

IRENA RYGUŁA*

COMPARISON THEOREMS FOR SOLUTIONS OF STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Abstract. The paper contains a generalization of some uniqueness criterions for the Itô's differential equations established by Skorokhod, Yamada and Watanabe. The results were generalized by applying more general non-linear integral inequalities and hence the stochastic versions of uniqueness criterions for non-random differential equations were obtained.

Introduction. In the present paper we shall discuss a problem of the pathwise uniqueness for solutions of stochastic differential equations. A comparison theorem for solutions of the Itô's stochastic differential equation was established by Skorokhod (see [2]), Yamada and Watanabe (see [4]). We can generalize those results by applying some more general non-linear integral inequalities (see [1], [3]) and hence we get stochastic versions of uniqueness criterions for non-random differential equations. We also consider more general class of equations

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t f ds + \int_0^t g d\mu_s,$$

where $\int_0^t g d\mu_s$ is meant as a stochastic integral and μ_t is a local integrable martingale. In this paper for the proofs we use some ideas from [4].

Definitions and notations. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space and $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$ be an increasing family of sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{F} . We shall assume that \mathcal{F}_0 contains all null sets of \mathcal{F} and that the family $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$ is continuous from the right. We shall say that function f belongs to $D[0, T]$ iff f is finite, right continuous and has finite left limits for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Process $(x_t, t \geq 0)$ is *cadlag*, if, for almost all ω , the function $t \rightarrow x_t(\omega)$ is finite, right continuous and has finite left limits for all $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$. Let \mathcal{M}_2 be the set of all martingales μ_t with respect to the family $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0)$, such that μ_t is *cadlag* and

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} E\mu_t^2 < \infty$$

holds true. We shall say that process μ_t is *continuous*, if, for almost all ω the function $t \rightarrow x_t(\omega)$ is continuous. Let \mathcal{M}_2^c be a subset of \mathcal{M}_2 , containing all continuous martingales. For each $\mu_t \in \mathcal{M}_2$ μ_t^2 is a submartingale, and from Meyer's theorem there exists only one integrable process $\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_t$ and a martingale v_t such that

$$\mu_t^2 = v_t + \langle \mu, \mu \rangle_t$$

Received May 10, 1983.

AMS (MOS) Subject classification (1980). Primary 60H10. Secondary 34G20.

*Instytut Matematyki Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, Katowice, ul. Bankowa 14, Poland.

holds. Let \mathcal{M}_2^r be a class of all martingales μ_t such that $\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_t$ is continuous. Let $f: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a random function. We assume that

(i) f is $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{F}$ -measurable and for every $t, f(t, \cdot)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

(ii) $P\{\omega \in \Omega: \int_0^\infty f^2(t, \omega) d\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_t < \infty\} = 1$.

Let us denote by L_μ^2 the class of all random functions satisfying (i) and (ii), and by M_μ^2 we denote the class of all $f \in L_\mu^2$, satisfying the condition

(iii) $E \int_0^\infty f^2 d\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_t < \infty$.

Let $\int_0^\infty f d\mu_t$ denote stochastic integral, where $\mu_t \in \mathcal{M}_2^r$. It is known that stochastic integral exists for all $f \in L_\mu^2$. If $f \in M_\mu^2$, then for each $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$

$$I_t = \int_0^t f d\mu_s$$

is an integrable martingale and $E[\int_0^t f d\mu_s]^2 = E[\int_0^t f^2 d\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_s]$ holds true.

Process $\mu_t, t \geq 0$ is a *local integrable martingale*, if there exists an increasing sequence of stopping times (τ_n) such that $\lim_n \tau_n = +\infty$ a.e. and each τ_n reduces the local martingale μ_t . We recall that τ_n reduces μ_t , iff $\mu_{t \wedge \tau_n}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale $\mathcal{F}_{t \wedge \tau_n}$ -adapted. The class of all local integrable martingales we denote by $l\mathcal{M}_2$. If $f \in L_\mu^2, \mu_t \in \mathcal{M}_2^r$, then $I_t = \int_0^t f d\mu_s \in l\mathcal{M}_2^r$ and if $\mu_t \in l\mathcal{M}_2^r$

then $I_t = \int_0^t f d\mu_s := \lim_n \int_0^t f(s \wedge \tau_n) d\mu_{s \wedge \tau_n} \in l\mathcal{M}_2^r$.

Uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations. Let f and g be two functions mapping $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. We shall assume that f and g are Borel measurable and bounded on every finite interval. Hence, if $x_t \in D$, then the functions

$$\omega \rightarrow f(t, x_t), \quad \omega \rightarrow g(t, x_t)$$

are \mathcal{F}_t -measurable. Processes $f(t, x_t)$ and $g(t, x_t)$ are Borel measurable and locally bounded a.e. so the integrals

$$\int_0^t f(s, x_s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t g(s, x_s) d\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_s$$

exist. Let us consider the equation:

$$(1) \quad x_t(\omega) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x_s) ds + \int_0^t g(s, x_s) d\mu_s, \quad t \geq 0, \mu_t \in l\mathcal{M}_2^r.$$

DEFINITION 1. By a *solution of the equation (1)* we mean a probability space with an increasing family of sub- σ -fields $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t)$ and a family of stochastic processes (x_t, μ_t) defined on it such that

- (i) with probability one x_t and μ_t belong to D and $\mu_0 = 0$,
- (ii) they are adapted to \mathcal{F}_t for each t ,
- (iii) μ_t is an integrable or local integrable martingale,
- (iv) (x_t, μ_t) satisfies

$$x_t - x_0 = \int_0^t f(s, x_s) ds + \int_0^t g(s, x_s) d\mu_s \quad \text{a.e.}$$

DEFINITION 2. We shall say that the *pathwise uniqueness* holds for (1) if, for any two solutions (x_t, μ_t) and (x'_t, μ'_t) defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_t)$, $x_0 = x'_0$ and $\mu_t \equiv \mu'_t$ imply $x_t \equiv x'_t$.

Let $\mu_t \in \mathcal{M}_2^c$ and f, g are Borel measurable and bounded on every finite interval. We can prove the following.

THEOREM 1. *Let*

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x_s) ds + \int_0^t g(s, x_s) d\mu_s, \quad t \geq 0$$

and assume that

1° there exists a positive increasing function $r(u)$, $u \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$|g(s, x) - g(s, y)| \leq r(|x - y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbf{R}$$

and

$$\int_{0^+} r^{-2}(u) du = +\infty,$$

2° there exists a function $\Phi: \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$, continuous and non-decreasing in $x \in \mathbf{R}_+$, such that for every $(t, x), (t, y)$, $t \geq 0, x, y \in \mathbf{R}$

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq \Phi(t, |x - y|),$$

3° for every random variable $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $E\xi < \infty$ the inequality

$$E\Phi(t, \xi) \leq V\Phi(t, E\xi)$$

for some constant V is true,

4° the right-hand maximum solution $M(t; 0, 0)$ of the non-random differential equation

$$y' = V\Phi(t, y)$$

through $(0, 0)$ exists in every interval $[0, t]$, $t \geq 0$. Then for every two solutions x_t, x'_t of (1) we have

$$E|x_t - x'_t| \leq M(t; 0, 0), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $a_0 = 1 > a_1 > a_2 > \dots > a_k \rightarrow 0$ be defined by

$$\int_{a_1}^{a_0} r^{-2}(u) du = 1, \quad \int_{a_2}^{a_1} r^{-2}(u) du = 2, \quad \dots \quad \int_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} r^{-2}(u) du = k, \quad \dots$$

Then there exists twice continuously differentiable function $\psi_k(u)$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\psi_k(0) = 0$,

$$\psi'_k(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq u \leq a_k, \\ \text{between 0 and 1,} & a_k < u < a_{k-1}, \\ 1, & a_{k-1} \leq u, \end{cases}$$

$$\psi''_k(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq u \leq a_k, \\ \text{between 0 and } \frac{2}{k} r^{-2}(u), & a_k < u < a_{k-1}, \\ 0, & a_{k-1} \leq u. \end{cases}$$

We extend $\psi_k(u)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$\psi_k(u) = \psi_k(|u|).$$

$\psi_k(u)$ is a twice continuously differentiable function on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and $\psi_k(u) \uparrow |u|$.

Let (x_t, μ_t) and (x'_t, μ'_t) be two solutions of (1) on the same probability space satisfying the following

$$x_0 = x'_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_t \equiv \mu'_t.$$

Then

$$x(t) - x'(t) = \int_0^t [f(s, x_s) - f(s, x'_s)] ds + \int_0^t [g(s, x_s) - g(s, x'_s)] d\mu_s.$$

By Itô's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(x(t) - x'(t)) &= \int_0^t \psi'_n(x(s) - x'(s)) [f(s, x_s) - f(s, x'_s)] ds + \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \psi'_n(x(s) - x'(s)) [g(s, x_s) - g(s, x'_s)] d\mu_s + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \psi''_n(x(s) - x'(s)) [g(s, x_s) - g(s, x'_s)]^2 d\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_s = \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

