

ON LUCAS NUMBERS, LUCAS PSEUDOPRIMES
AND A NUMBERTHEORETICAL SERIES INVOLVING
LUCAS PSEUDOPRIMES AND CARMICHAEL NUMBERS

ANDRZEJ ROTKIEWICZ

Abstract. The following theorems are proved:

- (1) If α and $\beta \neq \alpha$ are roots of the polynomial $x^2 - Px + Q$, where $\gcd(P, Q) = 1$, $P = \alpha + \beta$ is an odd positive integer, then $(\alpha + \beta)^{n+1} | \alpha^x + \beta^x$ if and only if $x = (2l + 1)(\alpha + \beta)^n$, where $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and then

$$\gcd\left(\frac{\alpha^{(\alpha+\beta)^n} + \beta^{(\alpha+\beta)^n}}{(\alpha + \beta)^{n+1}}, \alpha + \beta\right) = 1.$$

- (2) Given integers P, Q with $D = P^2 - 4Q \neq 0, -Q, -2Q, -3Q$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, every arithmetic progression $ax + b$, where $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ contains an odd integer n_0 such that $(D|n_0) = \varepsilon$. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/\log P_n^{(a)}$, where $P_n^{(a)}$ is the n -th strong Lucas pseudoprime with parameters P and Q of the form $ax + b$, where $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ such that $(D|P_n^{(a)}) = \varepsilon$, is divergent.
- (3) Let C_n denote the n -th Carmichael number. From the conjecture of P. Erdős that $C(x) > x^{1-\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \geq x_0(\varepsilon)$, where $C(x)$ denotes the number of Carmichael numbers not exceeding x it follows that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/C_n^{1-\varepsilon}$ is divergent for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let P, Q be non-zero integers. Then the polynomial $x^2 - Px + Q$, has the roots $\alpha, \beta = \frac{P \pm \sqrt{D}}{2}$, where $D = P^2 - 4Q$.

Received: 1.12.2006. *Revised:* 27.02.2007.

(2000) Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A07, 11B39.

Key words and phrases: Carmichael number, Lucas number, Lucas pseudoprime.

For each $n \geq 0$, define $u_n = u_n(P, Q)$ and $v_n = v_n(P, Q)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= 0, \quad u_1 = 1, \quad u_n = Pu_{n-1} - Qu_{n-2} \quad (\text{for } n \geq 2), \\ v_0 &= 2, \quad v_1 = P, \quad v_n = Pv_{n-1} - Qv_{n-2} \quad (\text{for } n \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

The sequences $u_n(P, Q)$ and $v_n(P, Q)$ are called the first and second Lucas sequences with parameters P and Q . If $\eta = \alpha/\beta$ is a root of unity then the sequences $u_n(P, Q)$, $v_n(P, Q)$ are said to be *degenerate*.

If $\gcd(P, Q) = 1$, then for degenerate sequence we have $(P, Q) = (1, 1)$, $(-1, 1)$, $(2, 1)$ or $(-2, 1)$. If the sequence is degenerate, then $D = 0$ or $D = -3$. For $D \neq 0$ by Binet's formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}, \quad v_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n, \\ u_n(-P, Q) &= (-1)^{n-1}u_n(P, Q), \quad v_n(-P, Q) = (-1)^n v_n(P, Q). \end{aligned}$$

1. Historical remarks

In the book [2], which contains every extant work by E. Galois (1811–1832) on page 301 it is written:

$$8, 27, 64, 125, 343, 512, 729, 1000 \\ \frac{3^3 + 5^3}{2^3}, \frac{4^3 + 5^3}{3^3}, \frac{2^3 + 7^3}{3^3}, \frac{5^3 + 7^3}{3^3}$$

(in the denominator of last number, instead 3^3 should be $3^2 \cdot 2^2$).

The above passage of Galois manuscript suggests that $m(a+b) \mid a^m + b^m$ if $2 \nmid m$ and every prime factor of m divides $a+b$.

