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#### Abstract

In this article some equations of second order are considered, whose nonlinearity satisfies a global Lipschitz condition. It is shown that the equations with additional conditions admit unique global solutions in the Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$.


## 1. Introduction

We consider the following problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+p(t) f_{1}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+q(t) f_{2}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=r(t), \tag{1.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(a)=d_{1}, \quad x^{\prime}(a)=d_{2}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^{1}, \quad d_{1}, d_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(a)=r_{1}, \quad x(b)=r_{2}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{1}, \quad a<b, \quad r_{1}, r_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, q$ and $r$ are elements of the Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right) ; f_{1}, f_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ are smooth functions ( $f_{1}, f_{2} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ ); $d_{1}, d_{2}, r_{1}, r_{2}$ are known elements of the Columbeau algebra $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ of generalized real numbers; $x(a), x^{\prime}(a), x(b)$ are understood as the value of the generalized functions $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ at the points a and $b$ respectively (see [2]). Elements $p, q, r, f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are given. The
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derivative, the sum, the equality and the superposition are meant in the Colombeau algebra sense (see [2]).

We prove theorems on existence and uniqueness of solutions of the problems (1.0)-(1.1) and (1.0); (1.2). In the paper [2] some differential equations with coefficiEnts from the Colombeau algebra were examined. Certain problems for the quantum theory lead to such equations. Our results generalize some results given in [11] and [12].

## 2. Notation

Let $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ be the set of all $C^{\infty}$ functions $\mathbb{R}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ with compact support. For $q=1,2, \ldots$ we denote by $\mathcal{A} q$ the set of all functions $\phi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ such that relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) d t=1, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{k} \phi(t) d t=0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq q \tag{2.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.
Next, $\mathcal{E}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ is the set of all functions $R: \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ such that $R(\phi, t) \in C^{\infty}$ for every fixed $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$.

If $R \in \mathcal{E}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$, then $D_{k} R(\phi, t)$ for any fixed $\phi$ denotes a differential operator in $t$ (i.e. $\left.D_{k} R(\phi, t)=\frac{d^{k}}{d t t^{k}}(R(\phi, t))\right)$.

For given $\phi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ we define $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\varepsilon}(t)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \phi\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An element $R$ of $\mathcal{E}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ is moderate if for every compact set $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{1}}$ and every differential operator $D_{k}$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following condition holds: for every $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}$ there are $\varepsilon>0, \eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in K}\left|D_{k} R\left(\phi_{\epsilon}, t\right)\right| \leq c \varepsilon^{-N} \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\varepsilon<\eta \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ the set of all moderate elements of $\mathcal{E}\left[\mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{1}}\right]$.
By $\Gamma$ we denote the set of all increasing functions $\alpha$ from $\mathbb{N}$ into $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{1}$ such that $\alpha(q)$ tends to $\infty$ if $q \rightarrow \infty$.

We define an ideal $\mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ in $\mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ as follows: $R \in \mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ if for every compact set $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ and every differential operator $D_{K}$ there are $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that the following condition holds: for every $q \geq N$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{q}$ there are $c>0$ and $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \in K}\left|D_{k} R\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right| \leq c \varepsilon^{\alpha(q)-N} \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\varepsilon<\eta \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algebra $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ (the Colombeau algebra) is defined as quotient algebra of $\mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ with respect to $\mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ (see [2]).

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_{0}$ the set of all the functions from $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. Next, we denote by $\mathcal{E}_{M}$ the set of all the so-called moderate elements of $\mathcal{E}_{0}$ defined by (2.4) $\mathcal{E}_{M}=\left\{R \in \mathcal{E}_{0}\right.$ : there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}$ there are $c>0, \eta>0$ such that $\left|R\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \leq c \varepsilon^{-N}$ if $\left.0<\varepsilon<\eta\right\}$.
Further, we define an ideal $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{E}_{M}$ by
(2.5) $\mathcal{T}=\left\{R \in \mathcal{E}_{0}\right.$ : there are $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that for every $q \geq N$ and
$\phi \in \mathcal{A} q$ there are $c>0, \eta>0$ such that $\left|R\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \leq c \varepsilon^{\alpha(q)-N}$ if $\left.0<\varepsilon<\eta\right\}$. We define an algebra $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ by setting

$$
\overline{\mathbb{R}}=\frac{\mathcal{E}_{M}}{\mathcal{T}} \quad(\text { see }[2])
$$

If $R \in \mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ is a representative of $G \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$, then for a fixed $t$ the $\operatorname{map} Y: \phi \rightarrow R(\phi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is defined on $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{E}_{M}$. The class of $Y$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ depends only on $G$ and $t$. This class is denoted by $G(t)$ and is called the value of the generalized function $G$ at the point $t$ (see [2]).