$I_2 \in \mathcal{L}\mathcal{M}_2^c$, hence $E(I_2) = 0$ for $t \leq \tau_n$, where τ_n is stopping time reducing I_2 .

$$E|I_1| \leq E \left\{ \int_0^t |f(s, x_s) - f(s, x'_s)| ds \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E|I_3| &\leq \frac{1}{2} E \left\{ \int_0^t \psi_n''(x(s) - x'(s)) r^2(|x_s - x'_s|) d\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_s \right\} \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{a_n \leq |u| \leq a_{n-1}} \{ \psi_n''(u) r^2(|u|) \} E(\langle \mu, \mu \rangle_t - \langle \mu, \mu \rangle_0) \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot C \cdot \frac{2}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Also we have by assumption

$$\psi_n(x(s) - x'(s)) \uparrow |x(s) - x'(s)|.$$

Hence, and by Fatou's lemma we have

$$E|x(t) - x'(t)| \leq E \int_0^t |f(s, x_s) - f(s, x'_s)| ds$$

and

$$E|x(t) - x'(t)| \leq E \int_0^t \Phi(s, |x(s) - x'(s)|) ds,$$

$$E|x(t) - x'(t)| \leq V \int_0^t \Phi(s, E|x(s) - x'(s)|) ds,$$

therefore by Opial's theorem

$$(2) \quad E|x(t) - x'(t)| \leq M(t; 0, 0), \quad t \leq \tau_n.$$

As τ_n was reducing sequence of stopping times such that $\lim_n \tau_n = +\infty$ a.e. we have for sufficiently N $\tau_n \wedge t = t$ a.e. and that completes the proof.

COROLLARY. *If $(M(t; 0, 0) \equiv 0)$, then, under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the pathwise uniqueness holds for solutions of (1).*

THEOREM 2 (Stochastic version of Osgood's criterion). *If the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, and $\Phi(t, x) = a(t)q(x)$, where $a(t)$ is non-negative, continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ and $q(x)$ is continuous concave, non-decreasing in \mathbf{R} , $q(x) \neq 0$ and*

$$\int_{0^+} \frac{1}{q(x)} dx = +\infty$$

then pathwise uniqueness holds for (1).

Proof. In a similar way as in Theorem 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E|x(t) - x'(t)| &\leq E \int_0^t a(s) q(|x(s) - x'(s)|) ds \leq \\
&\leq \int_0^t a(s) q(E|x(s) - x'(s)|) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3) \quad E|x(t) - x'(t)| \leq \varepsilon + \int_0^t a(s)q(E|x(s) - x'(s)|)ds, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Inequality (3) is Bihari's type, hence we have

$$E|x(t) - x'(t)| \leq G^{-1} \left[G(\varepsilon) + \int_0^t a(s)ds \right], \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $G(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{q(s)} ds$, and, for ε tends to 0, we have $G^{-1} \left[G(\varepsilon) + \int_0^t a(s)ds \right] \rightarrow 0$.

REMARK. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, if $a(t) \equiv 1$, we have often used inequality:

$$E|x(t) - x'(t)| \leq \int_0^t q(E|x(s) - x'(s)|)ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

hence pathwise uniqueness holds for (1).

Uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations in multi-dimensional case. Let $\sigma(t, x) = [\sigma_{i,j}(t, x)]$, $b(t, x) = [b_i(t, x)]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, be defined on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^n$, Borel measurable and bounded. We consider the equation:

$$(4) \quad dx_t = \sigma(t, x_t)d\mu_t + b(t, x_t)dt, \quad t \geq 0, \mu_t \in \mathcal{M}_2^c,$$

or, in component wise

$$dx_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_{i,j}(t, x_t)d\mu_j(t) + b_i(t, x_t)dt, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let $\mu_t = (\mu_1(t), \dots, \mu_r(t)) \in \mathcal{M}_2^c$ and $\langle \mu_i, \mu_k \rangle_t$ be absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure for $k, i = 1, \dots, r$. Let the densities $\varphi_{i,k}(t)$ be bounded for $k, i = 1, \dots, r$ and the following assumptions be satisfied:

1° there exists a positive, increasing function $\varrho(x)$, $x \in (0, \infty)$, $\varrho(0) = 0$ such that

$$\|\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, y)\| \leq \varrho(|x - y|), \quad (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^n,$$

2° there exists positive, non-decreasing function $\varphi(x)$, $x \in [0, \infty)$, such that

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, y)| \leq \varphi(|x - y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

3° the function

$$\varrho^2(x)x^{-1} + \varphi(x)$$

is concave,

$$4^\circ \int_{0^+} [\varrho^2(x)x^{-1} + \varphi(x)]^{-1} dx = +\infty.$$

Then the pathwise uniqueness holds for (4).