We note here that E.E. Kummer [11] (see L.E. Dickson [5], p. 737) showed that if an n is odd prime we have

$$\frac{a^n \pm b^n}{a \pm b} = (a \pm b)^{n-1} \mp (a \pm b)^{n-3} ab + \frac{n(n-3)}{2} (a \pm b)^{n-5} a^2 b^2 \mp \dots$$

and if the above number and $a \pm b$ have a common factor, it divides the last term $\pm n(ab)^{(n-1)/2}$, and is equal n if a and b are relatively prime with n .

Since the coefficients $n, n(n-3)/2, \dots$ are divisible by n , the exponent of the highest power of n dividing $a^n \pm b^n$ exceeds by unity that in $a \pm b$. T. Boncler (see W. Sierpiński [24], p. 67) proved that for every odd n and coprime integers a and b we have $(a+b)^2 \mid a^n + b^n$ if and only if $(a+b) \mid n$.

The author proved [17] that if $(a, b) = 1$ and $a + b$ is a positive odd integer then $(a + b)^{n+1} | a^x + b^x$ if and only if $x = (2l + 1)(a + b)^n$, where $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\gcd\left(\frac{a^{(a+b)^n} + b^{(a+b)^n}}{(a+b)^{n+1}}, a+b\right) = 1.$$

Here we shall prove the following generalization of the above theorem.

THEOREM 1. *If α and $\beta \neq \alpha$ are roots of the polynomial $x^2 - Px + Q$, where $\gcd(P, Q) = 1$, $P = \alpha + \beta$ is an odd positive integer, then $(\alpha + \beta)^{n+1} | \alpha^x + \beta^x$ if and only if $x = (2l + 1)(\alpha + \beta)^n$, where $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and then*

$$\gcd\left(\frac{\alpha^{(\alpha+\beta)^n} + \beta^{(\alpha+\beta)^n}}{(\alpha+\beta)^{n+1}}, \alpha+\beta\right) = 1.$$

PROOF. By the so-called law of repetition [26, p. 87] we have:

Let p^e (with $e \geq 1$) be the exact power of p dividing u_n . We shall write $p^e || u_n$ when $p^e | u_n$, $p^{e+1} \nmid u_n$. Let $f \geq 1$, $p \nmid k$. Then, p^{e+f} divides u_{nkpf} . Moreover, if $p \nmid Q$, $p^e \neq 2$ then p^{e+f} is the exact power of p dividing u_{nkpf} .

For the sequence v_n we have:

If p is an odd prime, $\lambda > 0$ and $p^\lambda || v_m$, then $p^{\alpha+\mu} || v_{mnp^\mu}$, where $p \nmid n$, n is odd, and $\mu \geq 0$.

Let $v_1 = \alpha + \beta = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$, where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k are odd primes. We have $(\alpha + \beta)^{n+1} = p_1^{\alpha_1+n\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2+n\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k+n\alpha_k}$ and by law of repetition for v_n we have

$(\alpha + \beta)^{n+1} | \alpha^x + \beta^x$ if and only if $x = (2l+1)p_1^{n\alpha_1} p_2^{n\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{n\alpha_k} = (2l+1)(\alpha + \beta)^n$, where $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and since by law of repetition: $p_1^{\alpha_i+n\alpha_i} || v_{p_i^{n\alpha_i}}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ thus

$$\gcd\left(\frac{\alpha^{p_1^{n\alpha_1} p_2^{n\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{n\alpha_k}} + \beta^{p_1^{n\alpha_1} p_2^{n\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{n\alpha_k}}}{p_1^{\alpha_1+n\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2+n\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k+n\alpha_k}}, p_1 p_2 \dots p_k\right) = 1$$

and

$$\gcd\left(\frac{\alpha^{(\alpha+\beta)^n} + \beta^{(\alpha+\beta)^n}}{(\alpha+\beta)^{n+1}}, \alpha+\beta\right) = 1. \quad \square$$