We say that a smooth function $f: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is polynomially bounded uniformly for $t$ if for every compact interval $K$ of $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ there are constants $c(K)>0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t, u, v)| \leq c(K)(1+|u|+|v|)^{r} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$ and $t \in K$.
We denote by $O_{M}\left(K, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ the set of all the smooth functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$
which have the property that $f$ and its partial derivatives are polynomially bounded uniformly for $t$.

If $f \in O_{M}\left(K, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and if $R_{1}, R_{2} \in \mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$, then $f\left(t, R_{1}, R_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ (see [2] p.29). If $f \in O_{M}\left(K, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) ; G_{1}, G_{2} \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$, then an element of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ denoted by $f\left(t, G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is defined as class of the functions $f\left(t, R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$, where $R_{1}, R_{2} \in \mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ are representatives of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively.

We say that $x \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ is a solution of the equation (1.0) if $x$ satisfies the equation (1.0) identical in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$.

Throughout the paper $K$ denotes a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. We denote by $R_{p}(\phi, t), R_{x_{0}}(\phi), R_{x\left(t_{0}\right)}(\phi)$ representatives of elements $p, x_{0}$ and $x\left(t_{0}\right)$, respectively.

We put

$$
\|x\|_{[a, b]}^{1}=\max _{t \in[a, b]}|x(t)|+\max _{t \in[a, b]}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|, \quad \text { if } \quad x \in C^{1}[a, b]
$$

and

$$
\|x\|_{[a, b]}=\max |x(t)|, \quad \text { if } x \in C_{[a, b]}
$$

The definition of generalized functions on an open interval $(A, B) \subset \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is almost the same as definition in the whole $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ (see [2]). In this paper we shall prove theorems on generalized solutions of nonlinear differential equations on $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. It is not difficult to observe that theorems proved are also true in the case when generalized functions $p, q, r$ are considered on an interval $(A, B)$ and $f_{i}:(A, B) \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$, where $-\infty<A<a<b<B<\infty$.

## 3. The main results

First, we shall introduce a hypothesis $H$ :
Hypothesis $H$
(3.0) $p, q, r \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$,
(3.1) the elements $p, q \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ admit representatives $R_{p}(\phi, t)$ and $R_{q}(\phi, t)$ with the following properties: for every $K$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}$ there are constants $c>0$ and $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{t, t_{0} \in K}\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\right| R_{p}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right)|d s| \leq c, \quad \sup _{t, t_{0} \in K}\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\right| R_{q}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right)|d s| \leq c
$$

if $0<\varepsilon<\eta$,
(3.2) $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{M}\left(K, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$,
(3.3) $f_{1}, f_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ are smooth functions such that for every $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{1}}$ there are constants $M_{i j}(K) \geq 0$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial u_{j}}\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right| \leq M_{i j}(K) \text { for } t \in K, u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \text { and } i, j=1,2
$$

(3.4) the element $p \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ admits a representative $R_{p}(\phi, t)$ with the following property: there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}$ there are constants $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}\left(p, \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)= & M_{11} \int_{a}^{b}\left|R_{p}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right| d t \leq \frac{4}{b-a}-\gamma \\
& \text { if } \quad 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0} \quad\left(M_{11}=M_{11}([a, b])\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.5) the elements $p, q \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ admit representatives $R_{p}(\phi, t)$ and $R_{q}(\phi, t)$ with the following property: there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}$ there are constants $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ and $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}\left(p, q, \phi_{\varepsilon}\right) & =\left(M_{11}+M_{12}\right) \int_{a}^{b}\left|R_{p}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right| d t+\left(M_{21}+M_{22}\right) \int_{a}^{b}\left|R_{q}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right| d t \\
& \leq \frac{4}{b-a+4}-\gamma, \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0} \quad\left(M_{i j}=M_{i j}([a, b])\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we shall give theorems on existence and uniqueness of the solution of the problems (1.0), (1.1) and (1.0), (1.2).

