

SELECTIONS OF BIADDITIVE SET-VALUED FUNCTIONS

JOANNA SZCZAWIŃSKA

Abstract. In this paper we prove that there exists a biadditive selection f of a biadditive set-valued function F and a continuous selection when F is lower semicontinuous.

We begin with some notations and definitions. Let $n(Y)$ denote the set of all nonempty subsets of a nonempty set Y . If Y is a normed space then $cc(Y)$ denotes the set of all compact and convex elements of $n(Y)$.

DEFINITION 1. Let X, Y, Z be real vector spaces. We say that a set-valued function $F : X \rightarrow n(Z)$ (abbreviated to "s.v. function") in the sequel is *additive* iff

$$F(x + y) = F(x) + F(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in X.$$

A s.v. function $F : X \times Y \rightarrow n(Z)$ is called *biadditive* iff F is additive with respect to each variable.

DEFINITION 2. The point x_0 of a subset C of real vector space X is called an *algebraic interior point* of C (we write $x_0 \in \text{core}C$) iff for each $x \in X$ there is a real positive ε such that

$$tx + (1 - t)x_0 \in C \quad \text{for } |t| \leq \varepsilon.$$

DEFINITION 3. We say that a point $x_0 \in C$, $C \subseteq X$ is an *extreme point* of C iff there are no two different points $x, y \in C$ and no number $t \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$x_0 = tx + (1 - t)y.$$

The set of all extreme points of C is denoted by $\text{Ext}C$.

Received January 11, 1994 and, in final form, May 10, 1994.

AMS (1991) subject classification: Primary 26E25, 54C65.

DEFINITION 4. A set $C \subseteq X$ is said to be a *convex cone* iff $C + C \subseteq C$ and $tC \subseteq C$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

K. Nikodem in the paper [4] proved the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let X, Y be real vector spaces and C be a convex cone in X . Assume that $F : C \rightarrow n(Y)$ is an additive s.v. function, $x_0 \in \text{core}C$ and $p \in \text{Ext}F(x_0)$. Then there exists exactly one additive selection $f : C \rightarrow Y$ of F such that $f(x_0) = p$. In addition,

$$f(x) \in \text{Ext}F(x) \quad \text{for } x \in C.$$

The following lemma (Nikodem [4]) will be useful for us.

LEMMA. Let B and C be subsets of a real vector space. If $p \in \text{Ext}(B+C)$, then there exists exactly one point $b \in B$ and exactly one point $c \in C$ such that $b+c = p$. Moreover, $b \in \text{Ext}B$ and $c \in \text{Ext}C$, i.e. $\text{Ext}(B+C) \subseteq \text{Ext}B + \text{Ext}C$.

Now, we shall formulate a theorem, analogue to Nikodem's Theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let X, Y, Z be real vector spaces, C, D be convex cones in X, Y , respectively, and $F : C \times D \rightarrow n(Z)$ be a biadditive s.v. function. Moreover, let $x_0 \in \text{core}C, y_0 \in \text{core}D$ and $p \in \text{Ext}F(x_0, y_0)$. Then there exists exactly one biadditive selection $f : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ of F such that $f(x_0, y_0) = p$.

PROOF. Let $U := C \cap (x_0 - C)$. If $u \in U$ then $x_0 - u \in U$. Fix any element $a \in U$. Since $p \in \text{Ext}F(x_0, y_0) = \text{Ext} \{F(a, y_0) + F(x_0 - a, y_0)\}$, there exist, by Nikodem's lemma, a unique point $p_a \in \text{Ext}F(a, y_0)$ and a unique point $p_{x_0-a} \in \text{Ext}F(x_0 - a, y_0)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad p = p_a + p_{x_0-a}.$$

For the additive s.v. function $F(a, \cdot) : D \rightarrow n(Z)$, $y_0 \in \text{core}D$ and the point $p_a \in \text{Ext}F(a, y_0)$, the assumptions of Nikodem's Theorem hold. So there exists exactly one additive selection $f_a : D \rightarrow Z$ of $F(a, \cdot)$ such that

$$f_a(y_0) = p_a.$$

It holds for any $a \in U$. Now, let us define a function $g_0 : U \times D \rightarrow Z$ as follows:

$$g_0(a, y) := f_a(y) \quad \text{for } (a, y) \in U \times D.$$

It is easy to check that g_0 is properly defined and

$$g_0(a, y) = f_a(y) \in F(a, y) \quad \text{for } (a, y) \in U \times D.$$

Moreover,

$$g_0(a, x + y) = f_a(x) + f_a(y) = g_0(a, x) + g_0(a, y) \quad \text{for } a \in U, \quad x, y \in D.$$