EXAMPLES

1) $P = \alpha + \beta = 3$, $Q = \alpha \cdot \beta = -1$, $D = P^2 - 4Q = 13$; the characteristic polynomial is $x^2 - 3x - 1$; $v_0 = 2$, $v_1 = 3$, $v_n = 3v_{n-1} + v_{n-2}$ ($n \geq 2$), $v_0 = 2$, $v_1 = 3$; $v_2 = 11$, $v_3 = 36 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2$, $v_4 = 119 = 7 \cdot 17$, $v_5 = 393 = 3 \cdot 131$,

$v_6 = 1298 = 2 \cdot 11 \cdot 59$, $v_7 = 4287 = 3 \cdot 1429$, $v_8 = 14159$, $v_9 = 46764 = 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 433$, $(\alpha + \beta)^3 = 3^3 | \alpha^{(\alpha+\beta)^2} + \beta^{(\alpha+\beta)^2}$ and

$$\gcd \left(\frac{\alpha^{(\alpha+\beta)^2} + \beta^{(\alpha+\beta)^2}}{(\alpha + \beta)^3}, \alpha + \beta \right) = \gcd \left(\frac{2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 443}{3^3}, 3 \right) = 1.$$

2) $P = 3$, $Q = 1$ we have $\alpha, \beta = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$, $v_0 = 2$, $v_1 = 3$, $\alpha, \beta = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$,
 $v_n = 3v_{n-1} - v_{n-2}$ ($n \geq 2$)
 $v_0 = 2, v_1 = 3, v_2 = 7, v_3 = 18, v_4 = 47, v_5 = 123 = 3 \cdot 41, v_6 = 322 = 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 23,$
 $v_7 = 843 = 3 \cdot 281, v_8 = 2207, v_9 = 5778 = 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 107$

$$3^3 \parallel v_9, \gcd \left(\frac{\alpha^{(\alpha+\beta)^2} + \beta^{(\alpha+\beta)^2}}{(\alpha + \beta)^3}, \alpha + \beta \right) = \gcd \left(\frac{2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 107}{3^3}, 3 \right) = 1.$$

2. Landau's and Jarden's results

Let $P = 1$, $Q = -1$, so $D = 5$.

The Lambert series is $L(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{1-x^n} = x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + \dots$ in which the coefficient of x^n is $d(n)$ – the number of the divisors of n . The Lambert series is convergent for $0 < x < 1$. Let F_n denote the n -th Fibonacci number.

E. Landau [12] had evaluated $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1/F_n$ in terms of the sum of Lambert's series and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1/F_{2n+1}$ in relation to theta Jacobi series which are defined as follows, for $0 < |q| < 1$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\theta_1(z, q) = i \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(n-\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{(2n-1)\pi iz},$$

$$\theta_2(z, q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{(n+\frac{1}{2})^2} e^{(2n-1)\pi iz},$$

$$\theta_3(z, q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2} e^{2n\pi iz},$$

$$\theta_4(z, q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n^2} e^{2n\pi iz}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_1(0, q) &= 0, \\ \theta_2(0, q) &= 2q^{1/4} + 2q^{9/4} + 2q^{25/4} + \dots, \\ \theta_3(0, q) &= 1 + 2q + 2q^4 + 2q^9 + \dots, \\ \theta_4(0, q) &= 1 - 2q + 2q^4 - 2q^9 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Landau's result (see E. Landau [12] and P. Ribenboim [16, pp. 51–61]) are

THEOREM L₁:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/F_{2n} = \sqrt{5} \left[L\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) - L\left(\frac{7-3\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) \right] = \sqrt{5} [L(\beta^2) - L(\beta^4)], \beta = \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

THEOREM L₂:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1/F_{2n+1} &= -\sqrt{5} (1 + 2\beta^4 + 2\beta^{16} + 2\beta^{36} + \dots) \\ (\beta + \beta^9 + \beta^{25} + \dots) &= -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} [\theta_3(0, \beta) - \theta_2(0, \beta^4)] \theta_2(0, \beta^4).\end{aligned}$$

In 1948 D.R. Jarden [10] gave the following generalization of Landau's theorem.