Theorem 3.1. We assume that the conditions (3.0)-(3.3) hold. Then the problem (1.0), (1.1) has exactly one solution $x$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$.

Remark 3.1. Let $\delta$ denotes the generalized function (the Dirac's generalized delta function) which admits as the representative the functions $R_{\delta}(\phi, t)=\phi(-t)$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$. Then $\delta$ has the property (3.1) (see [11]).

Remark 3.2. It is not difficult to verify that the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=2 \delta^{\prime}(t) \delta(t) x^{\prime}(t)  \tag{3.6}\\
x(-1)=0, \quad x^{\prime}(-1)=1 \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

has not any solution in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ (see [11]).
Remark 3.3. Let $R_{1}(\phi, t)=\exp (\phi(-t))$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$. Then $R_{1}(\phi, t) \notin$ $\mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$ (see [2], p.11). Nowe we define $R_{2}(\phi, t)=\sin (\phi(-t))$. We have $R_{2}(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$.

Theorem 3.2. We assume the conditions (3.0)-(3.4). Then the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+p(t) f(t, x(t))=r(t) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(a)=r_{1}, \quad x(b)=r_{2}, \quad a<b ; a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{1} ; r_{1}, r_{2} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has exactly one solution $x$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$.
Theorem 3.3. We assume the conditions (3.0)-(3.3) and (3.5). Then the problem (1.0); (1.2) has exactly one solution $x$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$.

Remark 3.4. Let $f_{1}(t, u, v)=u, f_{2}(t, u, v)=0$ and let $p \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ (i.e. for every $\left.K, p \in L^{1}(K)\right)$. Moreover, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}|p|(t) d t<\frac{4}{b-a} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $p$ have the properties (3.0)-(3.4) (see [11]).

Remark 3.5. Let $\widetilde{\delta}$ be the generalized function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\tilde{\delta}}(\phi, t)=\frac{\phi(-t)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\phi(-t)| d t}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $f_{1}(t, u, v)=u, \quad f_{2}(t, u, v)=0$.
Moreover, let $a=-1, b=1$. Then $\tilde{\delta}$ has the properties (3.1) and (3.4).
Remark 3.6. Let $p, q \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ and let $f_{1}(t, u, v)=u, \quad f_{2}(t, u, v)=v$. Moreover, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}|p|(t) d t+\int_{a}^{b}|q|(t) d t<\frac{4}{b-a+4} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f_{1}, f_{2}, p$ and $q$ have the properties (3.1)-(3.3) and (3.5) (see [12]).

## 4. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is similar to that of Theorem 4.2 in [11]. We start from the problem
$x^{\prime \prime}(t)+R_{p}(\phi, t) f_{1}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+R_{q}(\phi, t) f_{2}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=R_{r}(\phi, t), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(a)=R_{d_{1}}(\phi), \quad x^{\prime}(a)=R_{d_{2}}(\phi) . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.3) the problem (4.1), (4.2) has exactly one solution $x(\phi, t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{1}$. We are going to prove $x(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{align*}
x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)= & -\int_{a}^{t}(t-s)\left(R_{p}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right) f_{1}\left(s, x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right), x^{\prime}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right)\right)\right.  \tag{4.3}\\
& \left.+\left(R_{q}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right) f_{2}\left(s, x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right), x^{\prime}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right)\right)-R_{r}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right)\right)\right) d s \\
& +R_{d_{1}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)+R_{d_{2}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)(t-a) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.0), (3.1), (3.3) and the Gronwall inequality we condude that there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that: for all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}$ there are $c_{0}, \eta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right\|_{K}^{1} \leq c_{0} \varepsilon^{-N} \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\varepsilon<\eta \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (4.3) there is $N_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{r} x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right\|_{K} \leq c_{r} \varepsilon^{-N_{r}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{N_{r}}$ and $0<\varepsilon<\eta_{r}$. Therefore $x(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$.
Denoting by $x$ the class of $x(\phi, t)$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$, we get that $x$ is a solution of the problem (1.0), (1.1). Let $y \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ be another solution of the problem (1.0), (1.1). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{y^{\prime \prime}}(\phi, t)+R_{p}(\phi, t) f_{1}\left(t, R_{y}(\phi, t), R_{y^{\prime}}(\phi, t)\right)+R_{q}(\phi, t) f_{2}\left(t, R_{y}(\phi, t) R_{y^{\prime}}(\phi, t)\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& \quad=R_{r}(\phi, t)+R_{n}(\phi, t),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right] \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{y(a)}(\phi)-R_{x(a)}(\phi) \in \mathcal{T}, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{y^{\prime}(a)}(\phi)-R_{x^{\prime}(a)}(\phi) \in \mathcal{T} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.1), (3.3), (4.3), the Gronwall inequality and (4.6)-(4.9) we deduce that (for $q \geq N_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{q}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)-R_{y}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right\|_{K}^{1} \leq \bar{c} \varepsilon^{\alpha(q)-N_{1}^{\prime}} \text { if } 0<\varepsilon<\bar{\eta}_{0} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (4.10), (4.3) and (4.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{r}\left(x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)-R_{y}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right)\right\|_{K} \leq \bar{c}_{r} \varepsilon^{\alpha(q)-N_{r}^{\prime}} \text { for } 0<\varepsilon<\bar{\eta}_{r} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(\phi, t)-R_{y}(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right] \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Theorem 3.1 is proved.
Proof of Theorem 3.2. We consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+R_{p}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) f_{1}(t, x(t))=R_{r}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(a)=R_{r}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right), \quad x(b)=R_{r_{2}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the operation $T_{1}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{1}(y)(t) & =-\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s)\left(R_{p}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right) f_{1}(s, y(s))-R_{r}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right)\right) d s+R_{r_{1}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{4.15}\\
& +\frac{R_{r_{2}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)-R_{r_{1}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)}{b-a}(t-a)
\end{align*}
$$

