

ON NON-NEGATIVE SOLUTIONS
OF A CONVOLUTION EQUATION

WITOLD JARCZYK

Abstract. Some properties of non-negative measurable solutions of equation (1) are studied. The obtained results are stronger versions of those from [6] and their proofs are shorter and simpler.

Given a semigroup $(S, +)$, a solution $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the Cauchy equation

$$\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$$

and a measure ν on a set $E \subset S$ integrate (if possible) the above equality with respect to y . Then

$$\int_E \varphi(x + y) d\nu(y) = \varphi(x) \int_E \varphi(y) d\nu(y)$$

for every $x \in S$. Thus, assuming that the number $c = \int_E \varphi(y) d\nu(y)$ is positive and finite and putting $\mu = \frac{1}{c}\nu$, we come to the equation

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = \int_E \varphi(x + y) d\mu(y).$$

This equation originates from probability, especially from the theory of renewal processes and was intensively studied by many authors starting from G. Choquet and J. Deny [2] in 1960. There is a lot of results giving the form of non-negative solutions of equation (1) in various classes of functions and

Received March 2, 1994

AMS (1991) subject classification: Primary 39B52. Secondary 43A05.

under various assumptions imposed on the semigroup S and the measure μ (cf. [10] and the references therein, also [8] for the infinite-dimensional case).

In [6], trying to find another way of solving equation (1), the author of the present paper proved a result describing a convexity property of its non-negative solutions in a pretty general, purely algebraic setting. This is only a step in the procedure but demands weaker assumptions concerning the semigroup and the solution as usual.

The aim of this paper is to give shorter and simpler proofs of more general versions of the results presented in [6]. The main one (Theorem 1) is an integral counterpart of the following result (see [5, Theorem 1.1]). Its special case was proved by K. Baron and the author in [1].

Denote by e_1, \dots, e_k the canonical zero-one basis of the k -dimensional Euclidean real space. Let P_1, \dots, P_k be sets of integers satisfying the conditions

$$(2) \quad P_i + 1 \subset P_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

and put $\mathbf{P} = P_1 \times \dots \times P_k$.

THEOREM. *Let A_1, \dots, A_k be positive reals and let $\varphi : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative solution of the equation*

$$(3) \quad \varphi(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i \varphi(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i).$$

Then

$$\varphi(\mathbf{n})^2 \leq \varphi(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m})\varphi(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m})$$

for every vectors $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^k$ such that $\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{P}$.

The Theorem turned out to be very useful in solving quite a lot of problems not only in the theory of functional equations (for some of them see [4] and [5, Chapters II and IV]). In the present paper we are going to make use of it to prove Theorem 1.

Let $(S, +)$ be an Abelian semigroup. Given a non-void set $A \subset S$ denote by $S(A)$ the semigroup (with the neutral element denoted by θ) generated by A :

$$S(A) = \{n_1 a_1 + \dots + n_k a_k : \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k, a_1, \dots, a_k \in A, k \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

Fix a non-void set $E \subset S$ and assume that the semigroup $S(E)$ is cancellative, i.e.

$$x + z = y + z \quad \text{implies} \quad x = y$$

for every $x, y, z \in S(E)$. Due to a theorem of O. Ore [9] (see also [3, Section 1.10] or [7, Theorem 4.5.2]) it is known that there exists a group $(G(E), +)$ such that $(S(E), +)$ is a subsemigroup of $(G(E), +)$ and

$$G(E) = S(E) - S(E).$$

Moreover, the group $(G(E), +)$ is Abelian which follows almost immediately from the commutativity of $S(E)$.

Let \mathfrak{M} be a σ -algebra of subsets of E and let $\mu : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a σ -finite measure. Given a positive integer p denote by $\mathfrak{M}^{\otimes p}$ and $\mu^{\otimes p}$ the σ -products of p copies of \mathfrak{M} and μ , respectively.

Fix a set $X \subset G(E)$ satisfying the condition

$$(4) \quad X + E \subset X.$$

In what follows if $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}^k$ then $|\mathbf{n}|$ will stand for the number $n_1 + \dots + n_k$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative solution of equation (1) and assume that the function*

$$(5) \quad E^p \ni (e_1, \dots, e_p) \mapsto \varphi(x + e_1 + \dots + e_p)$$

is $\mathfrak{M}^{\otimes p}$ -measurable for every $x \in X$ and $p \in \mathbf{N}$.

