

BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR ONE-PARAMETER SECOND-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

SVATOSLAV STANĚK

Abstract. The paper establishes sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions of a one-parameter differential equation $x'' = f(t, x, x', \lambda)$ satisfying some of the following boundary conditions:

$$\gamma(x) = 0, \quad x'(a) = x'(b) = 0,$$

$$x'(a) = x'(b) = 0, \quad x(c) - x(d) = 0$$

and

$$x'(a) = x'(t_0) = x'(b) = 0.$$

Here γ is a functional. The application is given for a class of one-parameter functional boundary value problems.

1. Introduction

Consider the differential equation

$$(1) \quad x'' = f(t, x, x', \lambda)$$

depending on the parameter λ . Here $f \in C^0(\langle a, b \rangle \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \langle A, B \rangle)$, $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, $-\infty < A < B < \infty$.

Let X be the Banach space of C^0 -functions on $\langle a, b \rangle$ with the norm $\|x\| = \max\{|x(t)|; a \leq t \leq b\}$. Let $\gamma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous increasing (i.e. $x, y \in X$, $x(t) < y(t)$ for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle \Rightarrow \gamma(x) < \gamma(y)$) functional, $\gamma(0) = 0$.

Let $a \leq c < d \leq b$, $a < t_0 < b$. Consider the functional boundary condition

$$(2) \quad \gamma(x) = 0, \quad x'(a) = x'(b) = 0$$

Received January 13, 1993.

AMS (1991) subject classification: 34B10, 34B15.

and the boundary conditions

$$(3) \quad x'(a) = x'(b) = 0, \quad x(c) - x(d) = 0,$$

$$(4) \quad x'(a) = x'(t_0) = x'(b) = 0.$$

The considered problem is to determine sufficient conditions on f guaranteeing that it is possible to choose the parameter λ such that the boundary value problem (BVP for short) (1), (2) or (1), (3) or (1), (4) has a solution. The uniqueness of solutions of BVP (1), (2) is discussed too. We observe that BVPs (1), (3) and (1), (4) are at a resonance. The proofs of results make use of the coincidence degree theory (see Theorem 2.2. [8]) and the maximum principle arguments. An application of our result for BVP (1), (2) with $\gamma(x) = x(a)$ is given for the functional differential equation

$$x'' = F(t, x, x_t, x', x_t', \lambda)$$

depending on the parameter λ .

Sufficient conditions for the two-parameter differential equation $x'' + (q(t, \lambda, \mu) + r(t))x = 0$ having a nontrivial solution $x(t)$ satisfying $x(a) = x(t_0) = x(b) = 0$ are stated in [1] and [2]. Using a surjective mapping in \mathbb{R}^n , BVP (1), $x'(0) = A$, $x(1) = B$, $x(2) = C$ was studied in [6]. Some boundary value problems for differential and functional differential equations depending on the parameter were considered in [3]–[5] using the Schauder linearization technique and the Schauder fixed point theorem. We observe that the boundary value problem $x'' - q(t)x = h(t, x_t, \lambda)$, $x(t_1) = x(t_2) = x(t_3) = 0$ ($-\infty < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \infty$), was studied in [7].

2. Lemmas

The function $x \in C^2(\langle a, b \rangle)$ is said to be a solution of BVP (1), (2) or (1), (3) or (1), (4) if there exists a $\lambda_0 \in \langle A, B \rangle$ such that x is a solution of (1) for $\lambda = \lambda_0$ satisfying (2) or (3) or (4), respectively.

In the following we shall assume there exist constants K, L , $K < L$, such that f satisfies the following assumptions:

(H₁) $f(t, K, 0, B) < 0 < f(t, L, 0, A)$ for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$;

(H₂) $|f(t, x, y, \lambda)| \leq p(|y|)$ for $(t, x, y, \lambda) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle K, L \rangle \times \mathbb{R} \times \langle A, B \rangle$, where $p : \langle 0, \infty \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ is a nondecreasing function such that

$$\int_a^T \frac{s ds}{p(s)} > L - K$$

with a positive constant T ;

(H₃) $f(t, \cdot, y, \lambda)$ is increasing on $\langle K, L \rangle$ for each fixed $(t, y, \lambda) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle -T, T \rangle \times \langle A, B \rangle$;

(H₄) $f(t, x, y, \cdot)$ is increasing on $\langle A, B \rangle$ for each fixed $(t, x, y) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle K, L \rangle \times \langle -T, T \rangle$.