where $y \in C_{[a, b]}$ and

$$
G(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{(t-b)(s-a)}{b-a}, & \text { if } & a \leq s \leq t \leq b  \tag{4.16}\\
\frac{(a-t)(b-s)}{b-a}, & \text { if } & a \leq t \leq s \leq b
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, a function $x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) \in C^{\infty}[a, b]$ is a classical solution of the problem (4.13)-(4.14) (for a fixed $\left.\phi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$ in the interval $[a, b]$ if and only if $x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)$ is a fixed point of the operation $T_{1}$. Taking into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t, s \in[a, b]}|G(t, s)|=\frac{b-a}{4} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{1}(y)-T_{1}(z)\right\|_{[a, b]} \leq I_{1}\left(p, \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right)\|y-z\|_{[a, b]} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y, z \in C_{[a, b]}$. Applying the fixed point theorem of Banach we conclude that the problem (4.13)-(4.14) has exactly one solution $x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) \in C_{[a, b]}^{\infty}$ for small $\varepsilon$ (see [4]). In view of (4.15) we deduce that for $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}$ there are $c_{0}, \widetilde{c_{0}}, \tilde{\eta_{0}}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t_{0}\right)\right| \leq c_{0} \varepsilon^{-N} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{\prime}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t_{0}\right)\right| \leq \bar{c}_{0} \varepsilon^{-N} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $0<\varepsilon<\widetilde{\eta}_{0}$ and $t_{0} \in(a, b)$.
Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(\phi, t_{0}\right), \quad x^{\prime}\left(\phi, t_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{M} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{x}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)$ be a solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}+R_{p}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) f_{1}(t, x(t))=R_{r}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)  \tag{4.22}\\
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t_{0}\right), \quad x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=x^{\prime}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t_{0}\right) \tag{4.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$ and small $\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)=x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[a, b] \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Theorem 3.1

$$
x(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]
$$

If we define $x$ as the class of $x(\phi, t)$ in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$, then $x$ is a solution of the problem (3.8)-(3.9).

To prove uniqueness of solutions of the problem (3.8)-(3.9) we observe that if $y \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ is another solution of the problem (3.8)-(3.9), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{y^{\prime \prime}}(\phi, t)+R_{p}(\phi, t) f_{1}\left(t, R_{y}(\phi, t)\right)=R_{r}(\phi, t)+R_{n}(\phi, t) \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{y(a)}(\phi)-R_{x(a)}(\phi) \in \mathcal{T} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{y(b)}(\phi)-R_{x(b)}(\phi) \in \mathcal{T} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (4.13)-(4.15) and (4.25)-(4.28) yield for $q \geq N_{1}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{q}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)-R_{y}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right\|_{[a, b]} \leq c \varepsilon^{\alpha(q)-N_{1}} \\
& \quad+I_{1}\left(p, \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right)\left\|x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)-R_{y}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right\|_{[a, b]} \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\varepsilon<\eta_{1} \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)-R_{y}\left(\dot{\phi}_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right\|_{[a, b]} \leq \tilde{c}^{\alpha(q)-N_{1}} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small $\varepsilon$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$.

