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#### Abstract

We show that the set of solutions of the initial-value problem $$
u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=g(t, u(t))+k(t, u(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T,
$$ in a Banach space is compact and connected, whenever $g$ and $k$ are bounded and continuous functions such that $g$ is one-sided Lipschitz and $k$ is Lipschitz with respect to the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness. The existence of solutions is already known from Sabina Schmidt [10].


## 1. Introduction

In the following let $E$ be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let $\tau, T$ be real numbers such that $\tau<T$. We consider the initial-value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \in E, f=g+k$, the functions $g, k:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ being continuous and bounded, $g$ one-sided Lipschitz and $k$ an $\alpha$-Lipschitz function. The last two conditions mean the following:

$$
[x-y, g(t, x)-g(t, y)]_{-} \leq L\|x-y\|, \quad \tau \leq t \leq T, x, y \in E
$$
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where generally $[x, y]_{-}=\lim _{h \uparrow 0} \frac{1}{h}(\|x+h y\|-\|x\|), x, y \in E ;$

$$
\alpha(k([\tau, T] \times B)) \leq K \alpha(B), \quad B \subseteq E, B \text { bounded }
$$

$\alpha$ denoting the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness.
It is known from Sabina Schmidt (1989, [10]) that, under these hypotheses, the initial-value problem (1.1) has at least one solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u:[\tau, T] \rightarrow E \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this result can also be found in Peter Volkmann's survey [11].
The present paper shows that the set of solutions (1.2) of (1.1) is a compact and connected subset of the Banach space $C([\tau, T], E)$.

## 2. Notations and tools

We use $S(x, r)$ to denote the closed ball in $E$ with center $x$ and radius $r$, and $\bar{A}$ to denote the closed hull of a set $A \subseteq E$. As usual, the diameter $\operatorname{diam}(A)$ of a set $A \subseteq E$ means the number $\sup \{\|x-y\|: x, y \in A\}$, which for $A$ empty (unbounded) is taken to be zero (resp. infinity). The Kuratowski measure of noncompactness $\alpha(A)$ of a bounded set $A \subseteq E$ is defined as

$$
\inf \left\{\delta>0: A=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}, \operatorname{diam}\left(A_{i}\right) \leq \delta, i=1, \ldots, n, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

We use the symbol $\mathbb{N}$ for the set of natural numbers $\{1,2, \ldots\}$. Now we list some properties of $\alpha$ (cf. [1]): Let $A$ and $B$ be bounded subsets of $E$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \subseteq B \text { implies } \alpha(A) \leq \alpha(B)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \alpha(\bar{A})=\alpha(A)  \tag{2.2}\\
& \alpha(A+B) \leq \alpha(A)+\alpha(B), \alpha(s \cdot A)=|s| \cdot \alpha(A)  \tag{2.3}\\
& \alpha(A)=0 \text { if and only if } A \text { is relatively compact, }  \tag{2.4}\\
& \alpha(S(x, r))=2 r \text { if } \operatorname{dim} E=\infty \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $E, x \in E$ and let $\left(c_{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \leq c_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) \leq 2 \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma has been proved by S. Schmidt [10] for $\chi$ instead of $\alpha$, where $\chi$ denotes the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

Lemma (Schmidt). Let $\left(x_{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence in $E$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a subsequence $\left(y_{n}\right)$ of $\left(x_{n}\right)$, such that each infinite subset $B$ of $\left\{y_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ satisfies $2 \alpha(B) \geq \alpha\left(\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right)-\varepsilon$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume $\alpha_{0}:=\alpha\left(\left\{x_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right)>\varepsilon$. Then we can choose $x_{n_{1}}=x_{1}$ and $x_{n_{2}}, x_{n_{3}}, \ldots$ with $n_{2}<n_{3}<\ldots$ such that

$$
x_{n_{k+1}} \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} S\left(x_{n_{j}}, \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{0}-\varepsilon\right)\right)
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From this we obtain the sequence $\left(y_{k}\right)$ by setting $y_{k}=x_{n_{k}}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following $C([\tau, T], E)$ denotes the Banach space of all continuous functions $u:[\tau, T] \rightarrow E$, where $\|u\|=\max _{\tau \leq t \leq T}\|u(t)\|$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions in $C([\tau, T], E)$. We set $\mathcal{F}([\tau, T])=\{u(t): t \in[\tau, T], u \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and $\mathcal{F}(t)=\{u(t): u \in \mathcal{F}\}$ for $t \in[\tau, T]$.
A. Ambrosetti's paper [2] contains a result on the relationship between the Kuratowski measures of noncompactness in $E$ and in $C([\tau, T], E)$.