LEMMA 1. Let assumptions (H₁)–(H₄) be fulfilled with constants $K < L$ and let $\mu \in \langle A, B \rangle$. Then equation (1) for $\lambda = \mu$ has a unique solution $x(t)$ satisfying

$$(5) \quad x'(a) = x'(b) = 0$$

and

$$(6) \quad K < x(t) < L \quad \text{for } t \in \langle a, b \rangle, \quad \|x'\| < T.$$

PROOF. With respect to assumptions (H₁)–(H₄) the existence of a solution $x(t)$ of (1) for $\lambda = \mu$ satisfying (5) and (6) follows from Theorem 2.2 [8] and its proof. This theorem is proved using the results of coincidence degree theory. To show the uniqueness we assume on the contrary that x_1 and x_2 are two different solutions of (1) for $\lambda = \mu$ satisfying (5) and (6) with $x = x_j$ ($j = 1, 2$). Set $w = x_1 - x_2$ and let $w(a) \geq 0$. If w has a negative local minimum at a point $t_1 \in (a, b)$, then $w(t_1) < 0$, $w'(t_1) = 0$, $w''(t_1) \geq 0$ which contradicts (cf. (H₃)) $w''(t_1) = f(t_1, x_1(t_1), x_1'(t_1), \mu) - f(t_1, x_2(t_1), x_2'(t_1), \mu) < 0$. We can similarly check that w has not a positive local maximum at an inner point of $\langle a, b \rangle$. If $w(a) > 0$, then $w''(a) = f(a, x_1(a), 0, \mu) - f(a, x_2(a), 0, \mu) > 0$, hence $w(t) > 0$, $w'(t) > 0$ on an interval (a, t_2) ($\subset (a, b)$) while $w'(t_2) = 0$, and consequently $w''(t_2) \leq 0$ which contradicts $w''(t_2) = f(t_2, x_1(t_2), x_1'(t_2), \mu) - f(t_2, x_2(t_2), x_2'(t_2), \mu) > 0$. Let $w(a) = 0$. Since w is either nondecreasing or nonincreasing on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and $w(b) \neq 0$ we may without loss of generality assume w is nondecreasing on $\langle a, b \rangle$, $w(b) > 0$. Then $w''(b) = f(b, x_1(b), 0, \mu) - f(b, x_2(b), 0, \mu) > 0$ which is impossible. Hence the lemma is proved. \square

REMARK 1. Let assumptions (H₁)–(H₄) be fulfilled with constants $K < L$. For each $\lambda \in \langle A, B \rangle$ we will denote by $x(t, \lambda)$ the unique solution of (1) satisfying (5) and (6). The existence and uniqueness of this solution is ensured by Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. Let assumptions (H₁)–(H₄) be fulfilled with constants $K < L$ and let $A \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq B$. Then

$$(L >) \quad x(t, \lambda_1) > x(t, \lambda_2) (> K) \quad \text{for } t \in \langle a, b \rangle.$$

PROOF. Set $u_j(t) = x(t, \lambda_j)$ for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$, $j = 1, 2$, and let $w = u_1 - u_2$.

(i) Let $w(a) \leq 0$. Then $w''(a) = f(a, u_1(a), 0, \lambda_1) - f(a, u_2(a), 0, \lambda_2) < 0$, hence $w(t) < 0$, $w'(t) < 0$ for $t \in (a, \xi)$, $w'(\xi) = 0$ with a $\xi \in (a, b)$. Consequently $w''(\xi) \geq 0$ which contradicts $w''(\xi) = f(\xi, u_1(\xi), u_1'(\xi), \lambda_1) - f(\xi, u_2(\xi), u_1'(\xi), \lambda_2) < 0$.

(ii) Let $w(t) > 0$ for $t \in \langle a, \nu \rangle$, $w(\nu) = 0$ with a $\nu \in (a, b)$. If $w'(\nu) = 0$, then $w''(\nu) = f(\nu, u_1(\nu), u_1'(\nu), \lambda_1) - f(\nu, u_1(\nu), u_1'(\nu), \lambda_2) < 0$, a contradiction. If $w'(\nu) < 0$, then $w(t) < 0$, $w'(t) < 0$ on an interval $(\nu, \tau) \subset (\nu, b)$ while $w'(\tau) = 0$, which (see the case (i)) is impossible. Consequently $w(t) > 0$ on $\langle a, b \rangle$. \square

LEMMA 3. Let assumptions (H_1) - (H_4) be satisfied with constants $K < L$. If $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \langle A, B \rangle$ is a convergent sequence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \mu$, then

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{(i)}(t, \lambda_n) = x^{(i)}(t, \mu), \quad i = 0, 1,$$

uniformly on $\langle a, b \rangle$.

