

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF MARKOV OPERATORS CORRESPONDING TO THE DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS WITH MULTIPLICATIVE PERTURBATIONS

KATARZYNA OCZKOWICZ

Abstract. We consider discrete time dynamical systems with multiplicative perturbations. We give a sufficient condition for the asymptotic stability of Markov operators on measures generated by dynamical systems with multiplicative perturbations.

Introduction. In this paper we consider a stochastically perturbed discrete time dynamical system of the form $x_{n+1} = S(x_n)\xi_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where S is a given Borel measurable transformation, and ξ_n are random variables. The trajectories of our system are sequences of random variables x_n with values in \mathbb{R}^d . Systems of this type has been examined recently by K. Horbacz ([1], [2]). She considered the case when ξ_n are continuously distributed with a common density g . In this case x_n are also continuously distributed. K. Horbacz gave a sufficient condition for the convergence of the densities of x_n to a unique stationary density.

We study the same problem without assumption that the common distribution of ξ_n is continuous. In our case x_n are in general random vectors without density. Our aim is to find sufficient conditions for the weak convergence of the distributions of x_n to a stationary measure. The Proof of the main result is based on a theorem of A. Lasota and J.A. Yorke [5] concerning Markov operator on measures.

Received November 20, 1993.

AMS (1991) subject classification: Primary 58F10. Secondary 47D07.

This research was supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research Grant No. 2 P301 026 05 .

Our paper is divided into two sections. Section 1 contains some notations and definitions. The main result is formulated in Section 2.

1. Formulation of the problem. Consider a stochastically perturbed discrete time dynamical system of the form

$$(1.0) \quad x_{n+1} = S(x_n)\xi_n \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where S is a Borel measurable transformation of \mathbb{R}^d into itself, and ξ_n are independent random variables with values in \mathbb{R}_+ .

We assume the following conditions:

(i) The random variables ξ_0, ξ_1, \dots are independent and have the same nontrivial distributions G i.e. G is not concentrated on a single point.

(ii) S is a function which satisfies the Lipschitz condition:

$$|S(x) - S(z)| \leq L|x - z| \quad \text{for } x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where the symbol $|\cdot|$ denotes a norm in \mathbb{R}^d .

(iii) There is $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$L^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy) < 1.$$

(iv) The vector x_0 , and variables ξ_i are independent for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

According to (1.0) the random vector x_n is function of x_0 and $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$. From this and from condition (iv) it follows that x_n and ξ_n are independent. Using this fact we will derive a recurrence formula for the measures

$$(1.1) \quad \mu_n(A) = \text{Prob}(x_n \in A), \quad A \in B(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let consider now a bounded Borel measurable function $h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The expectation $E(z_{n+1})$ of the random vector $z_{n+1} = h(x_{n+1})$, (where $n \geq 0$) is given by

$$(1.2) \quad E(z_{n+1}) = E(h(x_{n+1})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \mu_{n+1}(dx).$$

Since $z_{n+1} = h(S(x_n)\xi_n)$ this implies

$$(1.3) \quad E(z_{n+1}) = E(h(S(x_n)\xi_n)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} h(S(x)y) G(dy) \right] \mu_n(dx).$$

Comparing (1.2) and (1.3) and setting $h = 1_A$ we obtain:

$$\mu_{n+1}(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} 1_A(S(x)y)G(dy) \right) \mu_n(dx) \quad \text{or} \quad \mu_{n+1}(A) = P\mu_n(A),$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad P\mu(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_A(S(x)y)\mu(dx)G(dy).$$

The operator P given by formula (1.4) maps the space M_1 , of all probabilistic measures on \mathbb{R}^d into itself and is called the *Markov operator corresponding to the dynamical system* (1.0).

The equation (1.4) can be rewritten in the form

$$(1.5) \quad P\mu(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U1_A\mu(dx)$$

where $U : C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the operator adjoint to the Markov operator P . By $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is denoted the space of all real valued continuous functions with compact support, and by $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of all continuous functions.

