

A LAGRANGE-TYPE INCREMENT INEQUALITY

ÁRPÁD SZÁZ

Abstract. We prove an extension of Lagrange's increment inequality without using Lagrange's mean value theorem and the Hahn-Banach theorems.

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be normed spaces over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , and for $a, b \in X$ define

$$[a, b] = \{ \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \}.$$

Because of [1, p. 23], it is certainly well-known that the following theorem can be proved directly without using Lagrange's mean value theorem and the Hahn-Banach theorems.

THEOREM 1. *If f is a function from a subset D of X into Y and $a, b \in D$, with $a \neq b$, such that $[a, b] \subset D^\circ$ and f is differentiable at each point of $[a, b]$, then*

$$\frac{|f(b) - f(a)|}{|b - a|} \leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f'(x)|.$$

Received November 18, 1995.

AMS (1991) subject classification: 26A24, 49A51.

Copies of this paper were delivered to the Summer School on Real Function Theory, SANUS Dubnik, 1992; and an abstract of this paper appeared in the Zusammenfassungen der Vorträge des XIII. Österreichischen Mathematikerkongress, Linz, 1993.

The author's research was supported by the National Science Foundation Grant OTKA 1652.

However, it seems to be overlooked that, by introducing the absolute infimum derivative

$$f^\#(x, y) = \inf_{t \in D \cap]x, y]} \frac{|f(t) - f(x)|}{|t - x|},$$

a slight modification of the same direct proof can be used to prove a much more general theorem.

2. The absolute infimum derivative

To get rid of the differentiability condition in Theorem 1, it seems convenient to introduce the following

DEFINITION. If f is a function from a subset D of X into Y , and $x \in D$ and $y \in X$, then the extended real number

$$f^\#(x, y) = \inf_{t \in D \cap]x, y]} \frac{|f(t) - f(x)|}{|t - x|},$$

where $]x, y] = [x, y] \setminus \{x\}$, will be called the absolute infimum derivative of f at x relative to $]x, y]$.

REMARK 1. Recall that $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$, and therefore $f^\#(x, y) = +\infty$ if $D \cap]x, y] = \emptyset$.

The relationship of the absolute infimum derivative with the directional and total derivatives can be cleared up by the next

PROPOSITION 1. If f is a function from a subset D of X into Y and $x \in D$ and $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ such that f is differentiable at x in the direction $y - x$, then

$$f^\#(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{|y - x|} |f'_{y-x}(x)|.$$

PROOF. If $z = y - x$ and $t_\lambda = x + \lambda z$ for $\lambda > 0$, then

$$f'_z(x) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{-1} (f(t_\lambda) - f(x)).$$

Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ such that

$$|f(t_\lambda) - f(x) - \lambda f'_z(x)| < \varepsilon \lambda.$$

And hence, by the triangle inequality, it follows that

$$|f(t_\lambda) - f(x)| < (|f'_z(x)| + \varepsilon)\lambda.$$

Now, since $\lambda = |z|^{-1}|t_\lambda - x|$ and $t_\lambda \in]x, y]$, it is clear that

$$f^\#(x, y) \leq (|f'_z(x)| + \varepsilon)|z|^{-1}.$$

Therefore, the inequality

$$f^\#(x, y) \leq |f'_z(x)||z|^{-1}$$

is also true.

Now, as a useful consequence of this proposition, we can also state

PROPOSITION 2. *If f is a function from a subset D of X into Y and $x \in D^\circ$ such that f is differentiable at x , then*

$$f^\#(x, y) \leq |f'(x)|$$

for all $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$.

PROOF. Recall that now we have

$$|f'_{y-x}(x)| = |f'(x)(y-x)| \leq |f'(x)||y-x|$$

for all $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$, and thus Proposition 1 can be applied.

REMARK 2. Note that, according to the ideas of [7], the condition $x \in D^\circ$ should be weakened.

3. A Lagrange-type inequality

Now, as a substantial generalization of Theorem 1, we can also prove

THEOREM 2. *If f is a function from a subset D of X into Y and $a, b \in D$, with $a \neq b$, such that*

$$(1) \quad x \in (D \cap]a, x[)' \text{ implies } x \in D \text{ for all } x \in]a, b[,$$

$$(2) \quad |f(x) - f(a)| \leq \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow x \\ t \in D \cap]a, x[}} |f(t) - f(a)| \text{ for all } x \in]a, b[\text{ with}$$

$x \in (D \cap]a, x[)'$, then

$$\frac{|f(b) - f(a)|}{|b - a|} \leq \sup_{x \in D \cap [a, b[} f^\#(x, b).$$

PROOF. Assume that

$$M = \sup_{x \in D \cap [a, b[} f^\#(x, b) < +\infty,$$

and for $\varepsilon > 0$ define

$$A = \{x \in D \cap [a, b] : |f(x) - f(a)| \leq (M + \varepsilon)|x - a|\}.$$

Then, it is clear that $\{a\} \subset A \subset [a, b]$.

Moreover, we can also show that there exists a $c \in A$ such that

$$|c - b| = d(A, b) = \inf_{x \in A} |x - b|.$$

Namely, if this is not the case, then $|x - b| > d(A, b)$ for all $x \in A$. Therefore, by induction, we can find a sequence (a_n) in A such that

$$d(A, b) < |a_n - b| < \min\{|a_{n-1} - b|, d(A, b) + n^{-1}\}$$

for all $n > 1$. Hence, it is clear that the sequence $(|a_n - b|)$ strictly decreasingly converges to $d(A, b)$.