Now, we shall show that $g_0(a + b, x) = g_0(a, x) + g_0(b, x)$ for all $x \in D$, $a, b \in U$ such that $a + b \in U$. Since $p \in \text{Ext}\{F(a, y_0) + F(x_0 - a, y_0)\}$, there exist exactly one $a_1 \in F(a, y_0)$ and exactly one $b_1 \in F(x_0 - a, y_0)$ such that $p = a_1 + b_1$. Similarly $p \in \text{Ext}\{F(b, y_0) + F(x_0 - b, y_0)\}$, whence $p = a_2 + b_2$, where $a_2 \in F(b, y_0)$, $b_2 \in F(x_0 - b, y_0)$ and $p \in \text{Ext}\{F(a, y_0) + F(b, y_0) + F(x_0 - a - b, y_0)\}$ so $p = a_3 + b_3 + c_3$, where $a_3 \in F(a, y_0)$, $b_3 \in F(b, y_0)$ and $c_3 \in F(x_0 - a - b, y_0)$. We get

$$p = a_3 + (b_3 + c_3) = a_1 + b_1, \quad a_1, a_3 \in F(a, y_0), \quad b_1, b_3 + c_3 \in F(x_0 - a, y_0),$$

whence, by the uniqueness of the representation (1.1), we infer that $a_3 = a_1 = p_a$. In the same way we get that $b_3 = a_2 = p_b$ and $p_{a+b} = a_3 + b_3$. That is $p_a + p_b = p_{a+b}$. This means that

$$f_a(y_0) + f_b(y_0) = f_{a+b}(y_0).$$

Since the fact that f_a is a selection of $F(a, \cdot)$ and f_b is a selection of $F(b, \cdot)$ implies that $f_a + f_b$ is a selection of $F(a + b, \cdot)$, and by the uniqueness of selection passing through the point y_0 , we deduce that

$$f_{a+b}(y) = f_a(y) + f_b(y) \quad \text{for } y \in D$$

and

$$g_0(a + b, y) = f_{a+b}(y) = f_a(y) + f_b(y) = g_0(a, y) + g_0(b, y)$$

for $y \in D$, $a, b \in U$ such that $a + b \in U$. So, we have proved that g_0 is a biadditive selection of F on the set $U \times D$.

Now, we shall extend g_0 to a biadditive function defined on $C \times D$. Fix any point $x \in C$. Since $x_0 \in \text{core}C$, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$x_0 + tx \in C \quad \text{for } |t| < \varepsilon.$$

Let us take a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$. Then

$$-\frac{1}{n}x + x_0 \in C.$$

Consequently

$$\frac{x}{n} \in x_0 - C \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{x}{n} \in C.$$

It implies that $\frac{x}{n} \in U$. Put $g(x, y) := ng_0(\frac{x}{n}, y)$. This definition is correct. Indeed, if $m \in \mathbf{N}$ is such a number that $\frac{x}{m} \in U$, then $\frac{x}{nm} = (1 - \frac{1}{m}) \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{m} \cdot \frac{x}{n} \in x_0 - C$ as well as $\frac{x}{mn} \in C$ thus $\frac{x}{mn} \in U$ and

$$mg_0\left(\frac{x}{m}, y\right) = mng_0\left(\frac{x}{nm}, y\right) = ng_0\left(\frac{x}{n}, y\right).$$

Moreover, the function $g : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ defined above is biadditive. Indeed, let $x \in C$, $y \in C$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ be a number so large that $\frac{x}{n}, \frac{y}{n}, \frac{x+y}{n} \in U$. Then

$$g(x + y, z) = ng_0\left(\frac{x + y}{n}, z\right) = ng_0\left(\frac{x}{n}, z\right) + ng_0\left(\frac{y}{n}, z\right) = g(x, z) + g(y, z).$$

Lastly, the function g is a selection of F . If $x \in C$, $y \in D$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\frac{x}{n} \in U$, then

$$g(x, y) = ng_0\left(\frac{x}{n}, y\right) \in nF\left(\frac{x}{n}, y\right) \subseteq F\left(\frac{x}{n}, y\right) + \dots + F\left(\frac{x}{n}, y\right) = F(x, y).$$