PROOF. Let $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \langle A, B \rangle$ be a convergent sequence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \mu$. Since $\|x(t, \lambda_n)\| < \max\{|K|, |L|\} (= V)$, $\|x'(t, \lambda_n)\| < T$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (by Lemma 1), we have $\|x''(t, \lambda_n)\| \leq S$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $S = \max\{|f(t, x, y, \lambda)|; (t, x, y, \lambda) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle -V, V \rangle \times \langle -T, T \rangle \times \langle A, B \rangle\}$. Let $\{\bar{\lambda}_n\}$ be a subsequence of $\{\lambda_n\}$. Using the Arzela-Ascoli theorem we can select a subsequence $\{x(t, \bar{\lambda}_{k_n})\}$ of $\{x(t, \bar{\lambda}_n)\}$ such that $\{x^{(i)}(t, \bar{\lambda}_{k_n})\}$ uniformly convergent on $\langle a, b \rangle$ for $i = 0, 1$. Setting $u(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(t, \bar{\lambda}_{k_n})$ for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$, then $u'(a) = u'(b) = 0$, and taking the limit in the equalities

$$x'(t, \bar{\lambda}_{k_n}) = \int_a^t f(s, x(s, \bar{\lambda}_{k_n}), x'(s, \bar{\lambda}_{k_n}), \bar{\lambda}_{k_n}) ds, \quad t \in \langle a, b \rangle, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$u'(t) = \int_a^t f(s, u(s), u'(s), \mu) ds, \quad t \in \langle a, b \rangle.$$

Therefore u is a solution of (1) for $\lambda = \mu$ satisfying (5) and (6) with $x = u$, and consequently $u(t) = x(t, \mu)$ by Lemma 1. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{(i)}(t, \lambda_n) = x^{(i)}(t, \mu)$ uniformly on $\langle a, b \rangle$, $i = 0, 1$. \square

LEMMA 4. Let assumptions (H_1) - (H_4) be fulfilled with constants $K < 0 < L$. If assumption

(H_5) $f(t, 0, 0, A) \cdot f(t, 0, 0, B) < 0$ for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$ is satisfied, then

$$(8) \quad x(t, B) < 0 < x(t, A) \quad \text{for } t \in \langle a, b \rangle.$$

PROOF. Let (H_5) be satisfied and set $u(t) = x(t, A)$, $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$. If $u(a) \leq 0$, then $u''(a) = f(a, u(a), 0, A) \leq f(a, 0, 0, A) < 0$ hence $u(t) < 0$, $u'(t) < 0$ on an interval $(a, \varepsilon) \subset (a, b)$ while $u'(\varepsilon) = 0$ which contradicts $u''(\varepsilon) = f(\varepsilon, u(\varepsilon), 0, A) < f(\varepsilon, 0, 0, A) < 0$, and consequently $u(a) > 0$. If there exists a $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that $u(t) > 0$ on $\langle a, \xi \rangle$ while $u(\xi) = 0$, then $u'(\xi) < 0$ since in the case of $u'(\xi) = 0$ we have $u''(\xi) = f(\xi, 0, 0, A) < 0$, a contradiction. Thus $u(t) < 0$ on an interval $(\xi, \nu) \subset (\xi, b)$ while $u'(\nu) = 0$. This is impossible because of $u''(\nu) = f(\nu, u(\nu), 0, A) < f(\nu, 0, 0, A) < 0$. Therefore $u(t) > 0$ on $\langle a, b \rangle$. The proof of $x(t, B) < 0$ on $\langle a, b \rangle$ is evidently analogous to the proof of $x(t, A) > 0$ on $\langle a, b \rangle$ and therefore it is omitted. \square

LEMMA 5. Let assumptions (H_1) - (H_5) be fulfilled with constants $K < 0 < L$. Assume moreover that the following assumptions are satisfied:

(H_6) If $f(t_1, a_1, 0, A) \geq 0$ for some $t_1 \in \langle a, b \rangle$ and $0 < a_1 < L$, then $f(t, a_1, y, A) \geq 0$ for all $(t, y) \in \langle t_1, b \rangle \times \langle 0, T \rangle$;

(H_7) If $f(t_1, b_1, 0, B) \leq 0$ for some $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$ and $K < b_1 < 0$, then $f(t, b_1, y, B) \leq 0$ for all $(t, y) \in \langle t_1, b \rangle \times \langle -T, 0 \rangle$.