Theorem (Ambrosetti). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a bounded and equicontinuous family of functions in $C([\tau, T], E)$. Then

$$
\alpha(\mathcal{F})=\sup \{\alpha(\mathcal{F}(t)): t \in[\tau, T]\}=\alpha(\mathcal{F}([\tau, T]))
$$

The following approximation theorem goes back to J.R.L. Webb [13], again with $\chi$ instead of $\alpha$.

Theorem (Webb). Let $k:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ be a bounded, continuous and $\alpha$-Lipschitz function with constant $K \geq 0$. Moreover let $\varepsilon>0$ and $A \subseteq E$ be bounded. Then there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $Y$ of $E$ and a bounded continuous function $s:[\tau, T] \times A \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|s(t, x)-k(t, x)\| \leq K \alpha(A)+\varepsilon, \quad \tau \leq t \leq T, x \in A
$$

In the next section we make use of the symbol $[x, y]_{-}$, which was defined in the introduction. It satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y+z]_{-} \leq[x, y]_{-}+\|z\|, \quad x, y, z \in E \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if the function $u:[\tau, T] \rightarrow E$ has the left-hand derivative $u_{-}^{\prime}$ : $(\tau, T] \rightarrow E$, then the left-hand derivative $\|u(\cdot)\|_{-}^{\prime}:(\tau, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ exists and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{-}^{\prime}=\left[u(t), u_{-}^{\prime}(t)\right]_{-}, \quad \tau<t \leq T . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a proof see [9], for example.
R.H. Martin [8] investigated the solvabilty of initial-value problems under one-sided Lipschitz conditions.

Theorem (Martin). Let $g:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ be a bounded, continuous and one-sided Lipschitz function. Then the problem

$$
u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=g(t, u(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T
$$

has a unique solution.
We finish this section with a result on differential inequalities. A proof can be found in [12].

Lemma (On differential inequalities). Let $\varphi, \psi:[\tau, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions, $\varphi(\tau)<\psi(\tau)$, and let

$$
\varphi_{-}^{\prime}(t)-\rho(t, \varphi(t))<\psi_{-}^{\prime}(t)-\rho(t, \psi(t)), \quad \tau<t \leq T
$$

be satisfied with some real-valued function $\rho$. Then the inequality $\varphi(t)<\psi(t)$ holds for all $t \in[\tau, T]$.

## 3. The theorem of Sabina Schmidt

In 1989 Sabina Schmidt [10] proved the following result.
Theorem (Schmidt). Let $a \in E$, and let $g, k:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ be bounded and continuous functions, such that $g$ is one-sided Lipschitz with constant $L$ and $k$ is $\alpha$-Lipschitz with constant $K \geq 0$. Then the initial-value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=g(t, u(t))+k(t, u(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least one solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u:[\tau, T] \rightarrow E \tag{S}
\end{equation*}
$$

The present paper complements this result by showing that the set of solutions ( S ) of ( P ) is a compact and connected subset of the Banach space $C([\tau, T], E)$. For the proof we use the following type of approximate solutions.

Definition. Let $f:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous function and $a \in E$. We call a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ in $C^{1}([\tau, T], E)$ a sequence of approximate solutions for the initial-value problem

$$
u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T
$$

if the sequence satisfies the conditions $u_{n}(\tau) \rightarrow a$ and

$$
\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)-f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon_{n}, \quad \tau \leq t \leq T
$$

where $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Here $C^{1}([\tau, T], E)$ denotes the space of all continuously differentiable functions $u:[\tau, T] \rightarrow E$.

Now we prove Schmidt's theorem by using her procedure with some alterations appropriate for our purpose.