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)-R_{y^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right\|_{[a, b]} \leq \widetilde{c_{1}} \varepsilon^{\alpha(q)-N_{2}} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<\varepsilon<\eta_{2}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{q}$, where $q \geq N_{2}$.
This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{x}(\phi, t)-R_{y}(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right] \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(\phi, t)-R_{y^{\prime}}(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right] \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t \in(a, b)$.
Using Theorem 3.1 we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=y \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the theorem.
Proof of Theorem 3.3. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. To this purpose we examine the problem
(4.35) $x^{\prime \prime}+R_{p}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) f_{1}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+R_{q}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) f_{2}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=R_{r}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(a)=R_{r_{1}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right), \quad x(b)=R_{r_{2}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the operation $T_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{2}(y)(t)= & -\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s)\left(R_{p}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right) f_{1}\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+R_{q}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right) f_{2}\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right)-R_{r}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, s\right)\right) d s  \tag{4.37}\\
& +R_{r_{1}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)+\frac{R_{r_{2}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)-R_{r_{1}}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)}{b-a}(t-a)
\end{align*}
$$

where $y \in C^{1}[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{2}(y)-T_{2}(z)\right\|_{[a, b]}^{1} \leq\left(\frac{b-a+4}{4}\right) I_{2}\left(p, q, \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\|y-z\|_{[a, b]}^{1} \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y, z \in C_{[a, b]}^{1}$. Hence we deduce that the problem (4.35)-(4.36) has exactly one solution $x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^{1}, \phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ and small $\varepsilon$. We observe that $x(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{E}_{M}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$. If $y \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ is another solution of the problem (1.0); (1.2), then

$$
\begin{align*}
\| x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) & -R_{y}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) \|_{[a, b]}^{1} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{b-a+4}{4}\right) I_{2}\left(p, q, \phi_{\varepsilon}\right)\left\|x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)-R_{y}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right\|_{[a, b]}^{1}  \tag{4.39}\\
& +c_{1} \varepsilon^{\alpha(q)-N_{1}} \text { if } 0<\varepsilon<\eta_{1} \quad\left(\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{q} \text { for } q \geq N_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by virtue of (4.39), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)-R_{y}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right)\right\|_{[a, b]}^{1} \leq \tilde{c}_{1} \varepsilon^{\alpha(q)-N_{1}} \quad \text { if } \quad 0<\varepsilon<\eta_{1} \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(\phi, t)-R_{y}(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right] . \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

## 5. Final remarks

REMARK 5.1. If $G_{1}, G_{2} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$, then the choice of the representatives $R_{i}(\phi, t)=G_{i}(t) \quad(i=1,2)$ shows that definition of the superposition gives back the classical $C^{\infty}$ function $f\left(t, G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ (if $f \in O_{M}\left(K, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ ). In case the functions $G_{i}$ are only continous functions it has already been ascertained that the above coherence results does not hold even for multiplication.

Example 5.1. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ be continous functions defined by

$$
G_{1}(t)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } t \leq 0,  \tag{5.0}\\ t, & \text { if } t>0,\end{cases}
$$

$$
G_{2}(t)= \begin{cases}t, & \text { if } t \leq 0  \tag{5.1}\\ 0, & \text { if } t>0\end{cases}
$$

Then their classical product in $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ is 0 . Their product in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ is the class of

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\phi, t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{1}(t+u) \phi(u) d u \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{2}(t+u) \phi(u) d u \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$. By [2] (p. 16) we have
$R(\phi, t) \notin \mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$.