Let $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, $u_n = Pu_{n-1} + u_{n-2}$ ($n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$; P , an arbitrary positive real number) and $D = P^2 + 4$. Let $a = \frac{P-\sqrt{D}}{2}$ and $b = \frac{P+\sqrt{D}}{2} = -\frac{1}{a}$ be the roots of the equation $x^2 - Px - 1 = 0$.

Jarden's results are the following:

THEOREM J₁: *The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{u_{2n}}$ converges and*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/u_{2n} = \sqrt{D} (L(a^2) - L(a^4)).$$

THEOREM J₂: *The series $\sum 1/u_{2n+1}$ converges and*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 1/u_{2n+1} = -\sqrt{D} (1 + 2a^4 + 2a^{16} + 2a^{36} + \dots) (a + a^9 + a^{25} + \dots).$$

3. Lucas pseudoprimes

Let a, b be relatively prime integers with $|a| > |b| > 0$. For any $n > 0$, let $\phi_n(a, b)$ denote the n -th homogeneous cyclotomic polynomial, defined by

$$\phi_n(a, b) = \prod_{d|n} (a^d - b^d)^{\mu(n/d)},$$

where μ is the Möbius function.

DEFINITION 1. A composite n is called a *pseudoprime* if $n|2^n - 2$.

DEFINITION 2. If $1 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_k$ are integers, we shall call the number $n = \prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{d_i}(2, 1)$ a cyclotomic number and if n is a pseudoprime we shall call it a cyclotomic pseudoprime.

The above definition was introduced in 1982 by C. Pomerance (see [15]). In the paper [22] it was proved the following:

THEOREM P₁: *If $n > 3$ is a prime or an odd pseudoprime then the number $(2^n - 1)\phi_{2^n-2}(2)$ is a cyclotomic pseudoprime.*

EXAMPLES

The least cyclotomic pseudoprime of the form $(2^n - 1)\phi_{2^n-2}(2)$ is $(2^5 - 1)\phi_{30}(2) = 31 \cdot 331 = 10261$. For pseudoprime 341 we get the cyclotomic pseudoprime $(2^{341} - 1)\phi_{2^{341}-2}(2)$.

DEFINITION 3. A composite number n is called a Lucas pseudoprime with parameters P and Q if $(n, 2DQ) = 1$ and

$$(1) \quad U_{n-(D|n)} \equiv 0 \pmod{n},$$

where $(D|n)$ is the Jacobi symbol.

Instead of $\phi_n(\alpha, \beta)$, where α and β are roots of the polynomial $x^2 - Px + Q$ we shall write ϕ_n .

DEFINITION 4. If $1 \leq d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_k$ are integers, we shall call the number $n = \prod_{i=1}^k \phi_{d_i}$ a cyclotomic Lucas number and if n is a pseudoprime we shall call it Lucas cyclotomic pseudoprime.

In the paper [22] the author proved the following:

THEOREM P₂: *If $p > 5$, $P = \alpha + \beta \geq 1$, $Q = \alpha\beta = -1$, $p \nmid P^2 + 4 = D$, then the number $u_p\phi_{u_p-(D|u_p)}$ is a cyclotomic Lucas pseudoprime.*

EXAMPLES

1) For $P = 1$, $Q = -1$ we get Fibonacci sequence 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, ... and companion Fibonacci sequence

$$v_n(1, -1) : 2, 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 18, 29, 47, 76, 123, 199, 322, \dots$$

The least Fibonacci cyclotomic pseudoprime (that is cyclotomic Lucas pseudoprime for $P = 1$, $Q = -1$) we get for $p = 7$. For $p = 7$ we have $u_p\phi_{u_p-(5|u_p)} = u_7 \cdot \phi_{14} = u_7 \cdot v_7 = 13 \cdot 29 = 377$.

