

HYPO- q -NORMS ON A CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF ALGEBRAS OF OPERATORS ON BANACH SPACES

SILVESTRU SEVER DRAGOMIR 

Abstract. In this paper we consider the hypo- q -operator norm and hypo- q -numerical radius on a Cartesian product of algebras of bounded linear operators on Banach spaces. A representation of these norms in terms of semi-inner products, the equivalence with the q -norms on a Cartesian product and some reverse inequalities obtained via the scalar reverses of Cauchy-Buniakowski-Schwarz inequality are also given.

1. Introduction

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space over the real or complex number field \mathbb{K} . On \mathbb{K}^n endowed with the canonical linear structure we consider a norm $\|\cdot\|_n$ and the unit ball

$$\mathbb{B}(\|\cdot\|_n) := \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n \mid \|\lambda\|_n \leq 1\}.$$

As an example of such norms we should mention the usual p -norms

$$\|\lambda\|_{n,p} := \begin{cases} \max\{|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|\} & \text{if } p = \infty, \\ (\sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } p \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Received: 04.03.2019. Accepted: 01.12.2019. Published online: 01.02.2020.

(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B05; 26D15.

Key words and phrases: normed spaces, cartesian products of normed spaces, inequalities, reverse inequalities, Shisha-Mond type inequalities.

©2020 The Author(s).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

The *Euclidean norm* is obtained for $p = 2$, i.e.,

$$\|\lambda\|_{n,2} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is well known that on $E^n := E \times \cdots \times E$ endowed with the canonical linear structure we can define the following *p-norms*:

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{n,p} := \begin{cases} \max \{ \|x_1\|, \dots, \|x_n\| \} & \text{if } p = \infty, \\ (\sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } p \in [1, \infty), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$.

Following [6], for a given norm $\|\cdot\|_n$ on \mathbb{K}^n , we define the functional $\|\cdot\|_{h,n} : E^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$(1.1) \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n} := \sup_{\lambda \in B(\|\cdot\|_n)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \right\|,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in E^n$.

It is easy to see, by the properties of the norm $\|\cdot\|$, that:

- (i) $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n} \geq 0$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in E^n$,
- (ii) $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|_{h,n} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n} + \|\mathbf{y}\|_{h,n}$ for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in E^n$,
- (iii) $\|\alpha \mathbf{x}\|_{h,n} = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n}$ for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in E^n$,

and therefore $\|\cdot\|_{h,n}$ is a *semi-norm* on E^n .

We observe that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n} = 0$ if and only if $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j = 0$ for any $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in B(\|\cdot\|_n)$. Since $(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0) \in B(\|\cdot\|_n)$ then the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{h,n}$ generated by $\|\cdot\|_n$ is a *norm* on E^n .

If by $\mathbb{B}_{n,p}$ with $p \in [1, \infty]$ we denote the balls generated by the *p-norms* $\|\cdot\|_{n,p}$ on \mathbb{K}^n , then we can obtain the following *hypo-q-norms* on E^n :

$$(1.2) \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n,q} := \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_{n,p}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \right\|,$$

with $q > 1$ and $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ if $p > 1$, $q = 1$ if $p = \infty$ and $q = \infty$ if $p = 1$.

For $p = 2$, we have the Euclidean ball in \mathbb{K}^n , which we denote by \mathbb{B}_n , $\mathbb{B}_n = \left\{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \leq 1 \right\}$ that generates the *hypo-Euclidean norm* on E^n , i.e.,

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,e} := \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \right\|.$$

Moreover, if $E = H$, where H is an inner product space over \mathbb{K} , then the *hypo-Euclidean norm* on H^n will be denoted simply by

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_e := \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_j \right\|.$$

Let $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space over \mathbb{K} and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$. In the Cartesian product $H^n := H \times \dots \times H$, for the n -tuples of vectors $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in H^n$, we can define the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_j, y_j \rangle, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in H^n,$$

which generates the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ on H^n , i.e.,

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 := \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in H^n.$$

The following result established in [6] connects the usual Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ with the hypo-Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_e$.