To end the proof we have to show that g is a unique selection of F passing through the point $((x_0, y_0), p)$. So, assume that there exists $g_1 : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ biadditive selection of F such that $g_1(x_0, y_0) = p$. Fix any $a \in U$. Then

$$p = g_1(x_0, y_0) = g_1(a, y_0) + g_1(x_0 - a, y_0).$$

Since $g_1(a, y_0) \in F(a, y_0)$ and $g_1(x_0 - a, y_0) \in F(x_0 - a, y_0)$, by the uniqueness of representation (1.1), we have that

$$g_1(a, y_0) = p_a = f_a(y_0) = g(a, y_0).$$

Thus $g_1(a, y_0) = g(a, y_0)$ for $a \in U$. Since $g_1(a, \cdot), f_a$ are additive selections of $F(a, \cdot)$ and $g_1(a, y_0) = p_a = f_a(y_0)$, we deduce that

$$g_1(a, y) = f_a(y) = g(a, y) \quad \text{for } y \in D, \quad a \in U$$

(because the selection is unique). If $a \in C$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\frac{a}{n} \in U$ then

$$g_1(a, y) = ng_1\left(\frac{a}{n}, y\right) = ng\left(\frac{a}{n}, y\right) = g(a, y) \quad \text{for } a \in C, \quad y \in D.$$

Hence $g = g_1$ on the set $C \times D$. This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 1. The last proof implies that

$$f(x, y) \in \text{Ext } F(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in C \times D,$$

whenever $F : C \times D \rightarrow \text{conv}(Z)$, where $\text{conv}(Z)$ denotes the set of nonempty convex subsets of Z . Indeed, if $x \in U$ and $y \in D$, then $g_0(x, y) \in \text{Ext } F(x, y)$. Fix $x \in C$, $y \in D$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{x}{n} \in U$. Then

$$g(x, y) = ng_0\left(\frac{x}{n}, y\right) \in n\text{Ext } F\left(\frac{x}{n}, y\right) \subseteq \text{Ext}\left(nF\left(\frac{x}{n}, y\right)\right) \subseteq \text{Ext } F(x, y).$$

THEOREM 2. Let X, Y, Z be real vector spaces, and C, D convex cones in X, Y , respectively. Assume that $F : C \times D \rightarrow \text{conv}(Z)$ is a biadditive s.v. function and $x_0 \in \text{core } C, y_0 \in \text{core } D$ and $p \in \text{conv}[\text{Ext } F(x_0, y_0)]$. Then there exists a biadditive function $f : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ such that $f(x_0, y_0) = p$ and

$$f(x, y) \in \text{conv}[\text{Ext } F(x, y)] \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in C \times D.$$

PROOF. The point p belongs to $\text{conv}[\text{Ext } F(x_0, y_0)]$, so there exist a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \text{Ext } F(x_0, y_0)$ and nonnegative numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and $p = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i$. By Theorem 1, there exist biadditive functions $f_i : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ for which $f_i(x_0, y_0) = p_i$ and

$$f_i(x, y) \in \text{Ext } F(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in C \times D, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

It is easy to check that the function $f : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ given by formula

$$f(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in C \times D$$

is biadditive, $f(x_0, y_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i = p$ and $f(x, y) \in \text{conv}[\text{Ext } F(x, y)]$ for all $(x, y) \in C \times D$. \square

DEFINITION 5. Assume that X, Y are topological vector spaces and C is an open subset of X . We say that a s.v. function $F : C \rightarrow n(Y)$ is *lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.)* at a point $x_0 \in C$ iff for any neighbourhood V of zero in Y , there exists a neighbourhood U of zero in X such that

$$(5.1) \quad F(x_0) \subseteq F(x) + V \quad \text{for } x \in x_0 + U.$$

We say that F is *upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.)* at $x_0 \in C$ iff for every neighbourhood V of zero in Y there exists a neighbourhood U of zero in X such that

$$(5.2) \quad F(x) \subseteq F(x_0) + V \quad \text{for } x \in x_0 + U.$$

F is called *continuous* at $x_0 \in C$ iff it is both l.s.c. and u.s.c. at x_0 .

