

ON EQUILIBRIUM THEOREM

PRZEMYSŁAW TKACZ

Abstract. We prove that for given sets $A_0, \dots, A_n \subset R^n$ such as $D_i \subset A_i$ for each $i = 0, \dots, n$ exists a point $x \in D$ such as $d(x, A_0) = \dots = d(x, A_n)$. This proof gives an algorithm of finding the point x .

The aim of this paper is to present the combinatorial and topological method of finding a point satisfying the thesis of theorem formulated above. It will be shown that using this method one can prove Sperner's Lemma and Equilibrium Theorem [3]. In [2] Kulpa used this lemma as a tool to generalise Equilibrium Theorem, therefore this theorem is a collorary of our theorem, too. Moreover, algorithm that allows to find the point mentioned in Sandwich Theorem [1] and Kuratowski–Steinhaus Theorem is obtained [4].

A subset $T \subset [0, 1]^{n+1}$:

$$T := \{t = (t_0, \dots, t_n) : \sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 1\}$$

is said to be the standard n -dimensional simplex.

Let $\{p_0, \dots, p_n\}$ be an affinely independent set of $n + 1$ points in R^n . Their convex hull:

$$\{x \in R^n : x = \sum_{i=0}^n t_i \cdot p_i, \sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 1\}$$

is called (n -dimensional) n -simplex with vertices p_0, \dots, p_n and is denoted $[p_0, \dots, p_n]$.

The m -simplex spanned by any $m+1$ vertices p_{i_0}, \dots, p_{i_m} is called m -face of $[p_0, \dots, p_n]$.

By *vert* $[p_0, \dots, p_n]$ we call the set $\{p_0, \dots, p_n\}$.

Received: March 21, 2005. Revised: June 13, 2005.

(1991) Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25.

Key words and phrases: Sperner's Lemma, Equilibrium Theorem, Sandwich Theorem.

Let $D := [d_0, \dots, d_n]$ be n -dimensional n -simplex.

A finite family $T(\epsilon)$ of simplexes contained in D is called a ϵ -triangulation of D if:

- (i) the intersection of any two simplexes in $T(\epsilon)$ is empty or a common face of each.
- (ii) if $\sigma \in T(\epsilon)$ then every face of σ is in $T(\epsilon)$.
- (iii) $D = \bigcup \{\sigma : \sigma \in T(\epsilon)\}$.
- (iv) for each $\sigma \in T(\epsilon)$ $\text{diam}[\sigma] < \epsilon$.

Every map $\phi: D \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$ is said to be the map colouring D and the set $A \subset D$ we call k -coloured if $\phi(A) = \{0, \dots, k\}$.

Denote by $D_i := [d_0, \dots, d_{i-1}, d_{i+1}, \dots, d_n]$ the i -th $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of simplex D :

$$D_i := \{x \in D : t_i = 0\}.$$

LEMMA 1. For an arbitrary ϵ -triangulation of D and a map $\phi: D \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$ which for $i = 0, \dots, n$ satisfies the condition:

$$\phi(D_i) \neq i.$$

The number $\alpha(D)$ of all n -simplexes contained in D , for which the set of its vertices is n -coloured, is odd.

PROOF. We proceed the proof with the induction on n .

In case $n = 0$ it is clear that the lemma is true because $\phi(D) = \{0\}$.

Assuming that the lemma holds for an $(n-1)$ -dimensional $(n-1)$ -simplex the condition $\phi(D_i) \neq i$ implies that only $(n-1)$ -coloured face of n -simplex D is D_n . Considering D_n to be $(n-1)$ -simplex, by our inductive hypothesis the number $\alpha(D_n)$ of $(n-1)$ -simplexes in $T(\epsilon)$ lying on D_n , whose vertices are $(n-1)$ -coloured, is odd.

Let $\alpha(\sigma)$ denotes the number of $(n-1)$ -faces of $\sigma \in T(\epsilon)$, of which set of vertices is $(n-1)$ -coloured.

If the set of vertices of σ is n -coloured then $\alpha(\sigma) = 1$.

If the set of vertices of σ is $(n-1)$ -coloured then $\alpha(\sigma) = 2$. Otherwise $\alpha(\sigma) = 0$.