Moreover, since $A \subset [a, b]$ and $[a, b]$ is compact, there exists a subsequence (x_n) of (a_n) and a point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. Clearly, the sequence $(|x_n - b|)$, being a subsequence of $(|a_n - b|)$, also strictly decreasingly converges to $d(A, b)$. Moreover, now we also have $|x_n - b| \rightarrow |x_0 - b|$. Therefore $d(A, b) = |x_0 - b|$.

Now, to get a contradiction, we need only show that $x_0 \in A$ also holds. For this, note that the properties $x_n, x_0 \in [a, b]$ and $|x_n - b| > |x_0 - b|$ imply that $x_n \in [a, x_0[$. And the properties $x_n \in D \cap [a, x_0[$ and $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ imply that $x_0 \in (D \cap [a, x_0])'$. Therefore, by the conditions (1) and $b \in D$, we have $x_0 \in D$.

Moreover, note that the property $x_n \in A \cap [a, x_0[$ implies that

$$|f(x_n) - f(a)| \leq (M + \varepsilon)|x_n - a| \leq (M + \varepsilon)|x_0 - a|.$$

Hence, since $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, it is clear that

$$\inf_{\substack{|t - x_0| < r \\ t \in D \cap [a, x_0[}} |f(t) - f(a)| \leq (M + \varepsilon)|x_0 - a|$$

for all $r > 0$. Therefore, we also have

$$\lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow x_0 \\ t \in D \cap]a, x_0[}} |f(t) - f(a)| \leq (M + \varepsilon) |x_0 - a|.$$

Hence, because of the condition (2), it is clear that $x_0 \in A$. And this contradicts the assumption that $|x - b| > d(A, b)$ for all $x \in A$.

Now, having proved that $d(A, b) = |c - b|$ for some $c \in A$, it is easy to show that necessarily $c = b$ holds.

Namely, if $c \neq b$, then $c \in A \setminus \{b\}$, and hence $c \in D \cap [a, b[$. Therefore

$$f^\#(c, b) < M + \varepsilon,$$

and thus there exists an $x \in D \cap]c, b]$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(c)| < (M + \varepsilon) |x - c|.$$

Hence since

$$|f(c) - f(a)| \leq (M + \varepsilon) |c - a|$$

and $|x - c| + |c - a| = |x - a|$, it is clear that

$$|f(x) - f(a)| \leq (M + \varepsilon) |x - a|.$$

Therefore $x \in A$. And this contradicts the fact that $d(A, b) = |c - b|$.

Finally, to complete the proof, we note that if $c = b$, then $b \in A$, and hence

$$|f(b) - f(a)| \leq (M + \varepsilon) |b - a|.$$

Therefore, the inequality

$$|f(b) - f(a)| \leq M |b - a|$$

is also true.

REMARK 3. Note that the conditions (1) and (2) are trivially fulfilled if $D \cap [a, b]$ is closed and the restriction of f to $D \cap [a, b]$ is continuous.

Note that thus, for any $a, b \in X$ with $a \neq b$, D may be a finite subset of $[a, b]$ with $a, b \in D$, and f may be an arbitrary function from D into Y .

4. A partial strengthening of Theorem 2

Besides Theorem 2, it seems to be of some interest to prove the following more particular

THEOREM 3. If in addition to the conditions of Theorem 2, we have

$$(3) \quad \inf_{x \in D \cap]a, b[} |f(x) - f(a)| = 0,$$

then

$$\frac{|f(b) - f(a)|}{|b - a|} \leq \sup_{x \in D \cap]a, b[} f^\#(x, b).$$

PROOF. Because of the condition (3), for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $c \in]a, b[$ such that

$$|f(c) - f(a)| < \varepsilon.$$

Moreover, by using Theorem 2, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{|f(b) - f(c)|}{|b - c|} \leq \sup_{x \in D \cap]c, b[} f^\#(x, b) \leq \sup_{x \in D \cap]a, b[} f^\#(x, b).$$

And hence, since

$$\frac{|f(b) - f(a)|}{|b - a|} \leq \frac{|f(b) - f(c)|}{|b - c|} + \frac{|f(c) - f(a)|}{|b - a|},$$

it is clear that

$$\frac{|f(b) - f(a)|}{|b - a|} < \sup_{x \in D \cap]a, b[} f^\#(x, b) + \frac{\varepsilon}{|b - a|}.$$

Therefore, the stated inequality is also true.

REMARK 4. Note that the additional condition (3) is trivially fulfilled if $a \in (D \cap]a, b[)'$ and the restriction of f to $D \cap]a, b[$ is continuous at a .

Note that now, for any $a, b \in X$ with $a \neq b$, D may be a finite subset of $]a, b[$ with $a, b \in D$, and f may be a function from D into Y such that $f(x) = f(a)$ for some $x \in D \setminus \{a, b\}$.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to László Czách for pointing out a direct proof of Theorem 1.

Moreover, the author is also indebted to Zsolt Páles for showing that some mean value inequalities can also be proved directly.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. D. Craven, *Functions of Several Variables*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1981.
- [2] L. Czách, *Differential Calculus in Normed Spaces*, An unpublished lecture note in Hungarian, Budapest, 1985.
- [3] J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1960.
- [4] T. M. Flett, *Differential Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- [5] M. Furi and M. Martelli, *On the mean value theorem, inequality, and inclusion*, Amer. Math. Monthly **98** (1991), 840–846.
- [6] B. Slezák, *A mean value theorem in metric spaces*, Constructive Theory of Functions' 87, Sofia, 1988, pp. 47–49.
- [7] Á. Száz, *Unique Fréchet derivatives at some non-isolated points*, Math. Student **61** (1992), 1–4.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
LAJOS KOSSUTH UNIVERSITY
H-4010 DEBRECEN
PF. 12, HUNGARY
E-mail address: szaz@math.klte.hu