THEOREM 3. Let X, Y, Z be topological vector spaces and Z be locally convex, C, D open convex cones in X, Y , respectively. A s.v. function $A : C \times D \rightarrow cc(Z)$ is biadditive if and only if there exist a biadditive continuous s.v. function $L : C \times D \rightarrow cc(Z)$ and a biadditive function $a : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$A(x, y) = a(x, y) + L(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in C \times D.$$

PROOF. By Theorem 1, there exists a biadditive selection $a : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ of A . Let us define an s.v. function $L : C \times D \rightarrow cc(Z)$ as follows:

$$L(x, y) := A(x, y) - a(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in C \times D.$$

Obviously $0 \in L(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in C \times D$. Fix any $(x_0, y_0) \in C \times D$. Let W be a neighbourhood of zero in Z . $L(x_0, y_0)$ is bounded, so there is a positive integer $n \geq 3$ such that

$$\frac{2}{n}L(x_0, y_0) \subseteq W.$$

There exist a balanced neighbourhood U of 0 in X such that $\frac{1}{n}x_0 + u \in C$, $x_0 + u \in C$ for all $u \in U$ and a neighbourhood V of 0 in Y such that $\frac{1}{n}y_0 + v \in D$, $y_0 + v \in D$ for $v \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(x_0, y_0) &= L\left(\frac{n-2}{n}x_0, y_0\right) + \frac{2}{n}L(x_0, y_0) \\ &\subseteq L\left(\frac{n-2}{n}x_0, y_0\right) + L\left(\frac{1}{n}x_0 + \frac{n-1}{n}u, y_0\right) + W \\ &= L\left(\frac{n-1}{n}x_0 + \frac{n-1}{n}u, y_0\right) + W = L\left(x_0 + u, \frac{n-1}{n}y_0\right) + W \\ &\subseteq L\left(x_0 + u, \frac{n-1}{n}y_0\right) + L\left(x_0 + u, \frac{1}{n}y_0 + v\right) + W \\ &= L(x_0 + u, y_0 + v) + W, \end{aligned}$$

where $(u, v) \in U \times V$. So, $L(x_0, y_0) \subseteq L(x, y) + W$ for $(x, y) \in (x_0, y_0) + U \times V$. Hence the function L is lower semicontinuous at (x_0, y_0) and L is l.s.c. in $C \times D$.

Since $(\frac{1}{n}x_0, \frac{1}{n}y_0) \in C \times D$ and $C \times D$ is open, there exist a balanced neighbourhood U of 0 in X and a balanced neighbourhood V of 0 in Y such that $\frac{1}{n}x_0 - u \in C$, $x_0 + u \in C$ for $u \in U$, $\frac{1}{n}y_0 - \frac{n+1}{n}v \in D$, $y_0 + v \in D$ for

$v \in V$. Let $(u, v) \in U \times V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(x_0 + u, y_0 + v) &\subseteq L(x_0 + u, y_0 + v) + L\left(\frac{1}{n}x_0 - u, y_0 + v\right) \\
 &= L\left(\frac{n+1}{n}x_0, y_0 + v\right) = L\left(x_0, \frac{n+1}{n}y_0 + \frac{n+1}{n}v\right) \\
 &\subseteq L\left(x_0, \frac{n+1}{n}y_0 + \frac{n+1}{n}v\right) + L\left(x_0, \frac{1}{n}y_0 - \frac{n+1}{n}v\right) \\
 &= L\left(x_0, \frac{n+2}{n}y_0\right) = L(x_0, y_0) + \frac{2}{n}L(x_0, y_0) \\
 &\subseteq L(x_0, y_0) + W.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, $L(x_0 + u, y_0 + v) \subseteq L(x_0, y_0) + W$ for $(u, v) \in U \times V$. Hence L is upper semicontinuous at (x_0, y_0) . By the first part of the proof L is continuous in $C \times D$. \square

For the next theorem we need some Banach-Steinhaus-type theorems for a bilinear function, which are probably known, however we will give them here for convenience of readers.

DEFINITION 6. Let X, Y, Z be real normed spaces. A bilinear map $T : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is called *bounded* iff there exists a real number $M > 0$ such that

$$\|T(x, y)\| \leq M \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in X \times Y.$$

The norm of a bilinear bounded map T is defined by the formula

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1} \|T(x, y)\|.$$

A bilinear map is bounded if and only if it is continuous.

THEOREM 4. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and Z be a normed space. Assume that bilinear maps $T_n : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ are continuous, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. If the sequence $\{T_n(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, then the sequence $\{\|T_n\|\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded.