If k is a positive integer and $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathfrak{M}$ are pairwise disjoint non-void sets then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{U_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times U_k^{n_k}} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{n}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{n}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{n}|}) \right)^2 \\ & \leq \int_{U_1^{n_1 - m_1} \times \dots \times U_k^{n_k - m_k}} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}|}) \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{U_1^{n_1 + m_1} \times \dots \times U_k^{n_k + m_k}} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}|}) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in X$ and vectors $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^k$ such that $\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k$.

PROOF. Fix a point $x \in X$, a positive integer k , and pairwise disjoint non-void sets $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathfrak{M}$. First assume additionally that $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_k = E$. For every $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k$ put

$$\psi(\mathbf{n}) = \int_{U_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times U_k^{n_k}} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{n}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{n}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{n}|}).$$

Since φ is non-negative it follows from (1) that

$$\psi(\mathbf{n}) \leq \varphi(x), \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k.$$

Therefore the values of ψ are finite and, evidently, non-negative. Moreover, due to the commutativity of S and by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^k \psi(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{e}_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{U_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times U_k^{n_k} \times U_i} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{n}|} + t_{|\mathbf{n}|+1}) d\mu^{\otimes(|\mathbf{n}|+1)} \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad (t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{n}|}, t_{|\mathbf{n}|+1}) \\ &= \int_{U_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times U_k^{n_k}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \int_{U_i} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{n}|} + t) d\mu(t) \right) d\mu^{\otimes|\mathbf{n}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{n}|}) \\ &= \int_{U_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times U_k^{n_k}} \left(\int_E \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{n}|} + t) d\mu(t) \right) d\mu^{\otimes|\mathbf{n}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{n}|}) \\ &= \int_{U_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times U_k^{n_k}} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{n}|}) d\mu^{\otimes|\mathbf{n}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{n}|}) = \psi(\mathbf{n}) \end{aligned}$$

for every $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k$. So in this case the assertion immediately follows from the Theorem where we take $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{N}_0^k$.

In the case where $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_k \neq E$ it is enough to put $U_{k+1} = E \setminus (U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_k)$ and apply the part just proved of the theorem to the sets U_1, \dots, U_k, U_{k+1} and the vectors $(n_1, \dots, n_k, 0)$ and $(m_1, \dots, m_k, 0)$. \square

Now we are interested in the situation where the semigroup S has a suitably rich topological structure.

REMARK 1. Assume that $(S, +)$ is an Abelian topological semigroup, E treated as a topological subspace of S has a countable base and μ is a σ -finite Borel measure on E .

Since E has a countable base it follows that for every $p \in \mathbf{N}$ the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of E^p coincides with the σ -product of p copies of the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of E . Therefore, if $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is such that the function $E \ni e \mapsto \varphi(x + e)$ is Borel measurable then function (5) is product Borel measurable for every $p \in \mathbf{N}$.

In Theorem 2 we shall assume that the set E is additively independent. This means that if k, l are positive integers, $x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l \in E$ and $x_1 + \dots + x_k = y_1 + \dots + y_l$ then $k = l$ and there is a permutation π of the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $y_i = x_{\pi(i)}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Clearly the additive independence of E implies the cancellativity of the semigroup $S(E)$.

EXAMPLE ([6]). Fix a non-void set T and consider the set $S = \mathbb{R}^T$ endowed with the usual addition. The set E consisting of all the functions $e_t : T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in T$, given by

$$e_t(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } u = t, \\ 0 & \text{for } u \in T \setminus \{t\} \end{cases}$$

is additively independent. Moreover,

$$S(E) = \{x \in \mathbb{N}_0^T : \text{the set } \{t \in T : x(t) \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}$$

and

$$G(E) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^T : \text{the set } \{t \in T : x(t) \neq 0\} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

In particular, if $T = \{1, \dots, k\}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $S(E) = \mathbb{N}_0^k$ and $G(E) = \mathbb{Z}^k$.