Hence

$$\alpha(D) = \Sigma \alpha(\sigma), \text{ mod } 2$$

On the other hand, an $(n-1)$ -face which vertices are $(n-1)$ -coloured in $\Sigma \alpha(\sigma)$ is counted exactly once or twice, according it is subset of D_n or not. We have

$$\Sigma \alpha(\sigma) = \alpha(D_n), \text{ mod } 2$$

hence

$$\alpha(D_n) = \alpha(D), \text{ mod } 2.$$

But $\alpha(D_n)$ is odd. Thus $\alpha(D)$ is odd, too. □

THEOREM 1. Let be given sets $A_0, \dots, A_n \subset R^n$ such as $D_i \subset A_i$ for each $i = 0, \dots, n$. Then there exists a point $x \in D$ such as

$$d(x, A_0) = \dots = d(x, A_n).$$

PROOF. Let us define a map $f: D \rightarrow R^n$, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$: $f_i(x) := d(x, A_i) - d(x, A_{i-1})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and the sets $H_i^- := f_i^{-1}((-\infty, 0])$, $H_i^+ := f_i^{-1}([0, \infty))$. Define a map $\phi: D \rightarrow \{0, \dots, n\}$ by

$$\phi(x) := \max\{j: x \in \bigcap_{i=0}^j F_i^+\}$$

where $F_0^+ = D$ and $F_i^+ = H_i^+ \setminus \bigcap_{l=i}^n D_l$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let n -simplex

$$D' := [a(d_0 - d_n) + d_n, \dots, a(d_{n-1} - d_n) + d_n, d_n] = [d'_0, \dots, d'_{n-1}, d'_n]$$

where $a > 1$ is fixed, be an extension of D .

Denote:

$$B_0 := [d'_0, d'_n] \setminus [d_0, d_n],$$

$$B_i := [d'_0, \dots, d'_i, d'_n] \setminus [d_0, \dots, d_i, d_n] \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} B_j \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Define the extension of the map ϕ :

$$\phi'(x) := \begin{cases} \phi(x) & \text{for } x \in D, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in B_0, \\ \vdots & \\ n-1 & \text{for } x \in B_{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

Let us prove that for every $\epsilon > 0$ exists n -simplex $\sigma_\epsilon \subset D$ such as the set of its vertices is n -coloured.

Let us take $\epsilon > 0$ and the ϵ -triangulation of n -simplex D' denoted by $T'(\epsilon)$ hence that

(v) for all $\sigma \in T'(\epsilon)$ if $\sigma \cap D' \setminus D \neq \emptyset$ then $\sigma \cap \text{Int}[D] = \emptyset$.

The map ϕ' has following properties: $\phi'(D'_i) \neq i$ and $\phi'(d_i) = i$ for each $i = 0, \dots, n$, hence the only $(n-1)$ -coloured $(n-1)$ -face is D'_n .

From lemma 1 the number of $(n-1)$ -faces of $\sigma \in T'(\epsilon)$ which are included in D'_n hence that their vertices are $(n-1)$ -coloured is odd. Moreover ϕ' is defined in the way that there exists exactly one $(n-1)$ -simplex that lies in D'_n so that its vertices are $(n-1)$ -coloured. Besides the point d'_0 is one of its vertices. This simplex is the $(n-1)$ -face of exactly one n -simplex which is denoted by σ_0 .

Define induction procedure:

If $\phi'(\text{vert}\sigma_k) = \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ then take "unused" $(n-1)$ -face of σ_k so that its vertices are $(n-1)$ -coloured. For this face we have exactly one n -simplex different from σ_k which contains our face. Let us call it σ_{k+1} .

Otherwise we have $\phi'(\text{vert}\sigma_k) = \{0, \dots, n\}$ end.

The procedure must stop because the number of simplexes is finite. The last n -simplex we call σ_ϵ .

The map ϕ' was constructed in the way that $\phi'(\text{vert}\sigma_\epsilon) = \{0, \dots, n\}$ and the condition (v) implies $\sigma_\epsilon \subset D$.