Then

$$x'(t, A) \leq 0, \quad x'(t, B) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \langle a, b \rangle.$$

PROOF. Let assumptions $(H_1) = (H_7)$ be satisfied. Set $u_1(t) = x(t, A)$, $u_2(t) = x(t, B)$ for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$ and $c_j = \min\{u_j(t); a \leq t \leq b\}$, $d_j = \max\{u_j(t); a \leq t \leq b\}$, $j = 1, 2$. Then $K < c_2 \leq d_2 < 0 < c_1 \leq d_1 < L$ by Lemma 4. Assume $c_1 < d_1$ and $u'_1(t_1) > 0$ for a $t_1 \in (a, b)$. Then there exists a $\tau \in \langle a, t_1 \rangle$ such that $u_1(\tau) \geq c_1 > 0$, $u'_1(\tau) = 0$, $u'_1(t) > 0$ on $\langle \tau, t_1 \rangle$ and $u''_1(\tau) \geq 0$. Hence $(u''_1(\tau) =) f(\tau, u_1(\tau), 0, A) \geq 0$ and $f(t, u_1(\tau), y, A) \geq 0$ for $(t, y) \in \langle \tau, b \rangle \times \langle 0, T \rangle$ by (H_6) . Consequently $u''_1(t) \geq 0$ on $\langle \tau, b \rangle$ and $u'_1(t) \geq u'_1(t_1) > 0$ for $t \in \langle t_1, b \rangle$ which contradicts $u'_1(b) = 0$. This proves $u'_1(t) \leq 0$ on $\langle a, b \rangle$. Using assumption (H_7) we can verify $u'_2(t_1) < 0$ for a $t_1 \in (a, b)$ is impossible and therefore $u'_2(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$. \square

3. Existence and uniqueness theorems

THEOREM 1. *Let assumptions (H_1) - (H_5) be fulfilled with constants $K < 0 < L$. Then there exists a unique solution $x(t)$ of BVP (1), (2) satisfying (6).*

PROOF. Let $x(t, \lambda)$ be a unique solution of (1) satisfying (6) and $x'(a, \lambda) = x'(b, \lambda) = 0$ (see Lemma 1) and set $h(\lambda) = \gamma(x(t, \lambda))$ for $\lambda \in \langle A, B \rangle$. Then h is continuous (by Lemma 3) decreasing (by Lemma 2) on $\langle A, B \rangle$ and $h(A) > 0 > h(B)$ (by Lemma 4). Therefore there exists a unique $\mu \in \langle A, B \rangle$ such that $h(\mu) = 0$. Setting $x(t) = x(t, \mu)$ for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$, then x is a unique solution of BVP (1), (2) satisfying (6). \square

THEOREM 2. *Let assumptions (H_1) - (H_7) be fulfilled with constants $K < 0 < L$. Then there exists a solution of BVP (1), (3) satisfying (6).*

PROOF. Let $x(t, \lambda)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1 and set $k(\lambda) = x(c, \lambda) - x(d, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \langle A, B \rangle$. Then k is continuous on $\langle A, B \rangle$ (by Lemma 3) and $k(A) \geq 0$, $k(B) \leq 0$ (by Lemma 5). Therefore there exists a $\mu \in \langle A, B \rangle$ such that $k(\mu) = 0$. Setting $x(t) = x(t, \mu)$, then $x(t)$ is a solution of BVP (1), (3) satisfying (6). \square

THEOREM 3. *Let assumptions (H_1) - (H_7) be fulfilled with constants $K < 0 < L$. Then there exists a solutions $x(t)$ of BVP (1), (4) satisfying (6).*