PROOF. Let $A_k := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : \|T_n(x, y)\| \leq k, n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$. It is easy to verify that

$$X \times Y = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{N}} A_k.$$

The continuity of the maps T_n and the norm implies that sets A_k are closed, $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Since X, Y are Banach spaces, we deduce by Baire's theorem that

$X \times Y$ is the second category set; this means that there exists a number $k_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that A_{k_0} is not a nowhere dense set; in other words $\text{Int}A_{k_0} \neq \emptyset$, so there exist real numbers $r_1 > 0, r_2 > 0$ such that

$$\text{cl}K_1(x_0, r_1) \times \text{cl}K_2(y_0, r_2) \subseteq A_{k_0}$$

(where K_1 is a ball in X , K_2 is a ball in Y). If $\|x - x_0\| \leq r_1$ and $\|y - y_0\| \leq r_2$, then $\|T_n(x, y)\| \leq k_0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Fix $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. Since $\left\| \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} r_1 + x_0 \right) - x_0 \right\| = r_1$ and $\left\| \left(\frac{y}{\|y\|} r_2 + y_0 \right) - y_0 \right\| = r_2$ one has

$$\|T_n \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} r_1 + x_0, \frac{y}{\|y\|} r_2 + y_0 \right)\| \leq k_0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n(x, y)\| &= \left\| T_n \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} r_1, y \right) \right\| \cdot \frac{\|x\|}{r_1} \\ &= \frac{\|x\|}{r_1} \left\| T_n \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} r_1 + x_0, y \right) - T_n(x_0, y) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|x\|}{r_1} \left(\left\| T_n \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} r_1 + x_0, y \right) \right\| + \|T_n(x_0, y)\| \right) \\ &= \frac{\|x\|}{r_1} \left\{ \frac{\|y\|}{r_2} \left\| T_n \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} r_1 + x_0, \frac{y}{\|y\|} r_2 + y_0 \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - T_n \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} r_1 + x_0, y_0 \right) \right\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\|y\|}{r_2} \left\| T_n \left(x_0, \frac{y}{\|y\|} r_2 + y_0 \right) - T_n(x_0, y_0) \right\| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{4k_0}{r_1 \cdot r_2} \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

for $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ such that $x \neq 0, y \neq 0$. Hence

$$\|T_n\| = \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} \|T_n(x, y)\| \leq \frac{4k_0}{r_1 r_2} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

□

DEFINITION 7. A subset A of a normed space X is called *linearly dense* in X iff the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a_i; \quad a_i \in A, \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad n \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

is dense in X .

THEOREM 5. Let X, Y, Z be Banach spaces and A_1, A_2 be linearly dense sets in X, Y , respectively. Assume that $T_n : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ is a sequence of bilinear and continuous maps. The sequence $\{T_n(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ iff $\{T_n(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent for all $(x, y) \in A_1 \times A_2$ and the sequence $\{\|T_n\|\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded.

PROOF. If the sequence $\{T_n(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent in $X \times Y$ then it is in $A_1 \times A_2$. Since $\{T_n(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent, the sequence $\{\|T_n(x, y)\|\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded for any $(x, y) \in X \times Y$. Hence, by Theorem 4, the sequence $\{\|T_n\|\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded.

Now we assume that $\{T_n(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent in $A_1 \times A_2$ and $\{\|T_n\|\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded by M . Fix any pair $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ and let a be an element of the set A_1 . Then the map $F_n : Y \rightarrow Z$, given by the formula $F_n(y) := T_n(a, y)$ for $y \in Y$, is linear and continuous in Y . Moreover, the sequence $\{F_n(y)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent for any $y \in A_2$ and $\{\|F_n\|\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_n\| &= \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|F_n(y)\| = \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|T_n(a, y)\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|T_n\| \|a\| \|y\| = M \cdot \|a\|, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by Theorem 16.8 ([3] p.156), we get the convergence of the sequence $\{F_n(y)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ for all $y \in Y$. Hence, in particular, $\{F_n(y_0)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent. Since $a \in A_1$ is arbitrary, the sequence $\{T_n(a, y_0)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent for any $a \in A_1$.

