

SZYMON PLEWIK\*

## A THEOREM ON SPACES OF FINITE SUBSETS

**Abstract.** We give conditions under which iterated hyperspaces of finite subsets, with Ochan's topology, are homeomorphic.

**Introduction.** In [2] and [3] Ochan introduced a new topology on the space of subsets of a given space  $X$ . His topology is generated by sets  $\langle x, V \rangle = \{y \subset X : x \subset y \subset V\}$ , where  $x$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and  $V$  is an open subset of  $X$ . Then Pixley and Roy [4] proved that non-void finite subsets of reals, with the Ochan's topology creates an important example of a Moore space. Later some other authors investigated the Pixley-Roy hyperspaces and generalizations of the Pixley and Roy's construction (see for instance Douven [1], Przymusiński [6] or Plewik [5]).

**The main theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{F}[X]$  be the set of non-void finite subsets of a  $T_1$ -space  $X$ . Equip  $\mathcal{F}[X]$  by topology induced from the Ochan's topology. Let  $\langle x, V \rangle = [x, V] \cap \mathcal{F}[X]$ . Observe that sets  $\langle x, V \rangle$  are closed-open and that they form a base.

**LEMMA.** Let  $X$  be a  $T_1$ -space and let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal. If for each point  $x \in X$  there exists a decreasing and well ordered family  $U(x) = \{x(\alpha) : \alpha < \lambda\}$  of open neighbourhoods such that  $\bigcap U(x) = \{x\}$ , then for every  $n$  there exists a collection  $\mathcal{D}_n$  of open subsets of  $\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]$  such that:

- (1) every collection  $\mathcal{D}_n$  covers the subspace  $\{y \in \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]] : |y| = n\}$ ,
- (2) every collection  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is discrete in the subspace  $\{y \in \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]] : |y| \geq n\}$ ,
- (3)  $|B \cap \{y \in \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]] : |y| = n\}| = 1$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{D}_n$ .

**Proof.** If  $y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ , then let  $y(\alpha) = \langle y, y_1(\alpha) \cup \dots \cup y_n(\alpha) \rangle$ ,  $y_k = \{y_k^1, \dots, y_k^r\}$   $r = r(k)$ , and  $y_k(\alpha) = \langle y_k, y_k^1(\alpha) \cup \dots \cup y_k^r(\alpha) \rangle$ .

Let  $\alpha = \alpha(y)$  be the least ordinal such that if  $t \in y_i$  and  $t \notin y_k$ , then  $t \notin y_k^1(\alpha) \cup \dots \cup y_k^r(\alpha)$ , i.e.  $\{t\} \cup y_k \notin y_k(\alpha)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}_n = \{y(\alpha) : |y| = n \text{ and } \alpha = \alpha(y)\}$ . So, it is easy to verify, that collections  $\mathcal{D}_n$  satisfied conditions (1), (2), (3).

---

Received November 14, 1982.

AMS (MOS) subject classification (1980). Primary 54B20.

\* Instytut Matematyki Uniwersytetu Śląskiego, Katowice, ul. Bankowa 14, Poland.

Any space  $\mathcal{F}[Z]$  can be partitioned into closed-open sets as follows. Let  $A_*$  be the set of isolated points of  $\mathcal{F}[Z]$  and let  $A_0 = \{x \in \mathcal{F}[Z]: \text{there is an open subset } V^x \subset Z \text{ such that } |\langle x, V^x \rangle| \leq \aleph_0\} \setminus A_*$ .

If sets  $A_\beta$  are defined for  $\beta < \alpha$ , then let  $A_\alpha = \{x \in \mathcal{F}[Z]: \text{there is an open subset } V^x \subset Z \text{ such that } |\langle x, V^x \rangle| \leq \aleph_\alpha\} \setminus \bigcup \{A_\beta : \beta < \alpha\} \cup A_*$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a regular cardinal and let  $X$  be a  $T_1$ -space with no or infinite many of isolated points such that for each point  $x \in X$  there exists a decreasing and well ordered base  $\{x(\alpha) : \alpha < \lambda\}$  of open neighbourhoods, then  $\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]$  is homeomorphic with  $\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]]$ .*

**Proof.** Denote by  $A_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  elements of the above defined partition for spaces  $\mathcal{F}[X]$  and  $\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]$ , respectively, instead of a space  $Z$ . Observe that  $|A_\alpha| = |\mathcal{A}_\alpha|$  for all  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $|A_*| = |\mathcal{A}_*|$ .

Let  $\alpha \geq 0$  and let  $\gamma(\beta)$  be defined as in the proof of Lemma and let  $\mathcal{D}_n$  denotes families which satisfy conditions (1), (2), (3). We define partitions  $R_\beta = \{\langle x, V(x, \beta) \rangle : x \in B_\beta\}$  of  $A_\alpha$  consisting of closed-open sets for  $\beta < \lambda$  such that:

- (i)  $R_\beta$  is a refinement of  $R_\gamma$  iff  $\gamma \leq \beta$ ,
- (ii)  $B_\beta \subset B_\gamma$  iff  $\beta \leq \gamma$ ,
- (iii)  $|R_1| = |A_\alpha|$ ,
- (iv)  $\{V : V \in R_\beta \text{ and } \beta < \lambda\}$  is a base for  $A_\alpha$ ,
- (v)  $|\bigcap \{\langle x, V(x, \beta) \rangle : \beta < \gamma\} \cap B_\gamma| = \aleph_\alpha$  for each  $x \in \bigcup \{B_\beta : \beta < \gamma\}$ .