PROOF. Let $x(t, \lambda)$ be as in proof of Theorem 1 and set $r(\lambda) = x'(t_0, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \langle A, B \rangle$. Then r is continuous on $\langle A, B \rangle$ (by Lemma 3) and $r(A) \leq 0$, $r(B) \geq 0$ (by Lemma 5). Therefore there exists a $\mu \in \langle A, B \rangle$ such that $r(\mu) = 0$. Setting $x(t) = x(t, \mu)$, then $x(t)$ is a solution of BVP (1), (4) satisfying (6). \square

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the differential equation

$$(9) \quad x'' = x^3 + \exp(tx - 2) + (x/2)|x'| + (2 - t)\lambda, \quad t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle.$$

Assumptions (H_1) - (H_7) are fulfilled with the constants $K = -2$, $L = 2$, $A = -4$, $B = 3$ and $T = 21$. Consequently there exists a unique solution $x(t)$ of (9) such that

$$(10) \quad \gamma(x) = 0, \quad x'(0) = x'(1) = 0, \quad \|x\| < 2, \quad \|x'\| < 21$$

(that is, there exists a unique $\mu \in \langle -4, 3 \rangle$ such that (9) for $\lambda = \mu$ has a solution $x(t)$ satisfying (10) and, moreover, this solution is unique). For

example we can set $\gamma(x) = x(t_1)$ ($0 < t_1 < 1$) or $\gamma(x) = \max\{x(t); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ or $\gamma(x) = \min\{x(t); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ or $\gamma(x) = \int_0^1 (x(s))^{2n+1} ds$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Next, there exist solutions $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ of BVPs (1), (3) and (1), (4), respectively, $\|x_j\| < 2$, $\|x'_j\| < 21$ ($j = 1, 2$).

4. An application

Let $h > 0$ be given. Let \mathbf{C} be the Banach space of C^0 -functions on $\langle a-h, a \rangle$ with the norm $\|x\|_0 = \max\{|x(t)|; a-h \leq t \leq a\}$, \mathbf{D} be the Banach space of C^1 -functions on $\langle a-h, a \rangle$ with the norm $\|x\|_1 = \|x\|_0 + \|x'\|_0$ and let $\mathbf{D}_0 = \{x; x \in \mathbf{D}, x(a) = x'(a) = 0\}$. For each $U, V, H \in \mathbb{R}$, $U < V$, $H > 0$ we define sets $\mathbf{C}_{U,V}$ and \mathbf{C}_H by $\mathbf{C}_{U,V} = \{x; x \in \mathbf{C}, U \leq x(t) \leq V \text{ for } t \in \langle a-h, a \rangle\}$ and $\mathbf{C}_H = \{x; x \in \mathbf{C}, \|x\|_0 \leq H\}$.

For any continuous function $x : \langle a-h, b \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and each $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$, we denote by x_t the element of \mathbf{C} defined by

$$x_t(s) = x(t + s - a), \quad s \in \langle a-h, a \rangle.$$

Consider the functional differential equation

$$(11) \quad x'' = F(t, x, x_t, x', x'_t, \lambda)$$

depending on the parameter λ . Here $F : \langle a, b \rangle \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{C} \times \langle A, B \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous locally bounded operator.

Consider boundary condition (2) with $\gamma(x) = x(a)$ for $x \in X$, that is, the boundary condition

$$(12) \quad x(a) = x'(a) = x'(b) = 0.$$

We say that x is a solution of BVP (11), (12) with an initial value $\varphi \in \mathbf{D}_0$ at the point $t = a$ if:

- a) $x \in C^1(\langle a-h, b \rangle)$ and x'' is continuous on $\langle a, b \rangle$,
- b) there exists a $\lambda_0 \in \langle A, B \rangle$ such that x is a solution of (11) for $\lambda = \lambda_0$,
- c) x satisfies boundary condition (12),
- d) $x_a = \varphi$.

THEOREM 4. Assume there exist constants $T > 0$, $K < 0$, $L > 0$ such that F satisfies the following assumptions:

(S₁) $F(t, K, u, 0, v, B) < 0 < F(t, L, u, 0, v, A)$ for $(t, u, v) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \mathbf{C}_{K,L} \times$

\mathbf{C}_T ,

(S₂) $|F(t, x, u, y, v, \lambda)| \leq r(|y|)$ for $(t, x, u, y, v, \lambda) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle K, L \rangle \times \mathbf{C}_{K,L} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{C}_T \times \langle A, B \rangle$, where $r : \langle 0, \infty \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ is a nondecreasing function such that

$$\int_0^T \frac{s ds}{r(s)} > L - K,$$

(S₃) $F(t, \cdot, u, y, v, \lambda)$ is increasing on $\langle K, L \rangle$ for each fixed $(t, u, y, v, \lambda) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \mathbf{C}_{K,L} \times \langle -T, T \rangle \times \mathbf{C}_T \times \langle A, B \rangle$,