Under the assumptions imposed in Remark 1 on S, E , and μ we are going to prove the following result. Here $\text{supp } \mu$ stands for the support of the measure μ , i.e. the set of all points each neighbourhood of which has a positive measure μ . Observe that, in view of (4),

$$X + S(\text{supp } \mu) \subset X.$$

THEOREM 2. Assume that the set E is additively independent and each point of $\text{supp } \mu$ has a neighbourhood of finite μ measure. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative solution of equation (1) such that the function $E \ni e \mapsto \varphi(x + e)$ is Borel measurable for every $x \in X$.

If $x \in X$ then

$$(6) \quad \varphi(x + v)^2 \leq \varphi(x + u)\varphi(x + w)$$

for every $u, v, w \in S(\text{supp } \mu)$ such that $x + u, x + v, x + w$ are points of continuity of φ and $2v = u + w$.

PROOF. Fix $x \in X$ and points $u, v, w \in S(\text{supp } \mu)$ such that $2v = u + w$ and φ is continuous at $x + u, x + v$, and $x + w$. Then

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i s_i, \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i s_i, \quad \text{and} \quad w = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i s_i$$

for some $k \in \mathbf{N}$, pairwise different $s_1, \dots, s_k \in \text{supp } \mu$ and $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k$.
Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^k 2q_i s_i = \sum_{i=1}^k (p_i + r_i) s_i$$

it follows from the additive independence of E that

$$(7) \quad 2q_i = p_i + r_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

For every $l \in \mathbf{N}$ choose pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods $V_{1,l}, \dots, V_{k,l}$ of the points s_1, \dots, s_k such that

$$(8a) \quad |\varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) - \varphi(x + u)| < \frac{1}{l}, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) \in V(\mathbf{p}, l),$$

$$(8b) \quad |\varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{q}|}) - \varphi(x + v)| < \frac{1}{l}, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{q}|}) \in V(\mathbf{q}, l),$$

and

$$(8c) \quad |\varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{r}|}) - \varphi(x + w)| < \frac{1}{l}, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{r}|}) \in V(\mathbf{r}, l),$$

where

$$V(\mathbf{n}, l) = V_{1,l}^{n_1} \times \dots \times V_{k,l}^{n_k}, \quad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k.$$

Since $s_1, \dots, s_k \in \text{supp } \mu$ we can additionally assume that

$$0 < \mu(V_{i,l}) < \infty, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad l \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Thus $\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{p}|}(V(\mathbf{p}, l))$, $\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{q}|}(V(\mathbf{q}, l))$, and $\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{r}|}(V(\mathbf{r}, l))$ are finite and positive numbers for each $l \in \mathbf{N}$.

For every $l \in \mathbf{N}$, by virtue of Theorem 1, Remark 1 and condition (7), we have

$$(9) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{q}|}(V(\mathbf{q}, l))} \int_{V(\mathbf{q}, l)} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{q}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{q}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{q}|}) \right)^2 \\ \leq \frac{1}{\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{p}|}(V(\mathbf{p}, l))} \int_{V(\mathbf{p}, l)} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{p}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) \\ \cdot \frac{1}{\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{r}|}(V(\mathbf{r}, l))} \int_{V(\mathbf{r}, l)} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{r}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{r}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{r}|}).$$

If $l \in \mathbf{N}$ then, using (8a), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{p}|}(V(\mathbf{p}, l))} \int_{V(\mathbf{p}, l)} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{p}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) - \varphi(x + u) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{p}|}(V(\mathbf{p}, l))} \int_{V(\mathbf{p}, l)} |\varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) - \varphi(x + u)| d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{p}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) \\ & < \frac{1}{l}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{p}|}(V(\mathbf{p}, l))} \int_{V(\mathbf{p}, l)} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{p}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{p}|}) = \varphi(x + u).$$

Similarly, by (8b) and (8c),

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{q}|}(V(\mathbf{q}, l))} \int_{V(\mathbf{q}, l)} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{q}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{q}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{q}|}) = \varphi(x + v)$$

and

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{r}|}(V(\mathbf{r}, l))} \int_{V(\mathbf{r}, l)} \varphi(x + t_1 + \dots + t_{|\mathbf{r}|}) d\mu^{\otimes |\mathbf{r}|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|\mathbf{r}|}) = \varphi(x + w).$$

Consequently, on account of (9), we get inequality (6). \square

REMARK 2. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2 that if either $u = \theta$ or $w = \theta$ then the conclusion holds true without the assumption of the continuity of φ at $x + u$ or $x + w$, respectively (that is at x). Moreover, for the validity of the theorem it is enough to know that the points s_1, \dots, s_k used in the representations of u, v , and w have neighbourhoods of finite measure μ .