We can do this as follows: Let  $R_1^1 = \{\langle x, V(x, 1) \rangle \in A_\alpha : |x| = 1\}$  refines  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\{y(1) : |y| = 1\}$ . If collections  $R_1^k$  are defined for  $k < n$ , then let  $R_1^n = \{\langle x, V(x, 1) \rangle \in A_\alpha \setminus \bigcup \{\bigcup R_1^k : k < n\} : |x| = n\}$  refines  $\mathcal{D}_n$  and  $\{y(1) : |y| = n\}$ . Let  $R_1 = \bigcup \{R_1^n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $B_1 = \{x : \langle x, V(x, 1) \rangle \in R_1\}$ .

Assume that there are defined partitions  $R_\beta$  for  $\beta < \gamma$ . Let  $P_\gamma = \{\langle x, \bigcap \{V(x, \beta) : \beta < \gamma\} \rangle : x \in \bigcup \{B_\beta : \beta < \gamma\}\}$ . Let  $R_\gamma^1 = \{\langle x, V(x, \gamma) \rangle \in A_\alpha : |x| = 1\}$  refines  $P_\gamma$  and  $\{y(\gamma) : |y| = 1\}$ . If collections  $R_\gamma^k$  are defined for  $k < n$ , then let  $R_\gamma^n = \{\langle x, V(x, \gamma) \rangle \in A_\alpha \setminus \bigcup \{\bigcup R_\gamma^k : k < n\} : |x| = n\}$  refines  $P_\gamma$  and  $\mathcal{D}_n$  and  $\{y(\gamma) : |y| = n\}$  in a such way that  $|\bigcap \{V_\gamma(x, \beta) : \beta < \gamma\} \setminus V(x, \gamma)| = \aleph_\alpha$  for each  $x \in \bigcup \{B_\beta : \beta < \gamma\}$ . Let  $R_\gamma = \bigcup \{R_\gamma^n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $B_\gamma = \{x : \langle x, V(x, \gamma) \rangle \in R_\gamma\}$ . Analogously we define sets  $\mathcal{B}_\beta$  and partitions  $\mathcal{B}_\beta = \{\langle x, V(x, \beta) \rangle : x \in \mathcal{B}_\beta\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  for  $\beta < \lambda$ .

Let us define a one-to-one function  $f: A_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  step by step on sets  $B_\beta$ . Let  $f$  be a one-to-one function from  $B_1$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_1$ . Further, by induction, let  $f$  be a one-to-one function from  $B_\gamma \setminus \bigcup \{B_\beta : \beta < \gamma\}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_\gamma \setminus \bigcup \{\mathcal{B}_\beta : \beta < \gamma\}$  such that if  $y \in \langle z, \bigcap \{V(z, \beta) : \beta < \gamma\} \rangle$ , then  $f(y) \in \langle f(z), \bigcap \{V(f(z), \beta) : \beta < \gamma\} \rangle$  (there is a finite many of such points  $z$  only).

Observe that  $f(A_\alpha) = \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  and  $f(\langle x, V(x, \beta) \rangle) = \langle f(x), V(f(x), \beta) \rangle$  for every  $\beta < \lambda$  and each  $x \in A_\alpha$ . Therefore the required homeomorphism is defined for  $\alpha$  was taken arbitrarily.

The assumption of Theorem do not imply that  $\mathcal{F}[X]$  is homeomorphic with  $\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[X]]$ . For example, let  $X$  be the unit interval  $I$ , then  $\mathcal{F}[I]$  satisfied the countable

chain condition, see [3], but  $\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[I]]$  contains a family  $\{\langle\{\{t\}\}, \langle\{t\}, \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{F}[I]]\rangle : t \in I\}$  of open pairwise disjoint sets of cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

Let us note, that the proof of our main theorem is a generalization of methods from [5].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. VAN DOUWEN, *The Pixley-Roy topology on spaces of subsets*, in *Set-theoretic Topology*, Academic Press, 1977.
- [2] J. S. OCHAN, *Space of subsets of a topological space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 32 (1941), 107—109.
- [3] J. S. OCHAN, *Space of subsets of a topological space* (in Russian), Mat. Sb. 12 (1943) 340—352.
- [4] C. PIXLEY, P. ROY, *Uncompletable Moore spaces*, in *Proceeding of the Auburn Topology Conference*, 1969.
- [5] SZ. PLEWIK, *On<sup>n</sup> subspaces of the Pixley-Roy example*, Colloq. Math. 44 (1981), 41—46.
- [6] T. PRZYMUSIŃSKI, *Normality and paracompactness of Pixley-Roy hyperspaces*, Fund. Math. 113 (1981), 201—219.