(S₄) $F(t, x, u, y, v, \cdot)$ is increasing on $\langle A, B \rangle$ for each fixed $(t, x, u, y, v) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle K, L \rangle \times \mathbf{C}_{K,L} \times \langle -T, T \rangle \times \mathbf{C}_T$,

(S₅) $F(t, 0, u, 0, v, A) \cdot F(t, 0, u, 0, v, B) < 0$ for $(t, u, v) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \mathbf{C}_{K,L} \times \mathbf{C}_T$.

Let $\varphi \in D_0 \cap \mathbf{C}_{K,L}$, $\varphi' \in \mathbf{C}_T$. Then BVP (11), (12) with the initial value φ at the point $t = a$ has a solution x satisfying (6).

PROOF. Let \mathbf{Y} be the Banach space of C^1 -functions on $\langle a - h, b \rangle$ with the norm $\|x\|_{\mathbf{Y}} = \max\{|x^{(i)}(t)|; t \in \langle a - h, b \rangle, i = 0, 1\}$. Let $\varphi \in D_0 \cap \mathbf{C}_{K,L}$, $\varphi' \in \mathbf{C}_T$. Set $\mathcal{K}_\varphi = \{x; x \in \mathbf{Y}, x_a = \varphi, K \leq x(t) \leq L, |x'(t)| \leq T \text{ for } t \in \langle a, b \rangle\}$. \mathcal{K}_φ is a convex closed bounded subset of \mathbf{Y} . Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_\varphi$. Then the function $f : \langle a, b \rangle \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \langle A, B \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(t, x, y, \lambda) = F(t, x, \alpha_t, y, \alpha'_t, \lambda)$ is continuous and satisfies assumptions (H₁)-(H₅). By Theorem 1 there exists a unique solution x_α of BVP (1), (12), $K < x_\alpha(t) < L$, $|x'_\alpha(t)| < T$ on $\langle a, b \rangle$. If we define $\tilde{x}_\alpha : \langle a - h, b \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{x}_{\alpha,a} = \varphi, \quad \tilde{x}_\alpha(t) = x_\alpha(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \langle a, b \rangle,$$

then $\tilde{x}_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_\varphi$. Setting $\mathbf{V}(\alpha) = \tilde{x}_\alpha$ we obtain an operator $\mathbf{V} : \mathcal{K}_\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_\varphi$ and to prove of our theorem it is sufficient to show that \mathbf{V} has a fixed point. Let $\{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}_\varphi$ be a convergent sequence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Set $\mathbf{V}(x_n) = z_n$, $\mathbf{V}(x) = z$. Then a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \langle A, B \rangle$ and a $\lambda_0 \in \langle A, B \rangle$ exist such that

$$z_n''(t) = F(t, z_n(t), x_{n,t}, z'_n(t), x'_{n,t}, \lambda_n),$$

$$z''(t) = F(t, z(t), x_t, z'(t), x'_t, \lambda_0)$$

for $t \in \langle a, b \rangle$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$z_n(a) = z'_n(a) = z'_n(b) = 0, \quad z(a) = z'(a) = z'(b) = 0,$$

$$z_{n,a} = \varphi, \quad z_a = \varphi$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, we have

$$K < z_n(t) < L, \quad |z'_n(t)| < T, \quad |z''_n(t)| \leq M \quad \text{rm for } t \in \langle a, b \rangle, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $M := \sup\{|F(t, x, u, y, v, \lambda)|; (t, x, u, y, v, \lambda) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \langle K, L \rangle \times \mathbf{C}_{K,L} \times \langle -T, T \rangle \times \mathbf{C}_T \times \langle A, B \rangle\}$ ($< \infty$).