2) For $P = 2$, $Q = -1$ the numbers $u_n = u_n(2, -1)$ and $v_n = v_n(2, -1)$ are the *Pell numbers* and the *companion Pell numbers*. We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(2, -1) &: 0, 1, 2, 5, 12, 29, 70, 169, \dots, \\ v_n(2, -1) &: 2, 2, 6, 14, 34, 82, 198, 478, \dots \end{aligned}$$

The smallest Pell cyclotomic pseudoprime of the form $u_p\phi_{u_p-(8|u_p)}$ we get for $p = 3$. We have $u_3\phi_{u_3-(8|u_3)} = 5\phi_{5-(8|5)} = 5\phi_{5+1} = 5\phi_6 = 5 \cdot 7 = 35$.

PROBLEM 1. Let P, Q be non-zero rational integers $P \geq 1$, $Q \neq -1$. Does there exist a natural number n_0 such that for every prime number $p > n_0$ the number $u_p\Phi_{u_p-(D|u_p)}$ is a cyclotomic Lucas pseudoprime with parameters P and Q ?

3.1. Number theoretical series involving Lucas pseudoprimes and Carmichael numbers

Let $P(x)$ denote the number of pseudoprimes $\leq x$. In 1949 P. Erdős stated that

$$(2) \quad C_1 \log x < P(x) < c_2 x / (\log x)^k, \quad \text{for every } k \text{ and } x > x_0(k).$$

K. Szymiczek [25] proved, using the following result of P. Erdős [6]

$$(3) \quad P(x) < 2x \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{3} (\log x)^{1/4} \right\} \text{ if } x > x_0$$

that $1/P_n < 2/n(\log n)^{4/3}$. Therefore $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/P_n < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2/(\log n)^{4/3}$ and since the last series is convergent $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/P_n$ is also convergent.

The author asked [18, Problem 47] whether the series $\sum 1/\log P_n$ is convergent. A. Mąkowski [13] proved that the series $\sum 1/\log P_n$ is divergent, where P_n denotes the n -th pseudoprime with respect to c (n is a pseudoprime to the base c if n is composite and $n|c^n - c$). He used the fact established by M. Cipolla [3] that the number $(c^{2p} - 1)/(c^2 - 1)$ is a pseudoprime to the base c such that $p \nmid c^2 - 1$ and that the series $\sum 1/p$, where p runs over the primes, is divergent.

First we note that the divergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/\log P_n$ follows from the estimation $P(x) > c \log x$ (see A. Rotkiewicz, R. Wasén [19]). Indeed, if we put $x = P_n$ in the last inequality we get

$$(4) \quad P(P_n) > c \log P_n$$

and the divergence follows at once from the well-known divergence of the harmonic series.

DEFINITION 5. A composite number n is called a strong Lucas pseudoprime with parameters P and Q if $(n, 2QD) = 1$, $n - (D|n) = 2^3 \cdot r$ are odd and

$$(5) \quad \text{either } u_r \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \quad \text{or} \quad v_{2^t r} \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \quad \text{for some } t, 0 \leq t < 9.$$

C. Pomerance put forward (see [21, p. 78]) the following question.

Given integers P, Q with $D = P^2 - 4Q$ not a square, do there exist infinitely many, or at least one, Lucas pseudoprimes n with parameters P and Q satisfying $(D|n) = -1$.

An affirmative answer to this question in the strong sense (infinitely many n) is contained, except in the trivial cases $P^2 = Q, 2Q, 3Q$ in the following theorem, which follows from the results of [21].

THEOREM T (see [21]): *Given integers P, Q with $D = P^2 - 4Q \neq 0, -Q, -2Q, -3Q$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, every arithmetic progression $ax + b$, where $(a, b) = 1$ which contains an odd integer n_0 with $(D|n_0) = \varepsilon$ contains infinitely many strong Lucas pseudoprimes n with parameters P and Q such that $(D|n) = \varepsilon$. The number $N(X)$ of such strong pseudoprimes not exceeding X satisfies*

$$N(X) > c(P, Q, a, b, \varepsilon) \frac{\log X}{\log \log X},$$

where $c(P, Q, a, b, \varepsilon)$ is a positive constant depending on P, Q, a, b, ε .