The operator U satisfies the following equation:

$$(1.6) \quad Uf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(S(x)y)G(dy).$$

Let us define a sequence of functions $T^n(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ by setting:

$$T(x, y) = S(x)y, \quad T^n(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) = T(T^{n-1}(x, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}), y_n).$$

Using this notation we obtain

$$(1.7) \quad U^n f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(T^n(x, y_1, \dots, y_n))G(dy_1) \dots G(dy_n)$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad P^n \mu(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U^n 1_A \mu(dx).$$

We introduce the class Φ of functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying the following conditions:

- 1° ϕ is continuous and $\phi(0) = 0$;
- 2° ϕ is nondecreasing and concave, i.e.

$$\phi\left(\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\phi(t_1) + \phi(t_2)) \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+;$$

- 3° $\phi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and $\phi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

We define the metric ϱ_ϕ in \mathbb{R}^d by the formula:

$$\varrho_\phi(x, y) = \phi(\varrho(x, y)) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where ϱ is Euclidean metric and in the space M_1 we define the distance between measures by:

$$(1.9) \quad \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_\phi = \sup_{F_\phi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mu_1(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \mu_2(dx) \right|,$$

where F_ϕ is the set of functions such that $|f| \leq 1$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varrho_\phi(x, y) = \phi(\varrho(x, y))$.

The space M_1 with the distance $\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_\phi$ is a complete metric space and

$$(1.10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mu_n - \mu\|_\phi = 0 \quad \text{for } \mu_n, \mu \in M_1$$

holds if and only if the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is weakly convergent to μ . The sequence of measures $\{\mu_n\}$ is convergent to μ in $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ if and only if $\{\mu_n\}$ is convergent to μ in $\|\cdot\|_{\text{id}}$, where $\text{id}(x) = x$. Indeed, the identity function id belongs to the set Φ and the metrics ϱ_{id} and ϱ_ϕ define the same topology. From now, $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{\text{id}}$.

2. Asymptotic stability. Let P be a Markov operator; a measure $\mu \in M_1$ is called stationary or invariant if $P\mu = \mu$. A Markov operator is called *asymptotically stable* if there exists a stationary distribution μ_* such that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|P^n \mu - \mu_*\| = 0 \quad \text{for } \mu \in M_1.$$

From now we consider \mathbb{R}^d with metric ϱ_ϕ .

We introduce the following definitions:

A Markov operator P is called *nonexpansive* if

$$\|P\mu_1 - P\mu_2\|_\phi \leq \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_\phi \quad \text{for } \mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_1.$$

A Markov operator $P : M_1 \rightarrow M_1$, satisfies the *Prochorov condition* if there exists a compact set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and a number $\beta > 0$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P^n \mu(Y) \geq \beta \quad \text{for } \mu \in M_1.$$

From [5] it follows that, if P satisfies the Prochorov condition and P is nonexpansive then the Markov operator P has an invariant measure μ_* .

We can use the following theorem [5]:

THEOREM. *Let P be a nonexpansive Markov operator. Assume that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a number $\lambda > 0$ having the following property: for every $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_1$ there exists a Borel set A with $\text{diam } A < \varepsilon$ and an integer n_0 such that*

$$(2.4) \quad P^{n_0} \mu_i(A) \geq \lambda \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Then P satisfies the following condition

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|P^n(\mu_1 - \mu_2)\| = 0 \quad \text{for } \mu_1, \mu_2 \in M_1.$$

Now we proof the following auxiliary lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Assume that conditions (i), (ii), (iv) hold for equation (1.0). Suppose that the Markov operator P corresponding to the dynamical system (1.0) satisfies Prochorov condition and the following inequality holds:*

$$(2.6) \quad L \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^\alpha G(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \leq 1$$

for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then the Markov operator defined by equation (1.4) is asymptotically stable.