REMARK 3. Fix a positive integer k , sets $P_1, \dots, P_k \subset \mathbf{Z}$ satisfying the conditions (2) and put $\mathbf{P} = P_1 \times \dots \times P_k$. The set $E = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k\}$ is an additively independent subset of the group \mathbf{R}^k ; moreover, $S(E) = \mathbf{N}_0^k$ and $G(E) = \mathbf{Z}^k$ (cf. the Example).

Let A_1, \dots, A_k be positive reals and consider the measure μ defined on 2^E by the formula

$$\mu(\{\mathbf{e}_i\}) = A_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Clearly $S(\text{supp } \mu) = S(E) = \mathbf{N}_0^k$.

Take a non-negative solution $\varphi : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of equation (3) and fix vectors $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^k$ such that $\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{P}$. The vector \mathbf{x} , defined by

$$x_i = \min\{n_i - m_i, n_i + m_i\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

is an element of \mathbf{P} . Moreover, putting $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{x}$, and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{x}$, we get $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k = S(\text{supp } \mu)$ and $2\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w}$. Consequently, by virtue of Theorem 2,

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v})^2 \leq \varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u})\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}),$$

i.e.

$$\varphi(\mathbf{n})^2 \leq \varphi(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m})\varphi(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}).$$

Therefore the Theorem can be deduced from Theorem 2.

The final result deals with the equation

$$(10) \quad \psi(y) = \int_T \psi(f(t, y)) d\nu(t)$$

more general than equation (1). Its proof does not differ essentially from that one of [6, Corollary 1].

Given sets Y and T and a function $f : T \times Y \rightarrow Y$ we shall write f_t instead of $f(t, \cdot)$ for any $t \in T$.

THEOREM 3. Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{N} be σ -algebras of subsets of sets Y and T , respectively, and let $\nu : \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a σ -finite measure. Assume that $f : T \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is such a function that

$$(11) \quad f_s \circ f_t = f_t \circ f_s, \quad s, t \in T,$$

and the function

$$T^p \ni (t_1, \dots, t_p) \mapsto f_{t_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_p}(y)$$

is $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes p} - \mathfrak{A}$ -measurable for every $y \in Y$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative \mathfrak{A} -measurable solution of equation (10). If k is a positive integer and $V_1, \dots, V_k \in \mathfrak{N}$ are pairwise disjoint non-void sets then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{V_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times V_k^{n_k}} \psi(f_{t_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_{|n|}}(y)) d\nu^{\otimes |n|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|n|}) \right)^2 \\ & \leq \int_{V_1^{n_1 - m_1} \times \dots \times V_k^{n_k - m_k}} \psi(f_{t_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_{|n-m|}}(y)) d\nu^{\otimes |n-m|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|n-m|}) \\ & \quad \cdot \int_{V_1^{n_1 + m_1} \times \dots \times V_k^{n_k + m_k}} \psi(f_{t_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_{|n+m|}}(y)) d\nu^{\otimes |n+m|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|n+m|}) \end{aligned}$$

for every $y \in Y$ and vectors $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^k$ such that $\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}$, $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k$.

PROOF. Define the group S and the set E as in the Example and let X be the set of all functions mapping T into \mathbf{N}_0 vanishing outside a finite subset of T . Clearly $X \subset G(E)$ and $X + E \subset X$. Since the function $F : T \rightarrow E$, given by $F(t) = e_t$, is a bijection, the formula

$$\mu(A) = \nu(F^{-1}(A))$$

defines a σ -finite measure μ on the σ -algebra $\mathfrak{M} = \{A \subset E : F^{-1}(A) \in \mathfrak{N}\}$.

Fix a $y \in Y$. For each $x \in X$ there is only a finite number of t 's, say $t_1, \dots, t_l \in T$, such that $x(t) \neq 0$. Then $x(t_1), \dots, x(t_l) \in \mathbf{N}$, so we may take into account the iterates $f_{t_1}^{x(t_1)}, \dots, f_{t_l}^{x(t_l)}$. Put

$$\varphi(x) = \psi(f_{t_1}^{x(t_1)} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_l}^{x(t_l)}(y)).$$

(In the case $l = 0$ this means that $\varphi(x) = \psi(y)$.) The function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-negative and the function

$$E^p \ni (e^{(1)}, \dots, e^{(p)}) \mapsto \varphi(x + e^{(1)} + \dots + e^{(p)})$$

is $\mathfrak{M}^{\otimes p}$ - measurable for every $x \in X$ and $p \in \mathbf{N}$.