Let $\{\tilde{z}_n\}$ be a subsequence of $\{z_n\}$ and let $\{\tilde{\lambda}_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{x}_n\}$ be the corresponding subsequences of $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$, respectively. Going if necessary to a subsequence (cf. the Ascoli–Arzela theorem), we can assume that $\{\tilde{z}_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{\lambda}_n\}$ are convergent and let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{z}_n = w$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\lambda}_n = \mu_0$. Thus, taking the limit in the equalities

$$\tilde{z}_n(t) = \int_a^t \int_a^\beta F(s, \tilde{z}_n(s), \tilde{x}_{n,s}, \tilde{z}'_n(s), \tilde{x}'_{n,s}, \tilde{\lambda}_n) ds d\beta, \quad t \in \langle a, b \rangle, \quad n \in \mathbf{N},$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$w(t) = \int_a^t \int_a^\beta F(s, w(s), x_s, w'(s), x'_s, \mu_0) ds d\beta, \quad t \in \langle a, b \rangle,$$

and consequently w is a solution (on $\langle a, b \rangle$) of the equation $u'' = g(t, u, u', \lambda)$ for $\lambda = \mu_0$ satisfying (12) with $x = w$. Here $g(t, u, v, \lambda) = F(t, u, x_t, v, x'_t, \lambda)$ for $(t, u, v, \lambda) \in \langle a, b \rangle \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \langle a, b \rangle$. By Theorem 1 a unique solution of the above BVP exists and therefore $w = z$ and $\mu_0 = \lambda_0$. This proves that $\{z_n\}$ is convergent and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z$, that is, \mathbf{V} is a continuous operator.

Since $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{K}_\varphi) \subset \{x; x \in \mathcal{K}_\varphi, x'' \text{ is continuous on } \langle a, b \rangle, |x''(t)| \leq M \text{ for } t \in \langle a, b \rangle\} =: \mathcal{L}$ and \mathcal{L} is a compact subset of \mathbf{Y} , $\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{K}_\varphi)$ is relative compact in \mathbf{Y} . Therefore by the Schauder fixed point theorem there exists a fixed point of \mathbf{V} in \mathcal{K}_φ .

EXAMPLE 2. Consider the functional differential equation

$$x''(t) = x^3(t)(e^t + |x(t-1)x'(t)|) + \frac{(\sin t)^2}{1 + |x'(t-1/2)|} + (1 + (|x(t - \frac{t}{2})|/2)^{\frac{1}{2}})\lambda, \quad t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle.$$

We see that (13) is of the form (11) with $F(t, x, u, y, v, \lambda) = x^3(e^t + |u(-1)y|) + \frac{(\sin t)^2}{1 + |v(-1/2)|} + (1 + (|u(-t/2)|/2)^{\frac{1}{2}})\lambda$ and $h = 1$.

Assumptions (S_1) – (S_5) are satisfied for $a = 0$, $b = 1$, $K = -2$, $L = 2$, $A = -2$, $B = 2$ and $T = 64$. Thus for each $\varphi \in \mathbf{D}_0 \cap \mathbf{C}_{-2,2}$, $\varphi' \in \mathbf{C}_{64}$ there exists a solution x of BVP (12), (13) with the initial value φ at the point $t = 0$ satisfying

$$|x(t)| < 2, \quad |x'(t)| < 64 \quad \text{for } t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. Arscott, *Two-parameter eigenvalue problems in differential equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **14** 1964 459-470.
- [2] M. Greguš, F. Neuman and F. M. Arscott, *Three-point boundary value problems in differential equations*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **3** 1971 429-436.
- [3] S. Staněk, *Three point boundary value problem for nonlinear second-order differential equations with parameter*, Czech. Math. J. **42** (117) 1992 241-256.
- [4] S. Staněk, *Multi-point boundary value problem for a class of functional differential equations with parameter*, Math. Slovaca, No. 1, **42** (1992) 85-96.
- [5] S. Staněk, *On a class of five-point boundary value problems in second-order functional differential equations with parameter*, Acta Math. Hungar. (to appear).
- [6] S. Staněk, *On certain three-point regular boundary value problems for nonlinear second-order differential equations depending on the parameter*, (1992), submitted for publication.
- [7] S. Staněk, *Three-point boundary value problem of retarded functional differential equation of the second order with parameter*, Acta UPO, Fac. rer. nat. **97** Math. XXIX, 1990, 107-121.
- [8] H. B. Thompson, *Existence for a two point boundary value problem arising in electrodiffusion*, Acta Math. Sinica **4**, **8** (1988) 373-387.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, PALACKÝ UNIVERSITY
TOMKOVA 38, 772 00 OLMOUC
THE CZECH REPUBLIC