condition follows similarly from the equality  $G = S^{-1}S$ .

Conversely, the right Ore condition implies that for every  $g, h \in S$  there exist  $g', h' \in S$  such that  $h^{-1}g = h'g'^{-1}$  which gives  $S^{-1}S \subseteq SS^{-1}$ . The opposite inclusion follows from the left Ore condition, so  $SS^{-1} = S^{-1}S$ . Each element of G is in a finite product of the form  $SS^{-1}SS^{-1}\cdots S^{(-1)^{k-1}}$  with k, say, factors. If k = 3 then by the equality  $S^{-1}S = SS^{-1}$  we have  $SS^{-1}S = S(SS^{-1}) = SS^{-1}$ . Similarly, for any k we can show by induction that  $G = S^{-1}S = SS^{-1}$ . Hence  $l(S,G) \leq 2$ .

THEOREM 1. A group G without free noncyclic subsemigroup has  $l(G) \leq 2$ .

PROOF. Let g, h be elements in G. Since by assumption the semigroup sgp(g, h) is not free, there are two equal nontrivial words u(g, h) = v(g, h). By using cancellation in G we can assume that u(g, h) has g as the first letter and the first letter in v(g, h) is h, so we obtain  $gu_1(g, h) = hv_1(g, h)$ , which implies the right Ore condition in every subsemigroup in G. For the left Ore condition we use the last letters in u(g, h) and v(g, h). Now the statement follows by Proposition 2.

COROLLARY 1. All collapsing groups [7], supramenable groups [8] and groups of a subexponential growth [4],[3],[2] have  $l(G) \leq 2$ .

To give an example of a group with S-length greater or equal to 3 we recall that the restricted wreath product (see [6, p.45])  $G = A wr \langle b \rangle$  of a group Aand an infinite cyclic group  $\langle b \rangle$  is a semidirect product of W and  $\langle b \rangle$  where  $W = \prod^{\times} A^{b^i}$  is the direct product of copies of A, numbered by elements of  $\langle b \rangle$ . Instead of  $A^{b^0}$  we write A, and  $A^{b^i} = b^{-i}Ab^i$ . Every element  $g \in G$  can be uniquely written as

$$g = b^{j} w_{j}$$

where w is a product of commuting factors  $a^{b^i}$ ,  $a^{b^i} = b^{-i}a b^i$ ,  $a^{b^i}b^j = b^j a^{b^{i+j}}$ .

THEOREM 2. If  $G = \langle a \rangle_2 wr \langle b \rangle$  and S = sgp(a, b), then l(S, G) = 3.

PROOF. Note that  $a^b a^{b^2} = b^{-1} a b^{-1} a b^2 = b^{-2} a b a \notin S S^{-1}$ . Thus l(S,G) > 2. To prove that l(S,G) = 3 it suffices to show that  $G = \langle b \rangle S \langle b \rangle = \langle b \rangle S^{-1} \langle b \rangle$ . Since  $a = a^{-1}$ , we have  $a \in S \cap S^{-1}$ . Let  $w \in W$ . Then w can be written as a product of, say k, commuting factors

(2) 
$$w = a^{b^{i_1}} a^{b^{i_2}} \cdots a^{b^{i_k}} = b^{-i_1} a b^{i_1 - i_2} a b^{i_2 - i_3} \cdots b^{i_{k-1} - i_k} a b^{i_k}.$$

For example  $a^{b^5}a^{b^3}a^{b^{-1}} = b^{-5}a b^{5-3}a b^{3+1}a b^{-1} = b^{-5}a b^2a b^4a b^{-1} \in \langle b \rangle S \langle b \rangle$ . Since  $a^{b^i}$  commute, we can assume in (2)  $i_1 > i_2 > ... > i_k$ , then  $w \in b^{-i_1}Sb^{i_k}$ .