THEOREM 1.1 (Dragomir, 2007, [6]). *For any $\mathbf{x} \in H^n$ we have the inequalities*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_e \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_2,$$

i.e., $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_e$ are equivalent norms on H^n .

The following representation result for the hypo-Euclidean norm plays a key role in obtaining various bounds for this norm:

THEOREM 1.2 (Dragomir, 2007, [6]). *For any $\mathbf{x} \in H^n$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_e = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\langle x, x_j \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space over the real or complex number field \mathbb{K} . We denote by E^* its dual space endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ defined by

$$\|f\| := \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |f(x)| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} |f(u)| < \infty, \text{ where } f \in E^*.$$

The following representation result for the *hypo- q -norms* on E^n plays a key role in obtaining different bounds for these norms (see [7]):

THEOREM 1.3 (Dragomir, 2017, [7]). *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space over the real or complex number field \mathbb{K} . For any $\mathbf{x} \in E^n$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n,q} = \sup_{\|f\|=1} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |f(x_j)|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\}$$

where $q \geq 1$, and

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n,\infty} = \|\mathbf{x}\|_{n,\infty} = \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|x_j\|.$$

We have the following inequalities of interest:

COROLLARY 1.4. *With the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 we have for $q \geq 1$ that*

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/q}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{n,q} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n,q} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{n,q}$$

for any $\mathbf{x} \in E^n$.

We have for $r \geq q \geq 1$ that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n,r} \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n,q} \leq n^{\frac{r-q}{rq}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n,r}$$

for any $\mathbf{x} \in E^n$.

In this paper we introduce the hypo- q -operator norms and hypo- q -numerical radius on a Cartesian product of algebras of bounded linear operators on Banach spaces. A representation of these norms in terms of semi-inner products, the equivalence with the q -norms on a Cartesian product and some reverse inequalities obtained via the scalar reverses of Cauchy–Buniakowski–Schwarz inequality are also given.

2. Semi-inner products and preliminary results

In what follows, we assume that E is a linear space over the real or complex number field \mathbb{K} .

The following concept was introduced in 1961 by G. Lumer [11] but the main properties of it were discovered by J. R. Giles [9], P. L. Papini [17], P. M. Miličić [12]–[14], I. Rošca [18], B. Nath [16] and others (see also [3]).

In this section we give the definition of this concept and point out the main facts which are derived directly from the definition.

DEFINITION 2.1. The mapping $[\cdot, \cdot] : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ will be called the *semi-inner product in the sense of Lumer–Giles* or *L-G-s.i.p.*, for short, if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) $[x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z]$ for all $x, y, z \in E$,
- (ii) $[\lambda x, y] = \lambda [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in E$ and λ a scalar in \mathbb{K} ,
- (iii) $[x, x] \geq 0$ for all $x \in E$ and $[x, x] = 0$ implies that $x = 0$,
- (iv) $|[x, y]|^2 \leq [x, x][y, y]$ (Schwarz's inequality) for all $x, y \in E$,
- (v) $[x, \lambda y] = \bar{\lambda} [x, y]$ for all $x, y \in E$ and λ a scalar in \mathbb{K} .

The following result collects some fundamental facts concerning the connection between the semi-inner products and norms.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let E be a linear space and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ a L-G-s.i.p on E . Then the following statements are true:*

- (i) *The mapping $E \ni x \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} [x, x]^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is a norm on E .*
- (ii) *For every $y \in E$ the functional $E \ni x \xrightarrow{f_y} [x, y] \in \mathbb{K}$ is a continuous linear functional on E endowed with the norm generated by the L-G-s.i.p. Moreover, one has the equality $\|f_y\| = \|y\|$.*

DEFINITION 2.3. The mapping $J : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$, where E^* is the dual space of E , given by:

$$J(x) := \{x^* \in E^* \mid \langle x^*, x \rangle = \|x^*\| \|x\|, \|x^*\| = \|x\|\}, \quad x \in E,$$

will be called the *normalised duality mapping* of normed linear space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$.

DEFINITION 2.4. A mapping $\tilde{J} : E \rightarrow E^*$ will be called a *section* of normalised duality mapping if $\tilde{J}(x) \in J(x)$ for all x in E .