Proof of Schmidt's theorem. Without loss of generality let $L>0$.
PART 1. First, we prove the solvability of (P) under the additional condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{L}\left(e^{L(T-\tau)}-1\right) \leq \frac{1}{8(K+1)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of a theorem of Lasota and Yorke [7] we obtain approximate solutions $u_{n}$ for the problem ( P ) with the following properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}(\tau)=a+a_{n}, \quad a_{n} \in E, \quad a_{n} \rightarrow 0 \\
& u_{n}^{\prime}(t)=g\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)+k\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)+r_{n}(t), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T, n \in \mathbb{N},  \tag{3.2}\\
& \quad r_{n} \in C([\tau, T], E), \quad\left\|r_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

see Deimling [5], for example.
The family of functions $\mathcal{F}=\left\{u_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded and equicontinuous in $C([\tau, T], E)$. We will show that $\alpha(\mathcal{F})=0$. Assuming the contrary we can choose $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{8} \alpha(\mathcal{F})>0$. The set $A=\mathcal{F}([\tau, T])=\left\{u_{n}(t): t \in[\tau, T], n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded. Hence by Webb's theorem there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $Y$ of $E$ and a bounded and continuous function $s:[\tau, T] \times A \rightarrow Y$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s(t, x)-k(t, x)\| \leq K \alpha(A)+\varepsilon=K \alpha(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[\tau, T]$ and $x \in A$. For the last equality see Ambrosetti's theorem. Using Schmidt's lemma we obtain a subsequence $\left(\bar{u}_{n}\right)$ of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \alpha(\mathcal{B}) \geq \alpha(\mathcal{F})-\varepsilon \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each infinite subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq\left\{\bar{u}_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
Now we define functions $z_{n}:[\tau, T] \rightarrow Y$ via

$$
z_{n}(t)=\int_{\tau}^{t} s\left(\zeta, \bar{u}_{n}(\zeta)\right) d \zeta, \quad \tau \leq t \leq T, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

The family $\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a bounded and equicontinuous family of functions in $C([\tau, T], Y)$. Since $Y$ is finite-dimensional, Ambrosetti's theorem implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right)=\alpha\left(\left\{z_{n}(t): t \in[\tau, T], n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence a subsequence of $\left(z_{n}\right)$ converges in $C([\tau, T], Y)$ to a continuous function $z:[\tau, T] \rightarrow Y$. Without loss of generality we assume $\left(z_{n}\right)$ to do this.

Now we consider the initial-value problem

$$
v(\tau)=a, \quad v^{\prime}(t)=g(t, v(t)+z(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T
$$

The right side of this problem is bounded, continuous and one-sided Lipschitz on $[\tau, T] \times E$. Hence the solution $v:[\tau, T] \rightarrow E$ of this problem exists due to Martin's theorem.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau \leq t \leq T$ we define

$$
v_{n}(t)=\bar{u}_{n}(t)-z_{n}(t)-v(t)
$$

and $w_{n}(t)=g\left(t, v(t)+z_{n}(t)\right)-g(t, v(t)+z(t))+\bar{r}_{n}(t)$, where $\left(\bar{r}_{n}\right)$ denotes the subsequence of $\left(r_{n}\right)$ corresponding to $\left(\bar{u}_{n}\right)$. Then (3.2) and (3.3) hold for $\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{r}_{n}$ instead of $u_{n}, r_{n}$. Therefore we obtain for all $\tau \leq t \leq T$ that

$$
v_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\left[g\left(t, \bar{u}_{n}(t)\right)-g\left(t, v(t)+z_{n}(t)\right)\right]+\left[k\left(t, \bar{u}_{n}(t)\right)-s\left(t, \bar{u}_{n}(t)\right)\right]+w_{n}(t) .
$$

Moreover (2.7), (2.8) and (3.4) admit the following estimations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{n}(t)\right\|_{-}^{\prime}= & {\left[v_{n}(t), v_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right]_{-} }  \tag{3.7}\\
\leq & {\left[v_{n}(t), g\left(t, \bar{u}_{n}(t)\right)-g\left(t, v(t)+z_{n}(t)\right)\right]_{-} } \\
& +\left\|k\left(t, \bar{u}_{n}(t)\right)-s\left(t, \bar{u}_{n}(t)\right)\right\|+\left\|w_{n}(t)\right\| \\
\leq & L\left\|v_{n}(t)\right\|+\left\|w_{n}(t)\right\|+K \alpha(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \in(\tau, T]$. Setting $\mu_{n}=\max _{\tau \leq t \leq T}\left\|w_{n}(t)\right\|$ we can verify $\mu_{n} \rightarrow 0$, and the last estimation of (3.7) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}(t)\right\|_{-}^{\prime} \leq L\left\|v_{n}(t)\right\|+\mu_{n}+K \alpha(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $\eta>0$ and let $\left(\bar{a}_{n}\right)$ denote the subsequence of $\left(a_{n}\right)$, which corresponds to $\left(\bar{u}_{n}\right)$. The solution of the initial-value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{\eta}(\tau) & =\left\|\bar{a}_{n}\right\|+\eta \\
\psi_{\eta}^{\prime}(t) & =L \psi_{\eta}(t)+\mu_{n}+K \alpha(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon+\left\|\bar{a}_{n}\right\|+\eta, \quad \tau \leq t \leq T \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