If write the factors  $a^{b^i}$  in reverse order then  $i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_k$ , and  $w \in b^{-i_1}S^{-1}b^{i_k} \subseteq \langle b \rangle S^{-1} \langle b \rangle$ . Thus  $W \subseteq \langle b \rangle S \langle b \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle S^{-1} \langle b \rangle$  and multiplication by  $\langle b \rangle$  implies  $G = \langle b \rangle S \langle b \rangle = \langle b \rangle S^{-1} \langle b \rangle$ . Hence  $G = S^{-1}S S^{-1} = S S^{-1}S$  and l(S, G) = 3.

## 3. S-length of relatively free groups

A group G satisfies a law  $u(x_1, ..., x_m) \equiv v(x_1, ..., x_m)$  if for every elements  $g_1, ..., g_m$  in G the equality  $u(g_1, ..., g_m) = v(g_1, ..., g_m)$  holds. A law  $u(x_1, ..., x_m) \equiv v(x_1, ..., x_m)$  is called an *m*-variable positive law if the words u, v are positive words. The positive law is called balanced if for each variable  $x_i$  its exponent sum is the same in u and in v. The simplest example of the balanced positive law is the abelian law. Note that a non-balanced law implies a law  $x^m \equiv 1$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and implies the balanced law  $x^m y^m \equiv y^m x^m$ .

A group G is called *relatively free of rank* n if it is free in some variety of groups, and has a set of free generators of cardinality n. We formulate the statements 13.11, 13.52, 13.53, 13.25 from [6] in the following

LEMMA 1. Let  $\{a_i\}$  be a set of free generators in a relatively free group G.

- (i) If  $\{b_i\}$  is another set of free generators in G, then  $|\{a_i\}| = |\{b_i\}|$ .
- (ii)  $|\{a_i\}|$  is the smallest number of generators in G.
- (iii) Every relator on the generators in  $\{a_i\}$  is a law in the group G.
- (iv) Every mapping  $\{a_i\} \to G$  can be extended to an endomorphism of G.

We can show that for a relatively free group G with a base semigroup S the number l(S, G) is an invariant of G, not depending on the choice of S.

LEMMA 2. The S-length l(G) of a relatively free group G is equal to l(S, G) for any base semigroup S on a set of free generators.

PROOF. Let G be a relatively free group. Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two base semigroups in G generated by the sets of free generators  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\{b_i\}$  respectively. We show that the equality  $l(S_1, G) = l(S_2, G)$  holds. By (i) of Lemma 1, the sets  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\{b_i\}$  have the same cardinality and the map  $a_i \to b_i$  defines by (iv) of Lemma 1, an automorphism  $\alpha$  in G, such that  $S_1^{\alpha} = S_2$ . Thus  $\alpha$ , applied to the expression (1) written with respect to  $S_1$ , changes  $S_1$  to  $S_2$  and hence  $l(S_1, G) \ge l(S_2, G)$ . Similarly, by applying the automorphism  $\alpha^{-1}$  to (1) for  $S_2$ , we get  $l(S_2, G) \ge l(S_1, G)$ , which gives the equality  $l(S_1, G) = l(S_2, G)$ .

If a relatively free group G of rank n is a non-Hopf group [5] then there exists a set  $\{b_i\}$  of n generators, which generate G not freely. Let  $S_2$  be the base semigroups on the set  $\{b_i\}$  and  $S_1$  be the base semigroups on the set of free generators  $\{a_i\}$ . The map  $a_i \to b_i$  defines by (iv) of Lemma 1, an epi-endomorphism in G which implies that  $l(S_1, G) \ge l(S_2, G)$ . Hence we conclude that  $l(G) = (S_1, G)$ .

THEOREM 3. The S-length l(G) of a relatively free group G is less or equal to 2 if and only if G satisfies a positive law.

PROOF. Let  $l(G) \leq 2$  and let S be a base semigroup generated by a set of free generators  $\{a_i\}$  in G. In view of Lemma 2,  $l(S,G) \leq 2$  and by Proposition 2, S satisfies Ore conditions. So for generators  $a_1, a_2$  there exist  $s_1, s_2 \in S$  such that  $a_1s_1 = a_2s_2$ , then by (*iii*) of Lemma 1, G satisfies a positive law.