The following theorem due to I. Roşca ([18]) establishes a natural connection between the normalised duality mapping and the semi-inner products in the sense of Lumer-Giles.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Then every L-G-s.i.p. which generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is of the form*

$$[x, y] = \langle \tilde{J}(y), x \rangle \quad \text{for all } x, y \text{ in } E,$$

where \tilde{J} is a section of the normalised duality mapping.

The following proposition is a natural consequence of Roşca's result.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) E is smooth.
- (ii) There exists a unique L-G-s.i.p. which generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

We need the following lemma holding for n -tuples of complex numbers:

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. If $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, or $p = 1, q = \infty$ or $p = \infty, q = 1$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \right| = \|\beta\|_{n,q}.$$

The proof follows by using Hölder's discrete inequality and its sharpness for the three cases under consideration and we omit the details.

THEOREM 2.8. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space over the real or complex number field \mathbb{K} and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ a L-G-s.i.p on E that generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$, i.e. $[x, x]^{1/2} = \|x\|$. For any $\mathbf{x} \in E^n$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n,q} = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[x_j, u]^q \right)^{1/q} \right\},$$

where $q \geq 1$.

PROOF. If $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a L-G-s.i.p. that generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{\|u\|=1} |[x, u]| = \|x\| \text{ for any } x \in X.$$

Indeed, if $x = 0$ the equality is obvious. If $x \neq 0$, then by Schwarz's inequality we have

$$|[x, u]| \leq \|x\| \|u\| \text{ for any } u \in X.$$

By taking the supremum in this inequality we have

$$\sup_{\|u\|=1} |[x, u]| \leq \|x\|.$$

On the other hand by taking $u_0 := \frac{x}{\|x\|}$ we have that $\|u_0\| = 1$ and since

$$\sup_{\|u\|=1} |[x, u]| \geq |[x, u_0]| = \left| \left[x, \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right] \right| = \frac{\|x\|^2}{\|x\|} = \|x\|,$$

then we get the desired equality (2.3).

Assume that $\mathbf{x} \in E^n$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and let $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then by the definition (1.2) and representation (2.3) we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{h,n,q} &:= \sup_{|\alpha|_p \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j \right\| = \sup_{|\alpha|_p \leq 1} \left(\sup_{\|u\|=1} \left| \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j \right), u \right] \right| \right) \\ &= \sup_{\|u\|=1} \left(\sup_{|\alpha|_p \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j [x_j, u] \right| \right) = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[x_j, u]^q \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality in (2.4) follows by the representation (2.1) for $\beta_j = [x_j, u]$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

For $q = 1$, $p = \infty$ the representation (2.2) follows in a similar way by utilising the equality (2.1). We omit the details. \square

REMARK 2.9. If $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is an inner product space with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ generating the norm, then we recapture the representation result obtained in the recent paper [8].

REMARK 2.10. We observe that the representation (2.2) provides a stronger result than the one from Theorem 1.3 since it makes use of a smaller class of bounded linear functionals, namely the ones generated by a given L-G-s.i.p. on E that generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

3. The case of operators on Banach spaces

A fundamental result due to Lumer ([11]), in the theory of operators on complex Banach spaces X , is that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, then

$$(3.1) \quad w(T) \leq \|T\| \leq 4w(T),$$

where $w(T) := \sup_{\|x\|=1} |[Tx, x]|$ is the numerical radius of the operator T and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a s-L-G-s.i.p. that generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$. The numerical radius is independent of the choice of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ (see [11], Theorem 14). Also, the numerical radius is a norm.