Let us define maps $G_n : X \rightarrow Z$ as follows:

$$G_n(x) := T_n(x, y_0) \quad \text{for } x \in X, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

G_n are linear and continuous maps and the sequence $\{G_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent for any $x \in A_1$. Moreover,

$$\|G_n\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|G_n(x)\| \leq M \cdot \|y_0\|, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Hence, by the same theorem, the sequence $\{G_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent for any $x \in X$, in particular for $x = x_0$. Consequently $\{T_n(x_0, y_0)\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is convergent. \square

THEOREM 6. Let X, Y, Z, A_1, A_2 be just like in the last theorem. If a sequence $T_n : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ of bilinear and continuous maps is convergent in $A_1 \times A_2$ and the sequence $\{\|T_n\|\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is bounded then the function $T : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ given by

$$T(x, y) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in X \times Y$$

is a bilinear as well as continuous map and

$$\| T \| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \| T_n \| .$$

PROOF. Theorem 5 implies the convergence of the sequence $\{T_n(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and hence, the correctness of definition of the map T . Its bilinearity and continuity follow from the Theorem 48.4 ([1] p.139).

Let $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $\| x \| \leq 1, \| y \| \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \| T(x, y) \| &\leq \| T(x, y) - T_n(x, y) \| + \| T_n(x, y) \| \\ &\leq \| T(x, y) - T_n(x, y) \| + M \| x \| \| y \| \\ &\leq \| T(x, y) - T_n(x, y) \| + M \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $M = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \| T_n \|$. By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\| T(x, y) \| \leq M$ for $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, $\| x \| \leq 1, \| y \| \leq 1$. Thus

$$\| T \| = \sup_{\| x \| \leq 1, \| y \| \leq 1} \| T(x, y) \| \leq M = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \| T_n \| .$$

□

LEMMA 1. Let X, Y, Z be real vector spaces, C, D convex cones in X, Y , respectively. Let $f : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ be a biadditive function. Then there exists a biadditive function $\bar{f} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $\bar{f}(x, y) = f(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in C \times D$. If C, D are open then

$$\bar{f}(x, y) := f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1) - f(x_1, y_2) + f(x_2, y_2).$$

where $x = x_1 - x_2$, $y = y_1 - y_2$, $x_1, x_2 \in C, y_1, y_2 \in D$.

PROOF. If C, D are cones then $(C \times D) - (C \times D) = (C - C) \times (D - D)$ is a subspace of $X \times Y$. Let us define a function f_0 on $(C - C) \times (D - D)$ as follows:

$$f_0(x, y) := f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_2, y_1) - f(x_1, y_2) + f(x_2, y_2),$$

where $x = x_1 - x_2$, $y = y_1 - y_2$, $x_1, x_2 \in C, y_1, y_2 \in D$.

At first we shall show that the definition of f_0 is correct. Assume that $x = x_1 - x_2 = z_1 - z_2$ and $y = y_1 - y_2$ where $x_1, x_2, z_1, z_2 \in C$ and $y_1, y_2 \in D$. Then $x_1 + z_2 = z_1 + x_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &[f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, y_2) - f(x_2, y_1) + f(x_2, y_2)] \\ &\quad - [f(z_1, y_1) - f(z_1, y_2) - f(z_2, y_1) + f(z_2, y_2)] \\ &= f(x_1 + z_2, y_1) + f(x_2 + z_1, y_2) - f(x_2 + z_1, y_1) - f(x_1 + z_2, y_2) \\ &= [f(x_1 + z_2, y_1) - f(x_2 + z_1, y_1)] + [f(x_2 + z_1, y_2) - f(x_1 + z_2, y_2)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The case when $x = x_1 - x_2$ and $y = y_1 - y_2 = u_1 - u_2$, ($x_1, x_2 \in C$, $y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2 \in D$) is similar.

We shall check that f_0 is a biadditive map on $(C - C) \times (D - D)$ to Z and $f_0(x, y) = f(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in C \times D$. Indeed, let $x, z \in C - C$ and $y \in D - D$. Then there exist $x_1, x_2, z_1, z_2 \in C$ and $y_1, y_2 \in D$ such that $x = x_1 - x_2$, $y = y_1 - y_2$, $z = z_1 - z_2$. By definition of f_0