is given by

$$
\psi_{\eta}(t)=\left(\left\|\bar{a}_{n}\right\|+\eta\right) e^{L(t-\tau)}+\frac{1}{L}\left(e^{L(t-\tau)}-1\right)\left(\mu_{n}+K \alpha(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon+\left\|\bar{a}_{n}\right\|+\eta\right)
$$

Since $v_{n}(\tau)=\bar{a}_{n}$, the inequality $\left\|v_{n}(\tau)\right\|<\psi_{\eta}(\tau)$ holds. Using (3.8) and (3.9) we can apply the lemma on differential inequalities to the functions $\left\|v_{n}(\cdot)\right\|$ and $\psi_{\eta}$. Hence we obtain $\left\|v_{n}(t)\right\| \leq \psi_{\eta}(t)$ for all $t \in[\tau, T]$. Since we have chosen $\eta>0$ arbitrarily, the last inequality and $\eta \rightarrow 0$ leads to the following estimation for all $t \in[\tau, T]$ :

$$
\left\|v_{n}(t)\right\| \leq\left\|\bar{a}_{n}\right\| e^{L(t-\tau)}+\frac{1}{L}\left(e^{L(t-\tau)}-1\right)\left(\mu_{n}+K \alpha(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon+\left\|\bar{a}_{n}\right\|\right)
$$

Therefore the further estimations are valid due to (3.1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v_{n}\right\| & \leq\left\|\bar{a}_{n}\right\| e^{L(T-\tau)}+\frac{1}{L}\left(e^{L(T-\tau)}-1\right)\left(\mu_{n}+K \alpha(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon+\left\|\bar{a}_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8(K+1)} \mu_{n}+\frac{1}{8} \alpha(\mathcal{F})+\frac{1}{8} \varepsilon+\left\|\bar{a}_{n}\right\|\left(e^{L(T-\tau)}+\frac{1}{8(K+1)}\right)=: c_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v_{n}=\left(\bar{u}_{n}-z_{n}\right)-v$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=\frac{1}{8} \alpha(\mathcal{F})+\frac{1}{8} \varepsilon$, we obtain from (2.6)

$$
\alpha\left(\left\{\bar{u}_{n}-z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\alpha(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon)
$$

According to (2.3), (3.5) and (3.6) we can estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(\alpha(\mathcal{F})-\varepsilon) & \leq \alpha\left(\left\{\bar{u}_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha\left(\left\{\bar{u}_{n}-z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right)+\alpha\left(\left\{z_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4}(\alpha(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

This means $\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \leq 3 \varepsilon$, which contradicts $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{8} \alpha(\mathcal{F})$, so $\alpha(\mathcal{F})=0$.

Due to (2.4) there exists a subsequence $\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}\right)$ of $\left(u_{n}\right)$, which converges uniformly to an element $u \in C([\tau, T], E)$. Considering the integral equations, which correspond to (3.2) with $\widetilde{u}_{n}$ instead of $u_{n}$, we obtain $u$ as solution of the initial-value problem ( P ).

Part 2. To complete the proof we choose $\delta>0$ such that $\frac{1}{L}\left(e^{L \delta}-1\right) \leq$ $\frac{1}{8(K+1)}$, compare (3.1). Moreover let $\tau=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{m-1}<t_{m}=T$ be a subdivision of the interval $[\tau, T]$, such that $t_{i}-t_{i-1} \leq \delta$ for all $i=1, \ldots, m$. In the following we use the approximate solutions $\left(u_{n}\right)$ from part 1 , which do not depend on the choice of $\delta$.