Conversely, let G satisfies a positive law  $u'(x_1, ..., x_n) = v'(x_1, ..., x_n)$ . Then G satisfies a binary positive law u(x, y) = v(x, y), obtained by substitution  $x_i \to xy^i$ . It follows that G does not contain a free subsemigroup and the statement follows by Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 2. Let G be a relatively free group. 1. l(G) = 1 if and only if G satisfies a law  $x^n = 1$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . 2. l(G) = 2 if and only if G satisfies only balanced non-trivial positive laws.

There is a conjecture that for a relatively free group G the S-length l(G) can be only 1, 2 or infinity. We prove that it can not be equal to 3.

THEOREM 4. If G is a relatively free group, then  $l(G) \neq 3$ .

PROOF. If G is a relatively free group and l(G) = 3 then the equality  $G = S S^{-1}S = S^{-1}S S^{-1}$  implies by (*iii*) of Lemma 1, that G must satisfy a law

(3) 
$$x y^{-1} z \equiv a^{-1} b c^{-1},$$

where a, b, c are positive words in a free group F generated by  $x, y, z, \ldots$ 

The law (3) can be written as

(4) 
$$b \equiv a(x y^{-1} z)c.$$

We introduce three maps in the free group, defined by

$$\alpha \colon y \to 1, \quad \beta \colon y \to x, \quad \gamma \colon y \to z,$$

and each leaves other generators fixed. Each of these maps changes (4) into a positive law. If l(G) = 3 then, by Theorem 3, each of these positive laws must be trivial. We show that it is not possible.

If we apply  $\alpha$  to (4), we obtain a positive law  $b^{\alpha} \equiv a^{\alpha}xzc^{\alpha}$  which must be trivial that is we have the equality

$$b^{\alpha} \doteq a^{\alpha} x z \, c^{\alpha},$$

where the symbol " $\doteq$ " denotes the equality of words in a free group.

Since b is a positive word and is a pre-image of the word  $a^{\alpha}xzc^{\alpha},$  it must have a form

$$b \doteq b_1(x \, y^k z) \, b_2,$$

where  $b_1, b_2$  are positive words,  $k \ge 0$ ,  $b_1^{\alpha} \doteq a^{\alpha}$ ,  $b_2^{\alpha} \doteq c^{\alpha}$ . We use this form of b to rewrite the law (3) as

(5) 
$$xy^{-1}z \equiv (a^{-1}b_1)xy^k z(b_2c^{-1}).$$

Since  $b_1^{\alpha} \doteq a^{\alpha}$ , the word  $a^{-1}b_1$  becomes trivial under  $\alpha$  replacing  $y \to 1$ , therefore it has the exponent sums of x and of z equal to zero, and similarly for  $b_2c^{-1}$ , which we denote as

(6) 
$$\sigma_x(a^{-1}b_1) = 0$$
,  $\sigma_z(a^{-1}b_1) = 0$ ,  $\sigma_x(b_2c^{-1}) = 0$ ,  $\sigma_z(b_2c^{-1}) = 0$ .

If we apply  $\beta$  to (5), we get the law

$$z \equiv (a^{-1}b_1)^{\beta} (xx^k z) (b_2 c^{-1})^{\beta},$$

which must be trivial because otherwise it gives a nontrivial positive law. So we have the equality

$$z \doteq (\underbrace{a^{-1}b_1})^\beta x x^k \cdot \underline{z} \cdot (\underbrace{b_2 c^{-1}})^\beta$$