As shown by Glickfeld ([10]), the second inequality in (3.1) holds with $e = \exp(1)$ instead of 4 and e is the best possible constant. Therefore we have the sharp inequalities

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{e} \|T\| \leq w(T) \leq \|T\|$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

On the Cartesian product $B^{(n)}(X) := \mathcal{B}(X) \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}(X)$ we can define the *hypo- q -operator norms* of $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$ by

$$(3.3) \quad \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,q} := \sup_{\|\lambda\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j T_j \right\| \quad \text{where } p, q \in [1, \infty],$$

with the convention that if $p = 1$, $q = \infty$; if $p = \infty$, $q = 1$ and if $p > 1$, then $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

If $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a s-L-G-s.i.p. that generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of X and $w(T) := \sup_{\|x\|=1} |[Tx, x]|$ is the numerical radius of the operator T we can also define the *hypo- q -numerical radius* of $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$ by

$$(3.4) \quad w_{h,n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n) := \sup_{\|\lambda\|_{n,p} \leq 1} w\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j T_j\right) \quad \text{with } p, q \in [1, \infty],$$

with the convention that if $p = 1, q = \infty$; if $p = \infty, q = 1$ and if $p > 1$, then $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

We observe that (3.3) and (3.4) are special cases of (1.1), for two different norms on $E = B(X)$.

Using (3.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{e} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j T_j \right\| \leq w\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j T_j\right) \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j T_j \right\|$$

and by taking the supremum over $\|\lambda\|_{n,p} \leq 1$ in this inequality, we get the following fundamental result

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{e} \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,q} \leq w_{h,n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,q}$$

for any $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$ and $q \geq 1$. The inequalities (3.5) are sharp, which follow by the unidimensional case.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ a s-L-G-s.i.p. that generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of X . Let $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$ and $x, y \in X$, then for $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ or $p = 1, q = \infty$ or $p = \infty, q = 1$, we have*

$$(3.6) \quad \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left| \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j \right) x, y \right] \right| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

PROOF. If we take $\beta = ([T_1 x, y], \dots, [T_n x, y]) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ in (2.1), then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^q \right)^{1/q} &= \|\beta\|_{n,q} = \sup_{\|\alpha\|_p \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j [T_j x, y] \right| = \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j x, y \right] \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.6). □

COROLLARY 3.2. *With the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, if $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$ and $x \in X$, then for $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ or $p = 1, q = \infty$ or $p = \infty, q = 1$, we have*

$$(3.7) \quad \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j x \right\| = \sup_{\|y\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

PROOF. By the properties of semi-inner product, we have for any $u \in X$, $u \neq 0$ (see also (2.3)) that

$$(3.8) \quad \|u\| = \sup_{\|y\|=1} |[u, y]|.$$

Let $x \in X$, then by taking the supremum over $\|y\| = 1$ in (3.6) we get for $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|y\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^q \right)^{1/q} &= \sup_{\|y\|=1} \left(\sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left| \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j \right) x, y \right] \right| \right) \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left(\sup_{\|y\|=1} \left| \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j \right) x, y \right] \right| \right) \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j \right) x \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the equality (3.7). We used for the last equality the property (3.8). \square

We can state and prove our main representation result.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ a s - L - G - $s.i.p.$ that generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of X and $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$.*

(i) *For $q \geq 1$ we have the representation for the hypo- q -operator norm*

$$(3.9) \quad \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,q} = \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,\infty} = \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|T_j\|.$$

(ii) For $q \geq 1$ we have the representation for the hypo- q -numerical radius

$$(3.10) \quad w_{h,n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$w_{h,n,\infty}(T_1, \dots, T_n) = \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} w(T_j).$$

PROOF. (i) By using the equality (3.7) we have for $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^q \right)^{1/q} &= \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\sup_{\|y\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^q \right)^{1/q} \right) \\ &= \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j x \right\| \right) \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left(\sup_{\|x\|=1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j x \right\| \right) \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j \right\| = \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,q}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.9). The rest is obvious.

(ii) By using the equality (3.6) we have for $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^q \right)^{1/q} &= \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left| \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j \right) x, x \right] \right| \right) \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left(\sup_{\|x\|=1} \left| \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j \right) x, x \right] \right| \right) \\ &= \sup_{\|\alpha\|_{n,p} \leq 1} w \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T_j \right) = w_{h,n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.10). The rest is obvious. □

We can consider on $B^{(n)}(X)$ the following usual operator and numerical radius q -norms, for $q \geq 1$

$$\|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,q} := \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|T_j\|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$w_{n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^n w^q(T_j) \right)^{1/q}$$

where $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$. For $q = \infty$ we put

$$\|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,\infty} := \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|T_j\|$$

and

$$w_{n,\infty}(T_1, \dots, T_n) := \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} w(T_j).$$

COROLLARY 3.4. *With the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 we have for $q \geq 1$ that*

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/q}} \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,q} \leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,q} \leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,q}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/q}} w_{n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq w_{h,n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq w_{n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

for any $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$.