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x + z, y) &= f_0((x_1 + z_1) - (x_2 + z_2), y_1 - y_2) \\ &= f(x_1 + z_1, y_1) - f(x_1 + z_1, y_2) \\ &\quad - f(x_2 + z_2, y_1) + f(x_2 + z_2, y_2) \\ &= [f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, y_2) - f(x_2, y_1) + f(x_2, y_2)] \\ &\quad + [f(z_1, y_1) - f(z_1, y_2) - f(z_2, y_1) + f(z_2, y_2)] \\ &= f_0(x, y) + f_0(z, y). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we can prove the additivity of f_0 with respect to the second variable. Finally, we shall check that f_0 is an extension of f . Let $(x, y) \in C \times D$. Then $(x, y) = (2x, 2y) - (x, y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x, y) &= f(2x, 2y) - f(x, 2y) - f(2x, y) + f(x, y) \\ &= f(x, 2y) - [f(2x, y) - f(x, y)] = f(x, 2y) - f(x, y) = f(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Let X_1 be a subspace of X , and Y_1 be a subspace of Y such that $(C - C) \oplus X_1 = X$ and $(D - D) \oplus Y_1 = Y$. So, if $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ then

$$(x, y) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2), \text{ where } x_1 \in C - C, x_2 \in X_1, y_1 \in D - D, y_2 \in Y_2.$$

Let us define a function $\bar{f}: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ as follows:

$$\bar{f}(x, y) = f_0(x_1, y_1).$$

It is easy to check that \bar{f} is properly defined biadditive extension of f . \square

REMARK 2. With respect to the above lemma we may assert in Theorem 3 that the biadditive function a is given on $X \times Y$. Similarly in the next theorem.

Now, we shall prove the following theorem, analogue to Theorem 2 from [6].

THEOREM 7. Let X, Y be real separable Banach spaces, C, D be open, convex cones in X, Y , respectively, and let Z be a real Banach space. Assume that $F: C \times D \rightarrow cc(Z)$ is a biadditive s.v. function, $x_0 \in C$, $y_0 \in D$ and

$p \in F(x_0, y_0)$. Then there exists a biadditive selection $f : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ of F such that $f(x_0, y_0) = p$. Moreover, if F is lower semicontinuous, then f is continuous.

PROOF. Since F is compact and convex valued in Z , by the Krein-Milman Theorem ([5])

$$p \in F(x_0, y_0) = \text{cl}[\text{convExt} F(x_0, y_0)].$$

Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an element $p_n \in \text{convExt} F(x_0, y_0)$ such that

$$\|p_n - p\| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Theorem 2 guarantees the existence of biadditive functions $f_n : C \times D \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$f_n(x_0, y_0) = p_n$$

and

$$f_n(x, y) \in \text{convExt} F(x, y) \subseteq F(x, y) \text{ for } (x, y) \in C \times D.$$

The set $C \times D$ is an open cone in $X \times Y$ and the set $(C \times D) - (C \times D)$ is an open subspace of $X \times Y$, whence

$$(C \times D) - (C \times D) = \text{lin}(C \times D) = (C - C) \times (D - D) = X \times Y.$$

By Lemma 1

$$\bar{f}_n(x, y) := f_n(x_1, y_1) - f_n(x_2, y_1) - f_n(x_1, y_2) + f_n(x_2, y_2),$$

where $x = x_1 - x_2$, $y = y_1 - y_2$, $x_1, x_2 \in C$, $y_1, y_2 \in D$, is a biadditive map from $X \times Y$ to Z and $\bar{f}_n(x, y) = f_n(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in C \times D$.

Now, we assume that F is a lower semicontinuous s.v.function. For a fixed $x \in C$ a function $y \rightarrow F(x, y)$ is additive and \mathbb{Q}_+ -homogeneous on D (see Lemma 5.1 in [4]). There exists a constant $M(x) > 0$ such that $\|F(x, y)\| \leq M(x) \|y\|$, where $\|F(x, y)\| = \sup\{\|u\|; u \in F(x, y)\}$ for $y \in D$ (see Theorem 4 in [7]). Then, for each $x \in C$, the set

$$F(x, \Sigma) = \bigcup_{y \in \Sigma} F(x, y),$$

where $\Sigma = \{y \in D; \|y\| \leq 1\}$ is bounded. By Smajdor's theorem from [7] there exists a constant M such that

$$\sup_{y \in \Sigma} \|F(x, y)\| \leq M \|x\| \text{ for } x \in C.$$

Let us take a point $y \in D$ and let $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of rational numbers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \|y\|$ and $\|y\| < r_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\frac{y}{r_n} \in \Sigma$, $\|F(x, \frac{y}{r_n})\| \leq M \|x\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in C$. Hence $\|F(x, y)\| \leq M r_n \|x\|$. Passing to the limit with $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$(7.1) \quad \|F(x, y)\| \leq M \|x\| \|y\| \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in C \times D.$$

Hence and by the relation $f_n(x, y) \in F(x, y)$ we deduce that

$$(7.2) \quad \|f_n(x, y)\| \leq M \|x\| \|y\| \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in C \times D, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For every $x \in X$, the function $\bar{f}_n(x, \cdot) : Y \rightarrow Z$ is additive in Y and bounded in some neighbourhood of any point of D , so by the Mehdi theorem (Theorem 4 in [2]) $\bar{f}_n(x, \cdot)$ is continuous. Similarly, we get continuity of $\bar{f}_n(\cdot, y)$ for any $y \in Y$. Thus \bar{f}_n is a bilinear and continuous map on $X \times Y$.