We consider the sequence of the restricted approximate solutions $\left(\left.u_{n}\right|_{\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]}\right)$. Due to part 1, a subsequence $\left(\left.u_{n}^{(1)}\right|_{\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]}\right)$ of $\left(\left.u_{n}\right|_{\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]}\right)$ converges uniformly on [ $\left.t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ to a solution $u^{(1)}:\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \rightarrow E$ of the initial-value problem
$\left(\mathrm{P}_{\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]}\right) \quad u\left(t_{0}\right)=a_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=g(t, u(t))+k(t, u(t)), \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}$,
where $a_{0}=a$. In the next step we restrict the unrestricted subsequence $\left(u_{n}^{(1)}\right)$ to the interval $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Hence we obtain a sequence of approximate solutions $\left(\left.u_{n}^{(1)}\right|_{\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]}\right)$ for the initial-value problem
$\left(\mathrm{P}_{\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]}\right) \quad u\left(t_{1}\right)=a_{1}, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=g(t, u(t))+k(t, u(t)), \quad t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2}$,
where $a_{1}=u^{(1)}\left(t_{1}\right)$. Note that the sequence $\left(\left.u_{n}^{(1)}\right|_{\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]}\right)$ satisfies the conditions (3.2) and (3.3) with $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ instead of $\tau$ and $T$, and some subsequence $\left(r_{n}^{(1)}\right)$.

Applying part 1 again leads to a subsequence $\left(\left.u_{n}^{(2)}\right|_{\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]}\right)$ of $\left(\left.u_{n}\right|_{\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]}\right)$ that converges uniformly on $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ to a solution $\widetilde{u}^{(2)}:\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \rightarrow E$ of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]}\right)$.

Additionally we conclude that the restrictions $\left.u_{n}^{(2)}\right|_{\left[t_{0}, t_{2}\right]}:\left[t_{0}, t_{2}\right] \rightarrow E$ converge uniformly on $\left[t_{0}, t_{2}\right]$ to a solution $u^{(2)}:\left[t_{0}, t_{2}\right] \rightarrow E$ of

$$
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\left[t_{0}, t_{2}\right]}\right) \quad u\left(t_{0}\right)=a_{0}, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=g(t, u(t))+k(t, u(t)), \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{2} .
$$

Note that

$$
u^{(2)}(t)= \begin{cases}u^{(1)}(t), & t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}, \\ \widetilde{u}^{(2)}(t), & t_{1} \leq t \leq t_{2} .\end{cases}
$$

By iteration we obtain a subsequence $\left(u_{n}^{(m)}\right)$ of $\left(u_{n}\right)$, that converges uniformly on $\left[t_{0}, t_{m}\right]=[\tau, T]$ to a solution $u^{(m)}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=g(t, u(t))+k(t, u(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T . \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Compactness of the set of solutions

In the setting of Schmidt's theorem we can prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $a \in E$, and let $g, k:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ be bounded and continuous functions such that $g$ is one-sided Lipschitz with constant $L$ and $k$ is $\alpha$-Lipschitz with constant $K \geq 0$. Moreover let the initial-value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=g(t, u(t))+k(t, u(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given. Then the set of solutions

$$
\mathcal{S}=\{u \mid u:[\tau, T] \rightarrow E, u \text { is a solution of }(\mathrm{P})\}
$$

is a compact subset of the Banach space $C([\tau, T], E)$.
Proof. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{S}$. Since the $u_{n}$ solve (P), they are obviously approximate solutions for problem (P) with exact initial value. As in part 2 of the proof of Schmidt's theorem we obtain a subsequence of $\left(u_{n}\right)$, which converges in $C([\tau, T], E)$ to a solution $u$ of (P). Hence $\mathcal{S}$ is compact.

In general the set of solutions of an initial-value problem in a Banach space is not compact as the following example shows. It was motivated by an example in a paper of Chaljub-Simon, Lemmert, Schmidt and Volkmann [4].

Let $l_{\infty}$ denote the Banach space of all bounded and real sequences $u=$ $\left(u_{n}\right)$, where $\|u\|=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|$.