The proof follows from Corollary 3.2 for $E = B(X)$ and we omit the details.

COROLLARY 3.5. *With the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 we have for $r \geq q \geq 1$ that*

$$(3.11) \quad \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,r} \leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,q} \leq n^{\frac{r-q}{rq}} \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,r}$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad w_{h,n,r}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq w_{h,n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq n^{\frac{r-q}{rq}} w_{h,n,r}(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

for any $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$.

PROOF. We use the following elementary inequalities for the nonnegative numbers $a_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $r \geq q > 0$ (see for instance [19] and [15])

$$(3.13) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^r \right)^{1/r} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^q \right)^{1/q} \leq n^{\frac{r-q}{rq}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

Let $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$ and $x, y \in X$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. Then by (3.13) we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq n^{\frac{r-q}{rq}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^r \right)^{1/r}.$$

By taking the supremum over $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ we get (3.11).

The inequality (3.12) follows in a similar way and we omit the details. \square

For $q = 2$, we put

$$\|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,e} := \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,2}$$

and

$$w_{h,n,e}(T_1, \dots, T_n) := w_{h,n,2}(T_1, \dots, T_n).$$

REMARK 3.6. We draw the readers' particular attention to special cases of Corollary 3.5: $r = 2, q = 2, q = 1$.

We have:

PROPOSITION 3.7. *For any $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$ and $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, we have*

$$\|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,q} \geq \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right\|$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad w_{h,n,q}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \geq \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} w \left(\sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right).$$

PROOF. Let $\lambda_j = \frac{1}{n^{1/p}}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^p = 1$. Therefore by (3.3) we get

$$\|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,q} = \sup_{\|\lambda\|_{n,p} \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j T_j \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} T_j \right\| = \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right\|.$$

The inequality (3.14) follows in a similar way. \square

We can also introduce the following norms for $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$,

$$\|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{s,n,p} := \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|T_j x\|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $p \geq 1$ and

$$\|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{s,n,\infty} := \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|T_j x\| \right) = \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|T_j\|.$$

The triangle inequality for $\|\cdot\|_{s,n,q}$ follows from Minkowski inequality, while the other properties of the norm are obvious.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$. We have for $p \geq 1$, that*

$$(3.15) \quad \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,p} \leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{s,n,p} \leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,p}.$$

PROOF. We have for $p \geq 2$ and $x, y \in X$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, that

$$\|[T_j x, y]\|^p \leq \|T_j x\|^p \|y\|^p = \|T_j x\|^p \leq \|T_j\|^p \|x\|^p = \|T_j\|^p$$

for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

This implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|[T_j x, y]\|^p \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_j x\|^p \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|T_j\|^p,$$

so

$$(3.16) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|[T_j x, y]\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|T_j x\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|T_j\|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

for any $x, y \in X$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

Taking the supremum over $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ in (3.16), we get the desired result (3.15). \square

4. Reverse inequalities

Recall the following reverse of Cauchy-Buniakowski-Schwarz inequality ([2], see also [1, Theorem 5.14]):

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $a, A \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be two sequences of real numbers with the property that:*

$$ay_j \leq z_j \leq Ay_j \quad \text{for each } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then for any $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ a sequence of positive real numbers, one has the inequality

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n w_j z_j^2 \sum_{j=1}^n w_j y_j^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n w_j z_j y_j \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} (A - a)^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n w_j y_j^2 \right)^2.$$

The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is sharp in (4.1).