Proof. In a similar way as in Theorem 1 we define functions $\psi_m(x)$ on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$\psi'_m(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq u \leq a_m, \\ \text{between } 0 \text{ and } \varrho^2(u)u, & a_m < u < a_{m-1}, \\ 0, & a_{m-1} \leq u, \end{cases}$$

$$f_m(x) := \psi_m(|x|) \text{ for } x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Let $x(t)$ and $x'(t)$ be the solutions of equation (4). By Itô's formula we have

$$f_m(x(t) - x'(t)) = \text{a martingale} + \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_i}(x_s - x'_s) [b_i(s, x_s) - b_i(s, x'_s)] ds +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x_s - x'_s) \left[\sum_{k=1}^r (\sigma_{i,k}(s, x_s) - \sigma_{i,k}(s, x'_s))^* \right.$$

$$\left. * (\sigma_{j,k}(s, x_s) - \sigma_{j,k}(s, x'_s)) \right] d\langle \mu_i, \mu_j \rangle_s = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$$

but as ψ'_m is bounded,

$$\left| \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_i} \right| = \left| \psi'_m(|x|) \frac{x_i}{|x|} \right| \leq K_0, \quad K_0 = \text{const.},$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 f_m}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right| \leq K_1 \frac{1}{|x|} \chi_{[x \neq 0]} + \psi''_m(|x|) \cdot K_2, \quad K_1, K_2 = \text{const.},$$

$$E(I_1) = 0,$$

$$E(I_3) \leq \frac{1}{2} E \left[\int_0^t K_1 \frac{1}{|x_s - x'_s|} \chi_{[x_s \neq x'_s]} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^r (\sigma_{i,k}(s, x_s) - \sigma_{i,k}(s, x'_s))^* \right. \right.$$

$$\left. * (\sigma_{j,k}(s, x_s) - \sigma_{j,k}(s, x'_s)) d\langle \mu_i, \mu_j \rangle_s \right\} \right],$$

and from the assumption we have

$$E(I_3) \leq \frac{1}{2} E \left[V \int_0^t K_1 |x_s - x'_s|^{-1} \chi_{[x_s \neq x'_s]} \varrho^2(|x_s - x'_s|) ds \right] +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} E \left[V \int_0^t K_2 \psi''_m(|x_s - x'_s|) \varrho^2(|x_s - x'_s|) ds \right]$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} E \left[V \int_0^t K_2 \psi''_m(|x_s - x'_s|) \varrho^2(|x_s - x'_s|) ds \right] \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} K_2 V \int_0^t E |x_s - x'_s| \chi_{[a_m \leq x_s - x'_s \leq a_{m-1}]} ds \leq C \cdot t \cdot a_{m-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Fatou's lemma

$$E|x_t - x'_t| \leq C \int_0^t E \{ \varphi(|x_s - x'_s|) + |x_s - x'_s|^{-1} \varrho^2(|x_s - x'_s|) \} ds,$$

By Jensen's inequality

$$E|x_t - x'_t| = 0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

which completes the proof.

Uniqueness criterion for some integral equations. We consider the equation

$$(5) \quad x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t g(s, x_s) d\mu_s + \int_0^t f(s, x_s) d\alpha_s, \quad t \geq 0;$$

x_0 is \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable; f and g are Borel measurable and bounded on every finite interval, $\mu_t \in \mathcal{M}_2^c$, $\alpha_t \in V^+ - V^+$ where V^+ is the set of all increasing, adapted, cadlag processes A_t such that $A_0 = 0$. Integral $\int_0^t f(s, x_s) d\alpha_s$ is meant in a Stieltjes-Lebesgue sense. Under the above assumptions both integrals exist and we always can write the right term of equality (5).

Let $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_t$ be absolute continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure and its density φ_t be bounded in \mathbf{R} and let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Then pathwise uniqueness for (5) holds.

We can prove it in a similar way as in Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BŁAŻ, *On the estimates of solutions of Itô random integral equations*, Prace Nauk. Uniw. Śląsk., Prace Matematyczne 11 (1981), 26—32.
- [2] I. I. GIHMAN, A. V. SKOROKHOD, *Theory of stochastic processes*, Moscow, 1975, (in russian).
- [3] J. SZARSKI, *Differential inequalities*, PWN, Warszawa, 1967.
- [4] T. YAMADA, S. WATANABE, *On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 11 (1971), 155—167; 11 (1971), 553—563.