Example. Let the function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$
\varphi(\xi)= \begin{cases}0, & \xi \leq 0 \\ \sqrt{\xi}, & 0 \leq \xi \leq 4 \\ 2, & 4 \leq \xi\end{cases}
$$

We define the bounded and continuous function $f:[0,1] \times l_{\infty} \rightarrow l_{\infty}$ by

$$
f(t, u)=\left(\varphi\left(u_{1}\right), \varphi\left(u_{2}\right), \ldots\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, u=\left(u_{n}\right) \in l_{\infty}
$$

Then it is easy to see that the set of solutions $\mathcal{S}$ of the initial-value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=(0,0, \ldots), \quad u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the set of all functions $u:[0,1] \rightarrow l_{\infty}$ with $u(t)=\left(u_{n}(t)\right)$, where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
u_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}0, & t \in\left[0, a_{n}\right], \\ \frac{1}{4}\left(t-a_{n}\right)^{2}, & t \in\left[a_{n}, 1\right],\end{cases}
$$

with some (arbitrary) $a_{n} \in[0,1]$. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ we consider the set $\mathcal{S}(t)=$ $\{u(t): u \in \mathcal{S}\}$. It is easy to verify that the set $\mathcal{S}(t)$ is a ball in $l_{\infty}$ with radius $\frac{1}{8} t^{2}$ and hence for $0<t \leq 1$ it is not compact. Therefore we conclude that $\mathcal{S}$ is not compact.

Another example for a noncompact solution set can be found in Binding's paper [3].

## 5. Connectedness of the set of solutions

We prove a theorem of Hellmuth Kneser (1923, [6]) in the setting of Schmidt's theorem.

Let $f:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ be a continuous function. Then $f$ is called locally Lipschitz, if for each $(t, x) \in[\tau, T] \times E$ there exist $L=L(t, x) \geq 0$, a neighbourhood $I_{t}$ of $t$ and a neighbourhood $U_{x}$ of $x$, such that

$$
\left\|f\left(s, x_{1}\right)-f\left(s, x_{2}\right)\right\| \leq L\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|, \quad s \in I_{t} \cap[\tau, T], x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{x} .
$$

Lemma 1. Let the function $f:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ be bounded and locally Lipschitz, and let the continuous function $h:[\tau, T] \times[0,1] \rightarrow E$ satisfy

$$
\|h(t, \lambda)-h(t, \mu)\| \leq C|\lambda-\mu|, \quad \tau \leq t \leq T, \lambda, \mu \in[0,1],
$$

with some constant $C \geq 0$. Moreover, for each $\lambda \in[0,1]$ let $u_{\lambda}$ denote the solution of the initial-value problem

$$
u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t))+h(t, \lambda), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T .
$$

Then the mapping $\Lambda:[0,1] \rightarrow C([\tau, T], E), \lambda \mapsto u_{\lambda}$, is continuous.
Recall that the well-known theorem of Picard-Lindelöf guarantees the existence and uniqueness of the solution $u_{\lambda}$ of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\right)$.

As usual we denote the graph of a function $u:[\tau, T] \rightarrow E$ by

$$
\operatorname{graph}(u)=\{(t, u(t)): \tau \leq t \leq T\} \subseteq[\tau, T] \times E
$$

We consider $[\tau, T] \times E$ as a metric space, where the metric $\rho$ is given by

$$
\rho\left(\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(t_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|+\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|, \quad\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(t_{2}, x_{2}\right) \in[\tau, T] \times E .
$$

The distance $\operatorname{dist}(A, B)$ between two nonempty sets $A$ and $B$ of a metric space means the number $\inf \{\rho(a, b): a \in A, b \in B\}$.

Proof of Lemma 1. We fix $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and the solution $u_{\lambda}$ of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda}\right)$. The graph of $u_{\lambda}$ is a compact subset of $[\tau, T] \times E$ and $f$ is locally Lipschitz. Hence, there exist $\delta>0, L>0$ and a neighbourhood $U$ in $[\tau, T] \times E$ of $\operatorname{graph}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)$ such that

$$
U=\left\{(t, x) \in[\tau, T] \times E: \operatorname{dist}\left(\{(t, x)\}, \operatorname{graph}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\right)<2 \delta\right\}
$$

and such that the function $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(t, x)-f(t, y)\| \leq L\|x-y\|, \quad(t, x),(t, y) \in U \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that the mapping $\Lambda$ is continuous at $\lambda$. For this let $\varepsilon>0$ and such that $\varepsilon<\delta$. Note that $\lambda$ is still fixed.