O. Shisha and B. Mond obtained in 1967 (see [19]) the following counterparts of (CBS)-inequality (see also [1, Theorem 5.20 & 5.21]):

LEMMA 4.2. *Assume that $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ are such that there exist a, A, b, B with the property that:*

$$0 \leq a \leq a_j \leq A \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < b \leq b_j \leq B \quad \text{for any } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then we have the inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \right)^2 \leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{A}{b}} - \sqrt{\frac{a}{B}} \right)^2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2.$$

and

LEMMA 4.3. Assume that \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b} are nonnegative sequences and there exist γ, Γ with the property that:

$$0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{a_j}{b_j} \leq \Gamma < \infty \quad \text{for any } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then we have the inequality

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j b_j \leq \frac{(\Gamma - \gamma)^2}{4(\gamma + \Gamma)} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^2.$$

We have:

THEOREM 4.4. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ a s -L-G-s.i.p. that generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of X and $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(X)$.

(i) We have

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,e}^2 - \frac{1}{n} \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,1}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} n \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,\infty}^2$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad 0 \leq w_{n,e}^2(T_1, \dots, T_n) - \frac{1}{n} w_{h,n,1}^2(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq \frac{1}{4} n \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,\infty}^2.$$

(ii) We have

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,e}^2 - \frac{1}{n} \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,1}^2 \\ &\leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,\infty} \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq w_{n,e}^2(T_1, \dots, T_n) - \frac{1}{n} w_{h,n,1}^2(T_1, \dots, T_n) \\ &\leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,\infty} w_{h,n,1}(T_1, \dots, T_n). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) We have

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \leq \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,e} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{h,n,1} \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{n} \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,\infty}$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \leq w_{n,e}(T_1, \dots, T_n) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} w_{h,n,1}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \\ \leq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{n} \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,\infty}.$$

PROOF. (i). Let $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(H)$ and put

$$R = \max_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|T_j\| = \|(T_1, \dots, T_n)\|_{n,\infty}.$$

If $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ then

$$|[T_j x, y]| \leq \|T_j x\| \leq \|T_j\| \leq R$$

for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we write the inequality (4.1) for $z_j = |[T_j x, y]|$, $w_j = y_j = 1$, $A = R$ and $a = 0$, we get

$$0 \leq n \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]| \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} n^2 R^2$$

for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

This implies that

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]| \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} n R^2$$

for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and, in particular

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]| \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} n R^2$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Taking the supremum over $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ in (4.10) and over $\|x\| = 1$ in (4.11), we get (4.4) and (4.5).

(ii). Let $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(H)$. If we write the inequality (4.2) for $a_j = |[T_j x, y]|$, $b_j = 1$, $b = B = 1$, $a = 0$ and $A = R$, then we get

$$0 \leq n \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]| \right)^2 \leq nR \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|,$$

for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

This implies that

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]| \right)^2 + R \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|,$$

for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and, in particular

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]| \right)^2 + R \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|,$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Taking the supremum over $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ in (4.12) and over $\|x\| = 1$ in (4.13), we get (4.6) and (4.7).

(iii). If we write the inequality (4.3) for $a_j = |[T_j x, y]|$, $b_j = 1$, $b = B = 1$, $\gamma = 0$ and $\Gamma = R$ we have

$$0 \leq \left(n \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]| \leq \frac{1}{4} nR,$$

for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

This implies that

$$(4.14) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, y]| + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{n} R,$$

for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and, in particular

$$(4.15) \quad \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]| + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{n} R,$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Taking the supremum over $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ in (4.14) and over $\|x\| = 1$ in (4.15), we get (4.8) and (4.9). \square

Before we proceed with establishing some reverse inequalities for the hypo-Euclidean numerical radius, we recall some reverse results of the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality for complex numbers as follows:

If $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with the property that

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \operatorname{Re}[(\Gamma - \alpha_j)(\overline{\alpha_j} - \overline{\gamma})] \\ &= (\operatorname{Re} \Gamma - \operatorname{Re} \alpha_j)(\operatorname{Re} \alpha_j - \operatorname{Re} \gamma) + (\operatorname{Im} \Gamma - \operatorname{Im} \alpha_j)(\operatorname{Im} \alpha_j - \operatorname{Im} \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\left| \alpha_j - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma - \gamma|$$

for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then (see for instance [4, p. 9])

$$(4.17) \quad n \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2 - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} n^2 |\Gamma - \gamma|^2.$$