Observe that the condition $x_{i-1}, x_i \in \sigma_\epsilon$, $\phi(x_{i-1}) = i - 1$ and $\phi(x_i) = i$ implies $x_{i-1} \in H_i^-$, $x_i \in H_i^+$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. From Bolzano Theorem we have such $c_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ that $f(c_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Without the loss of generality we can take $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$. From $\text{diam}[S_\epsilon] < \epsilon$ we obtain $\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} c_i^{n'} \rightarrow c$ and from the continuity of f_i we get $f_i(c) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now it is clear that

$$d(x, A_0) = \dots = d(x, A_n).$$

□

COROLLARY 1 (Sperner). *Let $\{A_0, \dots, A_n\} \subset R^n$ be closed covering of D . If $D_i \subset A_i$ for each $i = 0, \dots, n$, then $A_0 \cap \dots \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF. From the theorem 1 there exists a point $x \in D$ that $d(x, A_0) = \dots = d(x, A_n)$. Since $\{A_0, \dots, A_n\}$ covers D , there exists index j such that $x \in A_j$, it implies $d(x, A_0) = \dots = d(x, A_n) = 0$ but A_i is closed for $i = 0, \dots, n$ hence we have

$$A_0 \cap \dots \cap A_n \neq \emptyset.$$

□

THEOREM 2 (Equilibrium Theorem). *Let $f: D \rightarrow [0, \infty)^{n+1}$, $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ be a continuous map such that $f_i(D_i) = \{0\}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Then for each $t \in T$, there exists a point $x \in D$ such that*

$$f(x) = |f(x)| \cdot t.$$

PROOF. Let us define sets:

$$A_i := \{x \in D: f_i(x) \leq |f(x)| \cdot t_i\}.$$

Observe that the family $\{A_0, \dots, A_n\}$ covers simplex D , because if not, there exists $a \in D \setminus (A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_n)$ and we have $f_i(a) > |f(a)| \cdot t_i$ for each $i = 0, \dots, n$, and

$$|f(a)| = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a) > \sum_{i=0}^n |f(a)| \cdot t_i = |f(a)|$$

contradiction.

The condition $f_i(D_i) = \{0\}$ implies $D_i \subset A_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. From the theorem 1 there exists $x \in D$ such that $d(x, A_0) = \dots = d(x, A_n)$ but we know $x \in A_j$ hence $d(x, A_0) = \dots = d(x, A_n) = 0$. Moreover from continuity of f every set A_i is a closed subset of compact space D that is why $x \in (A_0 \cap \dots \cap A_n)$ and therefore:

$$|f(a)| = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i(a) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n |f(a)| \cdot t_i = |f(a)|$$

yields:

$$f(x) = |f(x)| \cdot t.$$

The proofs of corollaries formulated below the reader will find in [2], [3].

Let $\mu(A)$ means the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure of the set $A \subset R^n$. For any point $x \in D$ let us denote

$$D_i(x) := \text{conv}\{d_0, \dots, d_{i-1}, x, d_{i+1}, \dots, d_n\}.$$

□

COROLLARY 2 (Sandwich Theorem). *Let $A \subset D$ be a measurable set. Then for any point $t \in T$ there exists a point $x \in D$ such that for each $i = 0, \dots, n$*

$$\mu[A \cap D_i(x)] = t_i \cdot \mu(A).$$

For a given set $A \subset R^n$ and a point $x \in R^n$ let

$$A - x := \{a - x : a \in A\}$$

means a translation of the set A .

Assume $0 \in \text{Int}D$. Let for each $i = 0, \dots, n$ M_i be the cone consisting of the union of all rays joining 0 to the points of D_i .

COROLLARY 3 (Kuratowski–Steinhaus Theorem). *Let $A \subset R^n$ be a bounded Lebesgue measurable set. Then for each $t \in T$ there exists $x \in R^n$ such that for each $i = 0, \dots, n$*

$$\mu[(A - x) \cap M_i] = \mu(A) \cdot t_i.$$

References

- [1] Borsuk K., *An application of the theorem on antipodes to the measure theory*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., **1** (1953), 87–90.
- [2] Kulpa W., *Convexity and The Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem*, Topology Proc., **22** (1997), 211–235.
- [3] Kulpa W. *Sandwich Type Theorems*, Acta Univ. Carol. Math. Phys. **35** (2), 45–50.
- [4] Kuratowski K. and Steinhaus H., *Une application géométrique du théorème de Brouwer sur les points invariants*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., **1** (1953), 83–86.
- [5] Sperner E., *Neuer Beweis für die Invarianz der Dimensionzahl und des Gebietes*, Abh. Math. Sem. Ham. Univ., **6** (1928), 265–272.