Let $\mu \in[0,1]$ be such that $|\lambda-\mu|<\frac{1}{(1+C)\left[e^{L(T-\tau)}-1\right]} L \varepsilon$ and let $u_{\mu}$ denote the solution of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mu}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{graph}\left(u_{\mu}\right) \subseteq U$ : Assuming the contrary, there exists

$$
\bar{t}=\min \left\{t \in[\tau, T]: \operatorname{dist}\left(\left\{\left(t, u_{\mu}(t)\right)\right\}, \operatorname{graph}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\right)=2 \delta\right\}
$$

and $\bar{t}>\tau$ due to $u_{\lambda}(\tau)=u_{\mu}(\tau)=a$ and the continuity of both functions. Hence $\left(t, u_{\mu}(t)\right) \in U$ for all $t \in[\tau, \bar{t})$.

From (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain for $t \in(\tau, \bar{t})$ the following estimations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)-u_{\mu}(t)\right\|_{-}^{\prime} & \leq\left\|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)-u_{\mu}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \\
& =\left\|f\left(t, u_{\lambda}(t)\right)+h(t, \lambda)-f\left(t, u_{\mu}(t)\right)-h(t, \mu)\right\| \\
& \leq L\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)-u_{\mu}(t)\right\|+C|\lambda-\mu|
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality holds due to (5.1) and since $\left(t, u_{\lambda}(t)\right) \in U$ and $\left(t, u_{\mu}(t)\right) \in$ $U$ for all $t \in[\tau, \bar{t})$.

Now let $\eta>0$. Using $\left\|u_{\lambda}(\tau)-u_{\mu}(\tau)\right\|=0$ and the lemma on differential inequalities, it is easy to see that

$$
\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)-u_{\mu}(t)\right\| \leq \eta e^{L(t-\tau)}+\frac{1}{L}\left(e^{L(t-\tau)}-1\right)(C|\lambda-\mu|+\eta)
$$

for all $t \in[\tau, \bar{t})$. Moreover, for $\eta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the estimation

$$
\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)-u_{\mu}(t)\right\| \leq|\lambda-\mu| \frac{C}{L}\left(e^{L(t-\tau)}-1\right), \quad \tau \leq t<\bar{t}
$$

Due to our choice of $\mu$ and since $u_{\lambda}$ and $u_{\mu}$ are continuous, the last inequality leads to the following contradiction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \delta & \leq\left\|u_{\lambda}(\bar{t})-u_{\mu}(\bar{t})\right\| \\
& \leq|\lambda-\mu| \frac{C}{L}\left(e^{L(\bar{t}-\tau)}-1\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{1+C} \frac{e^{L(\bar{t}-\tau)}-1}{e^{L(T-\tau)}-1} \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon<\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have $\left(t, u_{\mu}(t)\right) \in U$ for all $t \in[\tau, T]$ and we obtain by the same arguments

$$
\left\|u_{\lambda}(t)-u_{\mu}(t)\right\| \leq|\lambda-\mu| \frac{C}{L}\left(e^{L(t-\tau)}-1\right), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T
$$

Moreover, we deduce $\left\|u_{\lambda}-u_{\mu}\right\| \leq \varepsilon$, which means that the mapping $\Lambda$ is continuous at $\lambda$.

Finally we prove that in Schmidt's theorem the solution set $\mathcal{S}$ of the initialvalue problem ( P ) is a connected subset of the Banach space $C([\tau, T], E)$.

Theorem 2. Let $a \in E$, and let $g, k:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ be bounded and continuous functions, such that $g$ is one-sided Lipschitz with constant $L$ and $k$ is $\alpha$-Lipschitz with constant $K \geq 0$. Moreover let the initial-value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=g(t, u(t))+k(t, u(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given. Then the set

$$
\mathcal{S}=\{u \mid u:[\tau, T] \rightarrow E, u \text { is a solution of }(\mathrm{P})\}
$$

is a connected subset of the Banach space $C([\tau, T], E)$.

Proof. The set $\mathcal{S}$ is nonempty due to the theorem of Schmidt and compact due to Theorem 1. Suppose $\mathcal{S}$ is not connected. Then there exist nonempty, disjoint and compact sets $\mathcal{S}_{1}, \mathcal{S}_{2} \subseteq C([\tau, T], E)$ such that $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}_{1} \cup \mathcal{S}_{2}$. Hence, $\beta=\operatorname{dist}\left(\mathcal{S}_{1}, \mathcal{S}_{2}\right)=\min \left\{\left\|s_{1}-s_{2}\right\|: s_{1} \in \mathcal{S}_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathcal{S}_{2}\right\}>0$.