In addition, if $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) > 0$, then (see for example [4, p. 26]):

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} n \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left[(\overline{\Gamma} + \overline{\gamma}) \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \right] \right\}^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \frac{|\Gamma + \gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma})} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $\Gamma \neq -\gamma$, then (see for instance [4, p. 32]):

$$(4.19) \quad \left(n \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} n \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|}.$$

Finally, from [5] we can also state that

$$(4.20) \quad n \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2 - \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \right|^2 \leq n \left[|\Gamma + \gamma| - 2\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma})} \right] \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \right|,$$

provided $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \overline{\gamma}) > 0$.

We notice that a simple sufficient condition for (4.16) to hold is that

$$\operatorname{Re} \Gamma \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha_j \geq \operatorname{Re} \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im} \Gamma \geq \operatorname{Im} \alpha_j \geq \operatorname{Im} \gamma$$

for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ a s -L-G-s.i.p. that generates the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of X and $\gamma, \Gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Gamma \neq \gamma$. Assume that*

$$(4.21) \quad w\left(T_j - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2}I\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma - \gamma| \text{ for any } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

(i) *We have*

$$(4.22) \quad w_{h,n,e}^2(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}w^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^n T_j\right) + \frac{1}{4}n|\Gamma - \gamma|^2.$$

(ii) *If $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma}) > 0$, then*

$$(4.23) \quad w_{h,n,e}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \frac{|\Gamma + \gamma|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})}} w\left(\sum_{j=1}^n T_j\right)$$

and

$$(4.24) \quad w_{h,n,e}^2(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq \left[\frac{1}{n}w^2\left(\sum_{j=1}^n T_j\right) + \left[|\Gamma + \gamma| - 2\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})}\right] \right] \\ \times w\left(\sum_{j=1}^n T_j\right).$$

(iii) *If $\Gamma \neq -\gamma$, then*

$$(4.25) \quad w_{h,n,e}(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(w\left(\sum_{j=1}^n T_j\right) + \frac{1}{4}n \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \right).$$

PROOF. Let $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B^{(n)}(H)$ with the property (4.21). By taking $\alpha_j = [T_j x, x]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \alpha_j - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \right| &= \left| [T_j x, x] - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} [x, x] \right| = \left| \left[\left(T_j - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} I \right) x, x \right] \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left| \left[\left(T_j - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} I \right) x, x \right] \right| = w \left(T_j - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} I \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma - \gamma| \end{aligned}$$

for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

(i) By using the inequality (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.26) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n [T_j x, x] \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} n |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} n |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

By taking the supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ in (4.26) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^2 \right) &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} n |\Gamma - \gamma|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} w^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right) + \frac{1}{4} n |\Gamma - \gamma|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.22).

(ii) If $\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \bar{\gamma}) > 0$, then by (4.18) we have for $\alpha_j = [T_j x, x]$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.27) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{4n} \frac{|\Gamma + \gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \bar{\gamma})} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n [T_j x, x] \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4n} \frac{|\Gamma + \gamma|^2}{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma \bar{\gamma})} \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Taking the supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ in (4.27) we get (4.23).

Also, by (4.20) we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right|^2 + [|\Gamma + \gamma| - 2\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})}] \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right|$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

By taking the supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ in this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\|x\|=1} \sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^2 \\ & \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left[\frac{1}{n} \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right|^2 + [|\Gamma + \gamma| - 2\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})}] \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right| \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right|^2 + [|\Gamma + \gamma| - 2\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})}] \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right| \\ & = \frac{1}{n} w^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right) + [|\Gamma + \gamma| - 2\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(\Gamma\bar{\gamma})}] w \left(\sum_{j=1}^n T_j \right), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.24).