PROOF. First, we prove that the operator P is nonexpansive i.e.

$$\sup_{f \in F_\phi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} Uf(x) \mu_1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} Uf(x) \mu_2 \right| \leq \sup_{f \in F_\phi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(x) \mu_1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(x) \mu_2 \right|$$

for $\phi(t) = |t|^\alpha$. In order to check it we show that if $f \in F_\phi$ than $Uf \in F_\phi$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Uf(x) - Uf(z)| &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (f(yS(x)) - f(yS(z)))G(dy) \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \phi(y|S(x) - S(z)|)G(dy) \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^\alpha |S(x) - S(z)|^\alpha G(dy) \\
 &\leq |S(x) - S(z)|^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^\alpha G(dy) \\
 &\leq L^\alpha |x - z|^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^\alpha G(dy) \\
 &\leq |x - z|^\alpha = \phi(|x - z|).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since P is nonexpansive and P satisfies Prochorov condition, the operator P has an invariant measure μ_* .

Now we show that condition (2.4) holds. Fix an $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists an integer m such that

$$(2.7) \quad \phi(r^m \text{diam}_\rho Y) \leq \varepsilon$$

where $0 < r < 1$, Y - compact set satisfying Prochorov condition. Notice that

$$\text{Prob} \left(\xi_n < \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^\alpha G(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) > 0.$$

Thus there exists

$$(2.8) \quad c < \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^\alpha G(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

such that $\text{Prob} (\xi_n \leq c) > 0$.

Fix $\tilde{y} \in [0, c]$. According to (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T(x, \tilde{y}) - T(z, \tilde{y})| &= |S(x)\tilde{y} - S(z)\tilde{y}| \\
 &= \tilde{y}|S(x) - S(z)| \leq cL|x - z|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conditions (2.8) and (2.6) imply that $cL < 1$. Thus, we can set in (2.7) $r = cL$, ($0 < r < 1$). Observe that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & |T^m(x, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m) - T^m(z, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m)| \\ &= |T(T^{m-1}(x, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_{m-1}), \tilde{y}_m) - T(T^{m-1}(z, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_{m-1}), \tilde{y}_m)| \\ &\leq r |T^{m-1}(x, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_{m-1}) - T^{m-1}(z, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_{m-1})| \leq r^m |x - z|. \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m) \in [0, c]^m$ is fixed. Condition (2.9) implies that

$$(2.10) \quad \text{diam}_\rho(T^m(Y, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m)) \leq r^m \text{diam}_\rho Y.$$

Define

$$(2.11) \quad A = T^m(Y, \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m).$$

Then

$$(2.12) \quad \text{diam}_{\rho_\star}(A) \leq \phi(\text{diam}_\rho A) \leq \phi(r^m \text{diam}_\rho Y) < \varepsilon.$$

According to Prochorov condition there exists $\bar{n} = \bar{n}(\mu_i)$ such that

$$(2.13) \quad P^n \mu_i(Y) \geq \beta \quad \text{for } n \geq \bar{n}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Set $n_0 = \bar{n} + m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P^{n_0} \mu_i(A) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n_0}} \int 1_A(T^{n_0}(x, y_1, \dots, y_{n_0})) \mu_i(dx) G(dy_1) \dots G(dy_{n_0}) \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{\bar{n}} \times [0, c]^m} \int 1_A(T^m(T^{\bar{n}}(x, y_{k_1}, \dots, y_{k_{\bar{n}}}), y_{k_{\bar{n}+1}}, \dots, y_{k_{n_0}})) \mu_i(dx) \\ &\quad \times G(dy_{k_1}) \dots G(dy_{k_{n_0}}). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$T_{(y_1, \dots, y_m)}^{-m}(A) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d : T^m(\omega, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in A\}$$

and notice that condition

$$T^m(T^{\bar{n}}(x, y_{k_1}, \dots, y_{k_{\bar{n}}}), y_{k_{\bar{n}+1}}, \dots, y_{k_{n_0}}) \in A$$

gives

$$T^{\bar{n}}(x, y_{k_1}, \dots, y_{k_{\bar{n}}}) \in T_{(y_{k_{\bar{n}+1}}, \dots, y_{k_{n_0}})}^{-m}(A).$$