Now, we shall show that the sequence $\{\|\bar{f}_n\|\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Let us fix $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and $x_1, x_2 \in C, y_1, y_2 \in D$ such that $x = x_1 - x_2, y = y_1 - y_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{f}_n(x, y)\| &= \|f_n(x_1, y_1) - f_n(x_1, y_2) - f_n(x_2, y_1) + f_n(x_2, y_2)\| \\ &\leq \|f_n(x_1, y_1)\| + \|f_n(x_1, y_2)\| \\ &\quad + \|f_n(x_2, y_1)\| + \|f_n(x_2, y_2)\|, \end{aligned}$$

whence and by (7.2) we get

$$\|\bar{f}_n(x, y)\| \leq M(\|x_1\| \|y_1\| + \|x_1\| \|y_2\| + \|x_2\| \|y_1\| + \|x_2\| \|y_2\|).$$

Thus, by Theorem 4 the sequence $\{\|\bar{f}_n\|\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.

Let sets A and B be dense and countable in C and D , respectively. The set

$$S := A \times B = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots\}$$

is dense in $C \times D$ and linearly dense in $X \times Y$. We choose a subsequence $\{\bar{f}_{\lambda_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\{\bar{f}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ convergent to the point (x_1, y_1) . We are able to do it because $\{\bar{f}_n(x_1, y_1)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of elements of the compact set $F(x_1, y_1)$. Next, we choose a subsequence of $\{\bar{f}_{\lambda_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ convergent to (x_2, y_2) , etc. Using the diagonal method we get the subsequence $\{\bar{f}_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{\bar{f}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ convergent on S . The sequence $\{\bar{f}_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent on the linearly dense in $X \times Y$ set S and the sequence $\{\|\bar{f}_{n_k}\|\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, so by Theorem 5 it converges to some bilinear and continuous map $\bar{f} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$. For any $(x, y) \in C \times D$ we have

$$\bar{f}(x, y) \in \text{cl}[\text{convExt} F(x, y)] = F(x, y).$$

Therefore $f := \bar{f}|_{C \times D}$ is a selection of F on the cone $C \times D$.

If $F : C \times D \rightarrow \text{cc}(Z)$ is a biadditive s.v.function, then there exist a biadditive function $a : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ and a biadditive continuous s.v.function $L : C \times D \rightarrow \text{cc}(Y)$ such that

$$F(x, y) = a(x, y) + L(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in C \times D$$

(cf. Theorem 3 and Remark 2). By the first part of the proof there exists a bilinear and continuous function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $f|_{C \times D}$ is a selection of L on the cone $C \times D$ and

$$f(x_0, y_0) = p - a(x_0, y_0).$$

Then the function $f_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ given by

$$f_1(x, y) := a(x, y) + f(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in X \times Y,$$

restricted to $C \times D$, is a biadditive selection of F satisfying the condition

$$f_1(x_0, y_0) = a(x_0, y_0) + f(x_0, y_0) = p.$$

This completes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Kolodziej, *Wybrane rozdziały analizy matematycznej*, PWN, Warszawa 1982.
- [2] M. R. Mehdi, *On convex functions*, J. London Math. Soc. **39** (1964), 321-326.
- [3] J. Musielak, *Wstęp do analizy funkcyjnej*, PWN, Warszawa 1989.
- [4] K. Nikodem, *K-convex and K-concave set valued functions*, Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Łódzkiej, Mat.559, Rozprawy Naukowe **114**, 1989.
- [5] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces*, New York and London, 1966.
- [6] A. Smajdor, *Additive selections of a composition of additive set-valued functions*, (to appear).
- [7] W. Smajdor, *Superadditive set-valued functions and Banach-Steinhaus theorem*, Radovi Mat. **3** (1987), 203-214.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY
 PODCHORĄŻYCH 2
 PL-30-084 KRAKÓW, POLAND