REmark 5.2. We denote the product in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ by $\odot$ to avoid confusion with the classical product. Now, we consider the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=G_{1}(t) x^{\prime}(t)+G_{2}^{\prime}(t),  \tag{5.4}\\
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=G_{1}(t) \odot x^{\prime}(t)+G_{2}^{\prime}(t), \tag{5.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are defined by (5.0)-(5.1). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}_{2}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} G_{2}(s) d s \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $x=\widetilde{G}_{2}$ is a classical solution of the equation (5.4) (in the Carathéodory sense). On the other hand $x=\widetilde{G}_{2}$ is not a solution of the equation (5.5) in the Colombeau algebra $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ (because $G_{1} \odot G_{2}$ is not zero in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ ).

Remark 5.3. It is known that every distribution is moderate (see [2]). On the other hand, L. Schwartz proves in [17] that there does not exist an algebra $A$ such that the algebra $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^{1}$ is subalgebra of $A$, the function 1 is the unit element in $A$, elements of $A$ are " $C^{\infty}$ " with respect to a derivation which coincides with usual one in $C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$, and such that the usual formula for the derivation of a product holds. As consequence multiplication in $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ does not coincide with usual multiplication of continuous functions.

To repair the consistency problem for multiplication (and superposition) we give the definition introduced by J. $F$. Colombeau in [2].

An element $u$ of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ is said to admit a member $w \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ as the associated distribution, if it has a representative $R_{u}(\phi, t)$ with the following property: for every $\psi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_{n}\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, t\right) \psi(t) d t \rightarrow w(\psi) \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 5.1. We assume
(5.8) $p, q, r \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$,
(5.9) $f_{1}, f_{2}$ have the properties (3.2)-(3.3),
(5.10) $d_{1}, d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$,
(5.11) $x \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ is the solution of the problem (1.0)-(1.1),
(5.12) $\tilde{x}$ is the solution of the problem (1.0)-(1.1) in the Caratheodory sense.
Then $x$ admits an associated distribution which equals $\widetilde{x}$.
This follows from the fact that $p * \phi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow p, q * \phi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow q$ and $r * \phi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow r$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ (see [1]) and the continuous dependence of $\widetilde{x}$ on coefficients $p, q$ and $r$.

Using arguments similar to these in Corollary 5.1, we get

Corollary 5.2. We assume
(5.13) $p, q, r \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$,
(5.14) $p, q$ satisfy (3.5),
(5.15) $f_{1}, f_{2}$ have the properties (3.2)-(3.3),
(5.16) $x \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ is the solution of the problem (1.0); (1.2),
(5.17) $\tilde{x}$ is the solution of the problem (1.0); (1.2) in the Caratheodory sense.
Then $x$ admits an associated distribution which equals $\tilde{x}$.
Corollary 5.3. We assume
(5.18) $p, r \in L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$,
(5.19) $p$ satisfies (3.4),
(5.20) $f_{1}$ has the property (3.2)-(3.3),
(5.21) $x \in \mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$ is the solution of the problem (3.8)-(3.9),
(5.22) $\tilde{x}$ is the solution of the problem (3.8)-(3.9) in the Carathèodory sense.
Then $x$ admits an associated distribution which equals $\widetilde{x}$.
If $p \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$, then $p(t)-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(t+u) \phi(u) d u \in \mathcal{N}\left[\mathbb{R}^{1}\right]$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ (see[2]). Hence, we get

Corollary 5.4. We assume
(5.23) $p, q, r \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$,
(5.24) $f_{1}, f_{2}$ have the properties (3.2)-(3.3),
(5.25) $\quad d_{1}, d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$.

Then the classical and the generalized solution (i.e. solution in the Colombeau algebra) of the problem (1.0)-(1.1) give rise to the same elements of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$.

Corollary 5.5. We assume
(5.26) $p \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$,
(5.27) $f_{1}$ has the properties (3.2)-(3.3),
(5.28) $p$ has the property (3.4),
(5.29) $r_{1}, r_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$.

Then the classical and the generalized solution of the problem (3.8)-(3.9) give rise to the same elements of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$.

Corollary 5.6. We assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
p, q, r \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right) \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.31) $f_{1}, f_{2}$ have the properties (3.2)-(3.3),
(5.32) $p, q$ have the property (3.5),
(5.33) $r_{1}, r_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{1}$.

Then the classical and the generalized solution of the problem (1.0); (1.2) give rise to the same elements of $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1}\right)$.

REmARK 5.4. Non continuous solutions of ordinary differential equations can be considered in an other way (for example [3], [5]-[11], [13]-[16] and [18].
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