Now we shall prove the following

THEOREM 2. *Given integers P, Q with $D = P^2 - 4Q \neq 0, -Q, -2Q, -3Q$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, every arithmetic progression $ax + b$, where $(a, b) = 1$ contains an odd integer n_0 such that $(D|n) = \varepsilon$. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/\log P_n^{(a)}$, where $P_n^{(a)}$ is the n -th strong Lucas pseudoprime with parameters P and Q of the form $ax + b$, where $(a, b) = 1$ such that $(D|P_n^{(a)}) = \varepsilon$ is divergent.*

PROOF. Let $P^{(a)}$ the n -th strong pseudoprime of the form $ax + b$, where $(a, b) = 1$ with $(D|P_n^{(a)}) = \varepsilon$.

By Theorem T

$$\mathcal{N}^{(a)}(X) \gg \frac{\log X}{\log \log X}.$$

Put $X = P_n^{(a)}$, hence

$$\mathcal{N}^{(a)}\left(P_n^{(a)}\right) \gg \frac{\log P_n^{(a)}}{\log \log P_n^{(a)}},$$

hence

$$(6) \quad n \gg \frac{\log P_n^{(a)}}{\log \log P_n^{(a)}}.$$

Thus by (6) we have

$$(7) \quad \log n \gg \log \log P_n^{(a)}.$$

By (6) and (7) we have

$$(8) \quad \log P_n^{(a)} \ll n \left(\log \log P_n^{(a)} \right) \ll n \log n.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$(9) \quad \sum 1/\log P_n^{(a)} \gg \sum 1/n \log n$$

and the divergence of the series $\sum 1/\log P_n^{(a)}$ follows from well known divergence of $\sum 1/n \log n$. \square

3.2. Carmichael numbers

DEFINITION 6. A composite number n is Carmichael number if

$$n \mid (a^n - a) \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{N}.$$

In 1994 W.R. Alford, A. Granville and C. Pomerance proved [1] the following

THEOREM A. G. P. *There are infinitely many Carmichael numbers. In particular, for x sufficiently large, the number $C(x)$ of Carmichael numbers not exceeding x satisfies $C(x) > x^{2/7}$.*

The best result belongs to Glyn Harman. In 2005 he proved [9] the following theorem.

THEOREM G. H. [9] *There exists $\beta > 0.33$ such that, for all sufficiently large x , we have*

$$(10) \quad C(x) > x^\beta.$$

Though P. Erdős [7] (see also A. Granville and C. Pomerance [8]), has conjectured that $C(x) > x^{1-\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \geq x_0(\varepsilon)$, we know no numerical value of x with $C(x) > x^{1/2}$ (see R. Crandall and C. Pomerance [4, p. 123]).

The following theorem holds

THEOREM 3. *Let C_n denote the n -th Carmichael number. From the conjecture of P. Erdős that $C(x) > x^{1-\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x > x_0(\varepsilon)$ it follows that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/C_n^{1-\varepsilon}$ is divergent for every $\varepsilon > 0$.*

PROOF. Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$ then by the conjecture of P. Erdős:

$$C(x) > x^{1-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ and } x > x_0(\varepsilon).$$

Put $x = C_n$. Then

$$C(C_n) > C_n^{1-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } n > n_0(\varepsilon),$$

hence

$$(11) \quad n > C_n^{1-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } n > n_0(\varepsilon),$$

and hence

$$(12) \quad \sum 1/C_n^{1-\varepsilon} \geq \sum 1/n,$$

and it follows that the series $\sum 1/C_n^{1-\varepsilon}$ is divergent. \square

By conjecture of P. Erdős and C. Pomerance [7] the number $C(x)$ of Carmichael numbers not exceeding x satisfies

$$C(x) = x^{1-(1+o(1)) \ln \ln \ln x / \ln \ln x}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Denoting by $P_2(x)$ the number of base -2 pseudoprimes up to x , C. Pomerance [14] proved that

$$\begin{aligned} C(x) &< x^{1-\ln \ln \ln x / \ln \ln x}, \\ P_2(x) &< x^{1-\ln \ln \ln x / (2 \ln \ln x)} \end{aligned}$$

for all sufficiently large values of x .