The functional $\Phi: C([\tau, T], E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\Phi(u)=\operatorname{dist}\left(u, \mathcal{S}_{1}\right)-$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(u, \mathcal{S}_{2}\right)$ is continuous. Moreover $\Phi(u) \leq-\beta$ on $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ and $\Phi(u) \geq \beta$ on $\mathcal{S}_{2}$.

Now we prove the existence of some $u \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Phi(u)=0$, which leads to a contradiction. For this we construct a sequence of approximate solutions $\left(u_{n}\right)$ for the initial-value problem (P) with $\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, as in part 2 of the proof of Schmidt's theorem, a subsequence of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ converges uniformly to a solution $u$ of (P), and hence $\Phi(u)=0$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$. We define the function $f:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ by

$$
f(t, x)=g(t, x)+k(t, x), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T ; x \in E
$$

Due to a theorem of Lasota and Yorke [7] there exists a locally Lipschitz function $l_{\varepsilon}:[\tau, T] \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfying $\left\|l_{\varepsilon}(t, x)-f(t, x)\right\| \leq \varepsilon$ on $[\tau, T] \times E$.

Now let $s_{1} \in \mathcal{S}_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathcal{S}_{2}$. For $i=1,2$ we consider the functions

$$
f_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}(t, x)=l_{\varepsilon}(t, x)+f\left(t, s_{i}(t)\right)-l_{\varepsilon}\left(t, s_{i}(t)\right), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T, x \in E
$$

and for $\lambda \in[0,1]$ the functions

$$
f_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(t, x)=f_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(t, x)+\lambda \cdot\left[f_{\varepsilon}^{(2)}(t, x)-f_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}(t, x)\right], \quad \tau \leq t \leq T, x \in E
$$

For each $\lambda \in[0,1]$ the function $f_{\lambda, \varepsilon}$ is locally Lipschitz and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(t, x)-f(t, x)\right\| \leq 2 \varepsilon, \quad \tau \leq t \leq T, x \in E \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the theorem of Picard-Lindelöf there exist unique solutions $u_{\lambda, \varepsilon}$ of the initial-value problems

$$
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda, \varepsilon}\right) \quad u(\tau)=a, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=f_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(t, u(t)), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T
$$

Using Lemma 1 we conclude that the mapping

$$
\Lambda:[0,1] \rightarrow C([\tau, T], E), \quad \lambda \mapsto u_{\lambda, \varepsilon}
$$

is continuous, and therefore the mapping

$$
\Psi:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Psi(\lambda):=\Phi\left(u_{\lambda, \varepsilon}\right)=(\Phi \circ \Lambda)(\lambda)
$$

is continuous as well. Since $f_{0, \varepsilon}\left(t, s_{1}(t)\right)=f_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}\left(t, s_{1}(t)\right)=s_{1}^{\prime}(t)$, we obtain $u_{0, \varepsilon}=s_{1}$ and in the same way $u_{1, \varepsilon}=s_{2}$. That means $\Psi(0) \leq-\beta$ and $\Psi(1) \geq$ $\beta$, and there exists $\lambda(\varepsilon) \in(0,1)$ such that $u_{\lambda(\varepsilon), \varepsilon}$ satisfies $\Phi\left(u_{\lambda(\varepsilon), \varepsilon}\right)=0$.

Now let $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of positive numbers, and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. As before, to each $\varepsilon_{n}$ we obtain the solution $u_{n}=u_{\lambda\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right), \varepsilon_{n}}$ of the initial-value problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\lambda\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right), \varepsilon_{n}}\right)$. We set $r_{n}(t)=f_{\lambda\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right), \varepsilon_{n}}\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)-f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)$ for all $t \in[\tau, T]$. Then from inequality (5.2) it follows that $\left\|r_{n}\right\| \leq 2 \varepsilon_{n}$. Moreover, $u_{n}$ is a solution of the initial-value problem

$$
u_{n}(\tau)=a, \quad u_{n}^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right)+r_{n}(t), \quad \tau \leq t \leq T,
$$

and satisfies $\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)=0$. Hence the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of approximate solutions for problem (P) with $\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Examples for disconnected solution sets in less restrictive situations can be found in [3].
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