Since  $\sigma_z((a^{-1}b_1)^{\beta}) = \sigma_z(a^{-1}b_1) \stackrel{(6)}{=} 0$ , the underlined z can not be cancelled from the left and similarly not from the right. Then the underbraced words must be trivial. In particular,  $(a^{-1}b_1)^{\beta}xx^k \doteq 1$ . It follows that  $\sigma_x((a^{-1}b_1)^{\beta}) = -k - 1$ . Since for any word w,  $\sigma_x(w^{\beta}) = \sigma_x(w) + \sigma_y(w)$ , we have

$$-k - 1 = \sigma_x((a^{-1}b_1)^\beta) = \sigma_x(a^{-1}b_1) + \sigma_y(a^{-1}b_1) \stackrel{(6)}{=} 0 + \sigma_y(a^{-1}b_1),$$

that is

$$\sigma_y(a^{-1}b_1) = -k - 1 < 0.$$

Now we apply  $\gamma$  to (5), and the law we get must again be trivial

$$x \doteq (a^{-1}b_1)^{\gamma} \cdot \underline{x} \cdot z^k z \, (b_2 c^{-1})^{\gamma}.$$

Since  $\sigma_x(a^{-1}b_1)^{\gamma} = \sigma_x(a^{-1}b_1) \stackrel{(6)}{=} 0$ , the underlined x can not be canceled from the left and similarly not from the right. Hence  $(a^{-1}b_1)^{\gamma} \doteq 1$ . Then the exponent sum of z in  $(a^{-1}b_1)^{\gamma}$  must be also zero and we have

$$0 = \sigma_z((a^{-1}b_1)^{\gamma}) = \sigma_z(a^{-1}b_1) + \sigma_y(a^{-1}b_1) = 0 - k - 1 < 0,$$

a contradiction.

The following example illustrates the above reasoning for the specific law of the form  $x y^{-1} z \equiv a^{-1} b c^{-1}$ . We show how it implies a positive law.

EXAMPLE 5. Let  $a = zy^3xy$ , b = zyxyxyzyx, c = xy then the law (3) is

(7) 
$$x y^{-1} z \equiv (zy^3 xy)^{-1} zy xy xy zy x (xy)^{-1},$$

If apply  $\alpha$  to (7) we get  $xz \equiv (zx)^{-1}b^{\alpha}(x)^{-1}$ , which is trivial because

$$b^{\alpha} \doteq (zyxyxyzyx)^{\alpha} \doteq (zx)xz(x).$$

By applying  $\beta$  to (7) we get the law which again is trivial

$$z \doteq \underbrace{(zx^5)^{-1}(zx^3)x^2}_{\checkmark} \cdot z \cdot \underbrace{(x^2)(x^2)^{-1}}_{\checkmark}.$$

By applying  $\gamma$  to (7) we get a nontrivial law

$$x \equiv (z^4 x z)^{-1} z^2 x z x z^2 z x (x z)^{-1}$$

which implies a positive law  $(z^4xz)x(xz) \equiv z^2xzxz^3x$ , or shorter

$$z^2 x z x^2 z \equiv x z x z^3 x.$$

#### References

- Clifford A.H., Preston G.B., The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I, American Mathematical Society, R.I., 1964.
- [2] Erschler A., Not residually finite groups of intermediate growth, commensurability and non-geometricity, J. Algebra 272 (2004), 154–172.
- [3] Grigorchuk R.I., On the Milnor problem of group growth (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 271 (1) (1983), 30–33. English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. 28 (1) (1983), 23–26.
- [4] Gromov M., Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 53 (1981), 53–78.

- [5] Ivanov S.V., Storozhev A.M., Non-Hopfian relatively free groups, Geom. Dedicata 114 (2005), 209–228.
- [6] Neumann H., Varieties of Groups, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967.
- [7] Semple J.F., Shalev A., Combinatorial conditions in residually finite groups I, J. Algebra 157 (1993), 43–50.
- [8] Wagon S., The Banach-Tarski Paradox, Cambridge University Press, 1985.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY KASZUBSKA 23 44-100 GLIWICE POLAND e-mail: O.Macedonska@polsl.pl e-mail: A.Potyka@polsl.pl