References

- [1] Alford W.R., Granville A., Pomerance C., *There are infinitely many Carmichael numbers*, Ann. of Math. **140** (1994), 703–722.
- [2] Bourgne R., Azra J.-P., *Ecrits mathématiques d'Évariste Galois*, Gauthier–Villars & Cle, Paris 1962.
- [3] Cipolla M., *Sui numeri composti P che verificano la congruenza di Fermat $a^{P-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{P}$* , Annali di Matematica (3), **9** (1904), 139–160.
- [4] Crandall R., Pomerance C., *Prime numbers. A computational perspective*, Springer-Verlag, New York 2001.
- [5] Dickson L.E., *History of the theory of numbers*, Vol. 2, New York 1952.
- [6] Erdős P., *On almost primes*, Amer. Math. Monthly **57** (1950), 404–407.
- [7] Erdős P., *On pseudoprimes and Carmichael numbers*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **4** (1955), 201–206.
- [8] Granville A., Pomerance C., *Two contradictory conjectures concerning Carmichael numbers*, Math. Comp. **71** (2001), 883–908.
- [9] Harman G., *On the number of Carmichael numbers up to x* , Bull. London Math. Soc. **37** (2005), 641–650.
- [10] Jarden D.R., *The series of inverses of a second order recurring sequence*, 3rd edition, Riveon Lematematika, Jerusalem 1973.
- [11] Kummer E.E., *De aequatione $x^{2\lambda} + y^{2\lambda} = z^{2\lambda}$ per numeros integros*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **17** (1897), 203–209.
- [12] Landau E., *Sur la serie des inverses de nombres de Fibonacci*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **27** (1899), 298–300.

- [13] Mąkowski A., *On a problem of Rotkiewicz on pseudoprimes*, Elem. Math. **29** (1974), 13.
- [14] Pomerance C., *On the distribution of pseudoprimes*, Math. Comp. **37** (1981), 587–593.
- [15] Pomerance C., *A new lower bound for the pseudoprimes counting function*, Illinois J. Math. **26** (1982), 4–9.
- [16] Ribenboim P., *My numbers, my friends*, Springer–Verlag, New York–Berlin–Heidelberg 2000.
- [17] Rotkiewicz A., *On the properties of the expression $a^n \pm b^n$* , Prace Mat. **6** (1961), 1–20 (in Polish).
- [18] Rotkiewicz A., *Pseudoprime numbers and their generalizations*, Student Association of the Faculty of Sciences, Univ. of Novi Sad, Novi Sad 1972.
- [19] Rotkiewicz A., Wasen R., *On a numbertheoretical series*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **26** (1979), 1–4.
- [20] Rotkiewicz A., *On strong Lehmer pseudoprimes in the case of negative discriminant in arithmetic progressions*, Acta Arith. **68** (1994), 145–151.
- [21] Rotkiewicz A., Schinzel A., *Lucas pseudoprimes with a prescribed value of the Jacobi symbol*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **48** (2000), 77–80.
- [22] Rotkiewicz A., *On pseudoprimes having special forms and a solution of K. Szymiczek’s problem*, to appear in Acta Math. Univ. Ostraviensis (2007).
- [23] Rotkiewicz A., *On Lucas cyclotomic pseudoprimes having special forms*, to appear in the Proceedings of the Twelfth International Conference on “Fibonacci Numbers and Their Applications”, July 17 – July 21, 2006, San Francisco.
- [24] Sierpiński W., *Teoria liczb*, Warszawa–Wrocław 1950.
- [25] Szymiczek K., *On pseudoprimes which are products of distinct primes*, Amer. Math. Monthly **74** (1967), 95–97.
- [26] Williams H.C., *Edouard Lucas and primality testing*, In: Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, Vol. 22, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York 1998.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8
00-956 WARSZAWA 10
SKRYTKA POCZTOWA 21
POLAND
e-mail: rotkiewi@impan.gov.pl