(iii) By the inequality (4.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[T_j x, x]|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\left| \sum_{j=1}^n [T_j x, x] \right| + \frac{1}{4} n \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\left| \left[\sum_{j=1}^n T_j x, x \right] \right| + \frac{1}{4} n \frac{|\Gamma - \gamma|^2}{|\Gamma + \gamma|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

By taking the supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ in this inequality, we get (4.25). \square

REMARK 4.6. By the use of the elementary inequality $w(T) \leq \|T\|$ that holds for any $T \in B(X)$, a sufficient condition for (4.21) to hold is that

$$\left\| T_j - \frac{\gamma + \Gamma}{2} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma - \gamma| \quad \text{for any } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thanks the anonymous referee for valuable suggestions that helped improving the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] S.S. Dragomir, *A survey on Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz type discrete inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **4** (2003), no. 3, Art. 63, 142 pp. Available at <https://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article301.html?sid=301>.
- [2] S.S. Dragomir, *A counterpart of Schwarz's inequality in inner product spaces*, East Asian Math. J. **20** (2004), no. 1, 1–10. Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **6** (2003), Supplement, Art. 18. Available at <http://rgmia.org/papers/v6e/CSIIPS.pdf>.
- [3] S.S. Dragomir, *Semi-Inner Products and Applications*, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Hauppauge, NY, 2004.
- [4] S.S. Dragomir, *Advances in Inequalities of the Schwarz, Grüss and Bessel Type in Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Hauppauge, NY, 2005.
- [5] S.S. Dragomir, *Reverses of the Schwarz inequality generalising a Klamkin-McLenaghan result*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **73** (2006), no. 1, 69–78.
- [6] S.S. Dragomir, *The hypo-Euclidean norm of an n -tuple of vectors in inner product spaces and applications*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (2007), no. 2, Art. 52, 22 pp. Available at <https://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article854.html?sid=854>.
- [7] S.S. Dragomir, *Hypo- q -norms on a Cartesian product of normed linear spaces*, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **20** (2017), Art. 153. Available at <http://rgmia.org/papers/v20/v20a153.pdf>.
- [8] S.S. Dragomir, *Inequalities for hypo- q -norms on a Cartesian product of inner product spaces*, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. **20** (2017), Art. 168. Available at <http://rgmia.org/papers/v20/v20a168.pdf>.
- [9] J.R. Giles, *Classes of semi-inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (1967), 436–446.
- [10] B.W. Glickfeld, *On an inequality of Banach algebra geometry and semi-inner product space theory*, Illinois J. Math. **14** (1970), 76–81.
- [11] G. Lumer, *Semi-inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **100** (1961), 29–43.
- [12] P.M. Miličić, *Sur le semi-produit scalaire dans quelques espaces vectoriels normés*, Mat. Vesnik **8(23)** (1971), 181–185.
- [13] P.M. Miličić, *Une généralisation naturelle du produit scalaire dans un espace normé et son utilisation*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **42(56)** (1987), 63–70.
- [14] P.M. Miličić, *La fonctionnelle g et quelques problèmes des meilleures approximations dans des espaces normés*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **48(62)** (1990), 110–118.
- [15] M.S. Moslehian, M. Sattari and K. Shebrawi, *Extensions of Euclidean operator radius inequalities*, Math. Scand. **120** (2017), no. 1, 129–144.
- [16] B. Nath, *On a generalization of semi-inner product spaces*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **15** (1971), no. 1, 1–6.
- [17] P.L. Papini, *Un'osservazione sui prodotti semi-scalari negli spazi di Banach*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) **2** (1969), 686–689.
- [18] I. Roşca, *Semi-produit scalaire et représentations de type de Riesz pour les fonctionnelles linéaires et bornées sur les espaces normés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **283** (1976), no. 3, Ai, A79–A81.
- [19] O. Shisha and B. Mond, *Bounds on differences of means*, in: O. Shisha (ed.), *Inequalities*, Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1967, pp. 293–308.

MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & SCIENCE
VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
PO BOX 14428
MELBOURNE CITY, MC 8001
AUSTRALIA
AND
DST-NRF CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE
IN THE MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES
SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & APPLIED MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND
PRIVATE BAG 3
JOHANNESBURG 2050
SOUTH AFRICA
e-mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au
URL: <http://rgmia.org/dragomir>