Now fix an $x \in X$ and let $t_1, \dots, t_l \in T$ be all t 's for which $x(t) \neq 0$. Making use of the definition of φ and equalities (10) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \psi(f_{t_1}^{x(t_1)} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_l}^{x(t_l)}(y)) \\ &= \int_T \psi(f_{t_1}^{x(t_1)} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_l}^{x(t_l)} \circ f_t(y)) d\nu(t) \\ &= \int_T \varphi(x + e_t) d\nu(t) = \int_E \varphi(x + e) d\mu(e). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved that φ satisfies equation (1).

Fix a positive integer k , pairwise disjoint non-void sets $V_1, \dots, V_k \in \mathfrak{N}$ and vectors $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^k$ such that $\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}$, $\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{N}_0^k$. Then, by

Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\int_{V_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times V_k^{n_k}} \psi(f_{t_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_{|n|}}(y)) d\nu^{\otimes |n|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|n|}) \right)^2 \\
 &= \left(\int_{V_1^{n_1} \times \dots \times V_k^{n_k}} \varphi(e_{t_1} + \dots + e_{t_{|n|}}) d\nu^{\otimes |n|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|n|}) \right)^2 \\
 &= \left(\int_{F(V_1)^{n_1} \times \dots \times F(V_k)^{n_k}} \varphi(s_1 + \dots + s_{|n|}) d\mu^{\otimes |n|}(s_1, \dots, s_{|n|}) \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \int_{F(V_1)^{n_1-m_1} \times \dots \times F(V_k)^{n_k-m_k}} \varphi(s_1 + \dots + s_{|n-m|}) d\mu^{\otimes |n-m|}(s_1, \dots, s_{|n-m|}) \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_{F(V_1)^{n_1+m_1} \times \dots \times F(V_k)^{n_k+m_k}} \varphi(s_1 + \dots + s_{|n+m|}) d\mu^{\otimes |n+m|}(s_1, \dots, s_{|n+m|}) \\
 &= \int_{V_1^{n_1-m_1} \times \dots \times V_k^{n_k-m_k}} \psi(f_{t_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_{|n-m|}}(y)) d\nu^{\otimes |n-m|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|n-m|}) \\
 &\quad \int_{V_1^{n_1+m_1} \times \dots \times V_k^{n_k+m_k}} \psi(f_{t_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{t_{|n+m|}}(y)) d\nu^{\otimes |n+m|}(t_1, \dots, t_{|n+m|})
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research Grant No. 2 1062 91 01.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Baron and W. Jarczyk, *On a way of division of segments*, Aequationes Math. **34** (1987), 195–205.
- [2] G. Choquet et J. Deny, *Sur l'équation de convolution $\mu = \mu * \sigma$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **250** (1960), 799–801.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. 1, American Mathematical Society, Providence 1964.
- [4] W. Jarczyk, *On continuous functions which are additive on their graphs*, Ber. Math.-Stat. Sektion im Forschungszentrum Graz. **292** (1988).
- [5] W. Jarczyk, *A recurrent method of solving iterative functional equations*, Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach nr 1206, Katowice 1991.

- [6] W Jarczyk, *Convexity properties of nonnegative solutions of a convolution equation*, Grazer Math. Ber. **316** (1992), 71–92.
- [7] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities. Cauchy's Equation and Jensen's Inequality*, PWN, Uniwersytet Śląski, Warszawa – Kraków – Katowice 1985.
- [8] K. S. Lau and W. B. Zeng, *The convolution equation of Choquet and Deny on semi-groups*, Studia Math. **97** (1990), 115–135.
- [9] O. Ore, *Linear equations in non-commutative fields*, Ann. of Math. **32** (1931), 463–477.
- [10] B. Ramachandran and K. S. Lau, *Functional Equations in Probability Theory*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego 1991.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
SILESIA UNIVERSITY
BANKOWA 14
PL-40-007 KATOWICE, POLAND