This implies:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P^{n_0} \mu_i(A) \\
 & \geq (G[O, c])^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{\bar{n}}} 1_{T_{[0, c]^m}^{-m}(A)}(T^{\bar{n}}(x, y_{k_1}, \dots, y_{k_{\bar{n}}})) \mu_i(dx) \\
 & \quad \times G(dy_{k_1}) \dots G(dy_{k_{\bar{n}}}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & T_{[0, c]^m}^{-m}(A) \\
 & = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ such that there is } (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in [O, c]^m : \\
 & \quad T^m(\omega, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in A\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the set A it follows that:

$$Y \subset T_{[0, c]^m}^{-m}(A).$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P^{n_0} \mu_i(A) \\
 & \geq (G[O, c])^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{\bar{n}}} 1_Y(T^{\bar{n}}(x, y_{k_1}, \dots, y_{k_{\bar{n}}})) \mu_i(dx) G(dy_{k_1}) \dots G(dy_{k_{\bar{n}}}) \\
 & = (G[O, c])^m P^{\bar{n}} \mu_i(Y) \geq (G[O, c])^m \beta > 0, \quad \text{where } m \text{ is fixed.}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda = (G[O, c])^m \beta$, then λ satisfies conditions (2.4). Since P is nonexpansive and satisfies conditions (2.4), operator P is asymptotically stable which completes the proof. \square

A continuous $V : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is called a *Liapunov function* if

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{\rho(x, x_0) \rightarrow +\infty} V(x) = +\infty$$

for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Now we present an auxiliary proposition concerning the Prochorov condition. ([5]).

PROPOSITION 1. *Let P be a Markov operator and let U be a operator dual to P . Assume that there is a Liapunov function V such that*

$$(2.15) \quad UV(x) \leq aV(x) + b \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where a, b are nonnegative constants and $a < 1$. Then P satisfies the Prochorov condition.

From Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 we have the following.

THEOREM 1. *If conditions (i)-(iv) hold for equation (1.0), then the operator P given by equation (1.4) is asymptotically stable.*

PROOF. Setting $V(x) = |x|^{\alpha_0}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} UV(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |S(x)y|^{\alpha_0} G(dy) = |S(x)|^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy) \\ &= |S(x) - S(x_0) + S(x_0)|^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy) \\ &\leq |S(x) - S(x_0)|^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy) + |S(x_0)|^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Since S satisfies Lipschitz condition (ii), it is easy to notice that following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} UV(x) &\leq L^{\alpha_0} |x - x_0|^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy) + |S(x_0)|^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy) \\ &\leq L^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy) |x|^{\alpha_0} + L^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy) |x_0|^{\alpha_0} \\ &\quad + |S(x_0)|^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Thus condition (2.15) holds with

$$a = L^{\alpha_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy)$$

and

$$b = (L^{\alpha_0} |x_0|^{\alpha_0} + |S(x_0)|^{\alpha_0}) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha_0} G(dy).$$

Consequently Markov operator P corresponding to the dynamical system (1.0) satisfies the Prochorov condition (2.3). According to Lemma 1 the Markov operator P is asymptotically stable. The proof is completed. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Horbacz, *Dynamical systems with multiplicative perturbations*, Ann. Polon. Math. **50** (1989), 93–102.
- [2] K. Horbacz, *Asymptotic stability of dynamical systems with multiplicative perturbations*, Ann. Polon. Math. **50** (1989), 209–218.
- [3] A. Lasota and M. C. Mackey, *Probabilistic properties of deterministic systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1985.
- [4] A. Lasota and M. C. Mackey, *Stochastic perturbation of dynamical systems: The weak convergence of measures*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **138** (1989), 232–248.
- [5] A. Lasota and J. A. Yorke, *Lower bound technique for Markov operators and iterated function systems*, in press.

UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI
INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI
UL. BANKOWA 14
40-007 KATOWICE